

Effect of fines content on soil freezing characteristic curve of sandy soils

Quoc Hung Vu, Jean-Michel Pereira, Anh Minh Tang

▶ To cite this version:

Quoc Hung Vu, Jean-Michel Pereira, Anh Minh Tang. Effect of fines content on soil freezing characteristic curve of sandy soils. Acta Geotechnica, 2022, 17 (11), pp.4921-4933. 10.1007/s11440-022-01672-9 . hal-03905344

HAL Id: hal-03905344 https://enpc.hal.science/hal-03905344

Submitted on 18 Dec 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Effect of fines content on soil freezing characteristic curve of 1 sandy soils 2

- Quoc Hung VU, Jean-Michel PEREIRA, Anh Minh TANG 3
- 4 Laboratoire Navier, Ecole des Ponts, Univ Gustave Eiffel, CNRS, Marne-la-Vallée, France
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9 Corresponding author:
- 10 Dr. Anh Minh TANG
- 11 **Research Director**
- 12 Ecole des Ponts ParisTech
- 13 6-8 avenue Blaise Pascal
- 14 77455 Marne-la-Vallée
- 15 France
- 16 Email: anh-minh.tang@enpc.fr
- 17
- 18

19 Abstract: Soil freezing characteristic curve (SFCC) represents the relationship between soil 20 temperature and unfrozen water content of soil during freezing and thawing processes. In this 21 study, SFCC of sandy soils was determined in laboratory. Pure sand was mixed with clay at 22 various contents (0, 5, 10, 15, and 20% of the total dry mass) and the mixtures were compacted 23 to their respective maximum dry density. Compacted specimens were then placed in a close 24 and rigid cell and the soil's temperature was decreased step-by-step to freeze the soil water and then increased back to thaw it. During this thermal cycle, soil's temperature and volumetric 25 26 water content were monitored in order to determine the SFCC. The results show that SFCC 27 was strongly dependent on the fines content: at higher fines content, the temperature of 28 spontaneous nucleation was lower and the residual unfrozen volumetric water content was 29 higher.

Keywords: temperature of spontaneous nucleation, hysteresis, soil freezing characteristic
 curve, residual water content.

32 **1. Introduction**

Frozen soil consists of mineral particles, liquid water, ice and gas. It is formed from unfrozen soil during freezing, when a fraction of liquid water solidifies into ice at temperatures sufficiently low below 0 °C [1]. This phase change causes significant modifications of physicalhydraulic-mechanical properties of soils [2]. The freezing-thawing process is encountered in cold regions, seasonal cold regions as well as construction works using artificial ground freezing technique. Two main consequences of this process that need to be mentioned are frost

heave and thaw settlement. These phenomena can induce damages to infrastructure [3–6].

40 The freezing-thawing process in porous media has been investigated not only in civil engineering and geosciences but also in physics [7–11]. While bulk water melts at 0 °C, water 41 in porous media melts at temperatures below 0 °C because of physical interactions between 42 water and solid particles [12–14]. Freezing process of a soil sample (where heat is extracted 43 44 from the sample with a constant rate) can be divided into three steps (as shown in Fig. 1): (i) 45 supercooling with release of sensible heat; (ii) first water freezing with release of latent heat; 46 (iii) further water freezing with release of sensible heat. In the first step, during cooling (extraction of heat from soil), soil temperature decreases to reach a certain value from that it 47 48 cannot decrease anymore. This value is called temperature of spontaneous nucleation T_{sn} where 49 the first ice embryo nucleus forms because it attains the critical size [15, 16]. Formation of ice 50 crystals releases latent heat and thus increases soil temperature. From T_{sn} , soil temperature 51 increases to reach another value which is called freezing temperature T_{f_i} where it remains on a 52 plateau for a while. During this second step, soil water is gradually frozen along with releasing 53 latent heat. After that, within the third step, soil temperature decreases with further water 54 freezing. Freezing temperature T_f , also considered to be equal to thawing temperature T_t at 55 which soil state changes from frozen to unfrozen, is usually used as a boundary value index to 56 distinguish between frozen soil and unfrozen soil [17–19]. These characteristic temperatures $(T_{sn} \text{ and } T_f)$ were investigated in several studies [15, 18, 20]. 57



59 Fig. 1 Freezing process of soil-water system.

60 Soil freezing characteristic curve (SFCC) represents the relationship between the temperature and the quantity of liquid water in soil. It is one of the most essential data in studying the 61 freezing-thawing process in soils. On the one hand, several SFCC models were empirically 62 developed. From SFCC obtained experimentally, empirical models were proposed using power, 63 64 piecewise or exponential functions [21–28]. On the other hand, SFCC can be derived from soil 65 water characteristic curve (SWCC). This approach is based on the theory of similarity between freezing-thawing and drying-wetting processes that is illustrated by Clapeyron equation [29– 66 67 37]. More generally, various physical models were developed based on theory of capillarity, 68 sorption or that of interface pre-melting [38–40]. Most of the existing SFCC models consider 69 the effect of fines content but this effect is considered in different ways. For instance, some 70 empirical models used specific surface or liquid limit as input data while physics-based models 71 consider absorption parameters of soil. Due to the diversity of SFCC models, there is no unified 72 standard for choosing SFCC in numerical simulations [41]. In addition, except few models (e.g., 73 [35]), most of the existing ones consider a unique relationship between unfrozen water content 74 and temperature. However, this relation obtained on the freezing path can differ from that of 75 that of the thawing path; at a given temperature, water content of the freezing path can be higher 76 than at of the thawing path. This hysteresis is usually ignored in the models.

77 To determine SFCC in the laboratory, a soil specimen is usually subjected to a freeze-thaw cycle, while unfrozen water content is measured. Although controlling specimen's temperature 78 79 is technically feasible, measuring unfrozen water content is much more challenging. Several 80 methods and techniques have been developed to evaluate the unfrozen water content at negative 81 temperature, including dilatometry [42, 43], gas dilatometry [44], adiabatic calorimetry [45, 82 46], isothermal calorimetry [28], differential scanning calorimetry [10, 47, 48], X-ray diffraction [49, 50], time/frequency domain reflectometry (TDR/FDR) [51-53] and pulsed 83 84 nuclear magnetic resonance (P-NMR) [38, 54, 55]. Among these methods, TDR and P-NMR 85 are the two most common ones. P-NMR is widely acknowledged as a highly accurate and non-86 destructive technique. However, the equipment required for this technique is generally 87 expensive [56]. Compared to P-NMR, TDR/FDR can be used in the laboratory as well as in the 88 field and it is cheaper, quicker, and more portable. With TDR, unfrozen water content is inferred 89 from the measurement of apparent dielectric constant of soil using an empirical equation [57, 90 58] or dielectric mixing models [51, 59, 60]. It is noted that several factors such as temperature

91 or bound water can affect its accuracy.

92 Several studies have determined SFCC in the laboratory in both freezing and thawing processes 93 [18, 40, 42, 55, 61–64]. These studies recognized that hysteresis exists in SFCC in which the 94 unfrozen water content is different in thawing and freezing processes at the same temperature. 95 Hysteresis in freezing-thawing process was believed to be similar to that of wetting-drving 96 process. However, the mechanism inducing hysteresis in SFCC is complex and it may be 97 influenced by several effects such as supercooling, pore blocking, capillarity, free energy 98 barriers, contact angles and electrolytes [55, 62]. It is also noted that hysteresis is significant at 99 temperatures between -2 °C and 0 °C [42, 65, 66] and that it should not be ignored due to 100 impacts on unfrozen water content on frost heaving [67, 68], creep behaviour of frozen soils

101 [69, 70] as well as thermal regime of frozen ground [71].

102 Beside hysteresis effect, it is found that the shape of SFCC depends also on several factors, 103 including liquid limit [28], stress condition [72], salt content and solute types [38, 73], initial 104 water content or degree of saturation [74–76], types of soil [18, 62, 65], pore-size distribution 105 [55], and fines content [18, 28, 55, 63]. Among these factors, fines content can influence others 106 (liquid limit, pore-size distribution and types of soil). As far as fines content is concerned, by 107 determining unfrozen water content of several clays, a silt and a gravel, Tice et al. [28] observed 108 significantly different unfrozen water contents at the same temperature below 0 °C. Tian et al. 109 [63] carried out tests on three soils corresponding to three clay contents and found that unfrozen 110 water degree of saturation also changed in different ways in both freezing and thawing 111 processes. For soils containing higher clay fraction, unfrozen water degree of saturation was 112 higher at any given temperature below freezing point and the hysteresis loop was smaller. The 113 same findings concerning SFCC were obtained in the study of Zhang et al. [18] on silty clay, 114 and silt and in the study of Li et al. [55] on silty clay, fine sand, and medium sand. Some other 115 authors also investigated different soils but the effect of fines content was out of their focus [22, 116 30, 54, 62].

117 The present study aims at systematically investigating the effect of fines content on the SFCC 118 of sandy soils. Clean sand was mixed with clay at dry state firstly and water afterward to obtain 119 sandy soils with clay content of 0, 5, 10, 15, and 20% prior to compaction at the Proctor 120 maximum dry density followed by a saturation phase. The specimen's temperature was then 121 decreased progressively to freeze the soil specimen in undrained conditions prior to applying 122 the thawing process. During this freezing-thawing cycle, soil's temperature and unfrozen water 123 content were measured. After the introduction, the second section of this paper presents the 124 materials and experimental methods. Experimental results are presented in the third section, 125 before being discussed in the fourth section.

126 2. Materials and experimental methods

127 2.1. Experimental setup

The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 2 and the details of the sensors used are presented in 128 129 Table 1. Soil specimen was contained in a rigid metallic cylindrical cell (150 mm in height and 130 150 mm in diameter). The cell was immerged in a temperature-controlled bath (F38-EH JULABO with ±0.03 °C accuracy). Soil temperature was measured with a PT100 sensor, soil 131 132 volumetric water content was measured with a ML2x Thetaprobe sensor, and soil suction was 133 measured with a tensiometer. As Thetaprobe sensor measures soil apparent dielectric constant 134 (K_{α}) which is the ratio of the dielectric permittivity of a substance to free space, soil unfrozen 135 volumetric water content (θ_{u}) was estimated from measured K_{a} by using empirical equations of Smith and Tice [58] (1) and Topp et al. [57] (2) for frozen and unfrozen states of soil, 136 137 respectively. Equation (2) was used only for the initial state (before the occurrence of freezing)

- 138 and for the final state where thawing is complete. Equation (1) is used where ice is expected to
- 139 exist in soil (i.e. after the occurrence of freezing and before the completion of thawing).

140
$$\theta_u = -0.1458 + 3.868 \times 10^{-2} \times K_a - 8.502 \times 10^{-4} \times K_a^2 + 9.92 \times 10^{-6} \times K_a^3$$
 (1)

141
$$\theta_u = -5.3 \times 10^{-2} + 2.92 \times 10^{-2} \times K_a - 5.5 \times 10^{-4} \times K_a^2 + 4.3 \times 10^{-6} \times K_a^3$$
 (2)

142 Table 1: Properties of sensors using in freezing-thawing tests.

Measured	Principle	Туре	Accuracy	Range
parameters				
Temperature	Resistance temperature	PT100	±0.03 °C	-200 to 400
-	detector			°C
Volumetric	Time domain	ThetaProbe	0.01	0.01 to 1
unfrozen water	reflectometry (dielectric	ML2x (4 rods)	m^3/m^3	m^{3}/m^{3}
content	constant)			
Tensiometer	Piezoelectric transducer	T5x	± 0.5 kPa	-160 to 100
				kPa
Thermal	Transient line heat	KD2-Prob (RK-	10%	0.1 to 4
conductivity	source	1)		W/(m.K)



Fig. 2 Schematic view of the experimental setup. (1) Temperature-controlled bath; (2) Soil
specimen; (3) Temperature controlling system; (4) Temperature-controlled liquid (30%
ethylene glycol + 70% water); (5) Metallic cylindrical cell; (6) Insulating cover; (7)

- 147 Temperature sensor; (8) Tensiometer; (9) Soil water sensor; (10) Thermal conductivity probe
- 148 (results are not presented in this study); (11) Data logger system.

149 **2.2. Material**

Fontainebleau sand was carefully mixed with Speswhite kaolin clay at dry state using an 150 automatic mortar mixer in order to obtain sandy soils with fines content (dry mass of clay 151 divided by dry mass of soil) of 0, 5, 10, 15, and 20%. The physical properties of sand and clay 152 153 are shown in Table 2 and Table 3, respectively. Fig. 3 presents the grain size distribution of 154 these soils. In this study, the name of each soil corresponds to its clay content (for instance, S10 155 corresponds to a soil having 10% of clay in dry mass). Prior to the preparation of the soil specimens, each soil was carefully mixed with distilled water using the mortar mixer to obtain 156 157 optimum water content (determined from the Normal Proctor compaction curves obtained on 158 the same soils [77]). Afterward, wet soil was packed in a plastic bag for at least 24 h to ensure 159 the homogenisation of water content, prior to compaction in the cylindrical cell to reach its 160 maximum dry density.

161 Table 2. Physical properties of sand.

Property	Value
Median grain size, D ₅₀ (mm)	0.21
Uniformity coefficient, Cu	1.52
Minimum void ratio, e _{min}	0.54
Maximum void ratio, e _{max}	0.94
Particle density, ρ_s (Mg/m ³)	2.65
Minimum dry density, <i>ρ</i> _{d,min} (Mg/m ³)	1.37
Maximum dry density, ρ _{d,max} (Mg/m ³)	1.72

162 Table 3: Physical properties of clay.

Property	Value
Liquid limit, LL (%)	55
Plastic limit, PL (%)	30
Plasticity index, PI	25
Specific surface area (m ² /g)	0.94
Particle density, ρ_s (Mg/m ³)	2.65
Particle diameter < 0.002 mm (%)	79
Particle diameter > 0.01 mm (%)	0.5
Maximum dry density, p _{d,max} (Mg/m ³)	1.45





164 **Fig. 3** Grain size distribution curves.

165 **2.3. Experimental procedure**

166 After soil compaction in the cell, sensors were installed as shown in Fig. 2 and an insulating cover made of expanded polystyrene was placed in order to avoid heat exchange between soil 167 168 specimen and ambient air. The whole system was then transferred inside the temperature-169 controlled bath. Prior to the freezing-thawing test, soil specimen was saturated by injecting 170 water from the bottom of the specimen during 0.5 to 2 days depending on fines content. After 171 the saturation (when a layer of water of 10 mm was visible on the top of the specimen), the 172 temperature of the bath was first set at a temperature between 0 °C and -1 °C (slightly higher 173 than the expected T_{sn}). Each test started with the cooling path. The bath temperature was decreased in steps of 0.1 °C to freeze the soil pore water. Once the freezing was triggered, the 174 175 temperature continued to be decreased in steps of 0.2 °C until -2 °C or -3 °C to observe the 176 change of liquid water content during further cooling. Afterward, during the heating path, the 177 bath temperature was increased in steps of 0.2 °C until 0 °C to thaw the frozen soil. During both 178 cooling and heating paths, the bath temperature was changed to the subsequent step only when 179 soil temperature and volumetric unfrozen water content (measured by the sensors) had reached 180 their equilibrium state. The equilibrium state was considered reached when these two quantities 181 did not change (< 0.05 °C for temperature and < 1% for water content) during at least 2 h.

182 The test program is shown in Table 4. The test number shows the soil tested (S0 to S20) 183 followed by the number of replicate test (T1 to T4). At least two tests were performed for each

184 soil. Tests T1 were performed following the procedure described above to obtain the complete

185 SFCC curves. For the other tests (T2, T3, T4), only the freezing path of the same procedure was

186 performed in order to replicate the characteristic temperatures.

187 Table 4: Physical properties of soils.

Test No.	Fines	Dry	Porosity	Test duration
	content	density	(-)	
	(%)	(Mg/m^3)		(h)
S20-T1	20	1.98	0.25	754
S20-T2	20	1.96	0.26	26
S15-T1	15	1.99	0.25	712
S15-T2	15	2.00	0.25	64
S10-T1	10	1.91	0.28	590
S10-T2	10	1.90	0.28	153
S5-T1	5	1.78	0.33	817
S5-T2	5	1.78	0.33	143
S5-T3	5	1.78	0.33	190
S0-T1	0	1.67	0.37	756
S0-T2	0	1.67	0.37	286
S0-T3	0	1.67	0.37	75
S0-T4	0	1.68	0.37	187

188 **3. Experimental results**

189 **3.1. Typical test (S10-T1)**

As an example, the results of test S10-T1 are shown in Fig. 4 where soil temperature, suction, and volumetric unfrozen water content are plotted versus elapsed time for the cooling path.

From -1.2 °C, soil temperature was decreased in steps of 0.1 °C down to -1.6 °C. During this period, soil temperature was controlled through the bath's temperature, suction remained equal

to zero and volumetric water content remained constant. When soil temperature reached -1.6

¹⁹⁵ °C, soil freezing started inducing abrupt changes in the three measured quantities. Results

obtained during this stage (elapsed time of 70 - 86 h) are shown in Figure 5 for a better view.



Fig. 4 Soil temperature, volumetric unfrozen water content and suction versus elapsed timeduring the cooling path of test S10-T1.

200 As shown in Fig. 5, when the bath temperature was changed from -1.5 °C to -1.6 °C (at 76 h), 201 soil temperature changed to -1.6 °C after a few minutes. At 77 h, while the bath temperature 202 was still maintained at -1.6 °C, soil temperature increased abruptly to -0.1 °C prior to a progressive decrease and reached the imposed temperature (-1.6 °C) again at 83 h. Soil suction 203 204 started to increase at 78 h and reached a maximum value of 300 kPa prior to fall down to 100 205 kPa. At 77 h, soil water content decreased abruptly from 28% to 26% prior to decrease 206 progressively to 3 % at 82 h. These results are representative of a freezing process in soil (Fig. 207 1) where the phase before 77 h corresponds to the supercooling step. At 77 h, soil water started 208 to freeze: soil temperature increased abruptly because of latent heat release prior to decrease 209 because of heat diffusion toward the liquid surrounding the cell; soil suction increased quickly because of the cryogenic suction induced by ice formation in the pore space (the sudden 210 decrease of suction from 300 kPa to 100 kPa corresponded to the cavitation of the tensiometer, 211 212 after this moment, the sensor did not provide anymore the real soil suction); volumetric water content decreased because of ice formation. From these typical results, the following parameters 213 214 were defined to characterise the freezing process (see Figure 5): (i) temperature of spontaneous nucleation, T_{sn} ; (ii) freezing point, T_{f} ; (iii) residual volumetric unfrozen water content, θ_r (the 215 value recorded at temperature equal to T_{sn} ; (iv) duration of the temperature plateau, t_p ; (v) 216 217 duration of the freezing process, t_f .

- 218 After the freezing process (from 83 h), decrease of temperature induced slight decrease of
- 219 volumetric unfrozen water content (see Figure 4) while soil suction measurement was no longer
- 220 available because of the cavitation of the tensiometer.



Fig. 5 Soil temperature, volumetric unfrozen water content and suction versus elapsed time during the freezing process of test S10-T1 (detailed view from 70h to 86 h).

Fig. 6 shows the results of test S10-T1 during the heating path. During this path, temperature was increased by steps of 0.2 °C from -2.8 °C to 0 °C. It induced thawing of frozen water (corresponding to a gradual increase of unfrozen water content).



Fig. 6 Soil temperature and volumetric unfrozen water content versus elapsed time during the heating path of test S10-T1.

- 230 From the results shown in Fig. 4, Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, volumetric unfrozen water content obtained
- at the end of each step is plotted versus the corresponding soil temperature for test S10-T1 in
- Fig. 7. These results correspond to the SFCC of soil S10 obtained from test S10-T1, which
- 233 include both freezing and thawing paths.



Fig. 7 Soil freezing characteristic curve determined from test S10-T1.

236 **3.2. Effects of fines content**

237 SFCC of all soils are shown in Fig. 8 where volumetric unfrozen water content was plotted versus temperature. As the initial volumetric water content (which depends on soil dry density) 238 239 was different from one soil to the others, it is thus difficult to analyse the effect of fines content 240 from these results. For this reason, volumetric unfrozen water content was used to calculate unfrozen water degree of saturation ($S_r = \theta/\theta_{sat}$; where θ_{sat} is the volumetric unfrozen water 241 content at saturate state). Fig. 9 shows SFCC of all soils where unfrozen degree of saturation 242 243 was plotted versus temperature. For each soil, from the initial saturated state, when soil temperature decreased from 0 °C, soil remained saturated with unfrozen water. When 244 245 temperature reached the temperature of spontaneous nucleation, freezing was triggered inducing significant decrease of unfrozen water degree of saturation. After this step, cooling 246 247 induced only slight decrease of unfrozen water degree of saturation. During the heating path, unfrozen water degree of saturation increased gradually with temperature and the relationship 248 249 between these two quantities was significantly different from the cooling path for all soils.

250



Fig. 8 Soil freezing characteristic curve (volumetric unfrozen water content versus temperature)
 for all soils.



Fig. 9 Soil freezing characteristic curve (unfrozen water degree of saturation versus temperature) for all soils.

In order to quantitatively assess the effects of fines content, temperatures of spontaneous nucleation T_{sn} and freezing point T_f were plotted versus fines content (Fig. 10). The results show that the temperature of freezing point was close to 0 °C for all soils. The results were quite repeatable (with variation less than 0.1 °C) and only a slight trend of decrease of T_f when fines content increase could be observed. For T_{sn} , results showed a higher scattering (up to 0.5 °C, except for test at 0% of clay content where this value varied from -0.4 °C to -1.5 °C). In general, T_{sn} is lower at a higher clay content.





265 Fig. 10 Temperatures of spontaneous nucleation and freezing point versus fines content

Fig. 11 shows the residual unfrozen water content θ_r (the value determined at a temperature equal to T_{sn} , see Fig. 5) versus fines content. A good repeatability (with a scattering of 0.5 %) could be observed. The results show that residual unfrozen water content was higher at a higher fines content.



271 Fig. 11 Residual unfrozen water content versus fines content.

Fig. 12 presents the duration of the temperature plateau t_p and the duration of the freezing process t_f (see the definition on Fig. 5) versus fines content. Results of t_p were quite scattering for 0 and 5% of fines content, varying from 0.80 to 4.40 h. They were more repeatable at higher fines contents. A general decrease of this duration when the fines contents increased could be observed. Results of t_f varied between 5 and 10 h (except one test, S0-T1 where it was very long, 37.50 h). These results did not show any clear trend.

- Table 5 shows the obtained characteristic parameters of all tests for better comparison.
- 279



Fig. 12 Duration of the temperature plateau and duration of the freezing process versus finescontent.

283	Table 5: Summary	of characteristic	parameters in freezing	g of tests
-----	------------------	-------------------	------------------------	------------

Test No.	Fines	T _{sn}	T_f	θ_r	t_p	t_f
	(%)	(°C)	(°C)	(-)	(h)	(h)
S20-T1	20	-1.86	-0.11	6.5	0.15	5.65
S20-T2	20	-1.53	-0.09	7.0	0.10	6.95
S15-T1	15	-1.61	-0.07	5.2	0.17	5.70
S15-T2	15	-1.63	-0.09	5.0	0.13	4.00
S10-T1	10	-1.65	-0.07	2.9	0.58	5.50
S10-T2	10	-1.55	-0.06	2.7	0.80	6.10

S5-T1	5	-1.52	-0.03	2.3	0.82	5.90
S5-T2	5	-1.21	-0.07	2.1	3.10	7.35
S5-T3	5	-1.35	-0.03	2.1	1.70	7.40
S0-T1	0	-0.39	-0.04	1.5	4.40	37.50
S0-T2	0	-1.51	-0.02	1.10	1.00	7.70
S0-T3	0	-1.10	-0.04	1.40	0.80	10.70
S0-T4	0	-1.40	-0.02	1.35	0.80	7.40

285 **4. Discussion**

286 In this study, in order to determine the relationship between unfrozen water content and 287 temperature during a freezing-thawing cycle, large soil specimens (150 mm in height and 150 288 mm in diameter) were prepared in order to embed several sensors within the soil mass. To 289 minimise thermal and any other gradients, soil temperature was changed by small steps and 290 equilibrium was checked at the end of each step prior the subsequent step. At equilibrium, the 291 soil temperature and unfrozen water content were thus supposed to be homogeneous within the 292 specimen. Similar large soil specimens were equally used in previous studies investigating 293 SFCC with TDR method for measurement of unfrozen water content [18, 53, 75, 78]. Smaller 294 specimens were used when measurements were performed by pulsed-NMR method [30, 63, 295 79]. In several previous works, specimens were immerged in a cooling bath with constant 296 cooling rate or at low temperature (between -15 °C and -30 °C) and kept for several hours [17, 297 73, 80, 81]. For determining SFCC, unfrozen water content was measured at various controlled 298 temperatures [18, 53, 75, 76, 78, 79]. The difference between two successive controlled 299 temperatures in these studies varies between 0.3 °C and 5 °C. In the present work, temperature 300 steps of 0.1 °C and 0.2 °C were chosen before the occurrence of freezing phenomenon and 301 afterward, respectively, in order to determine more accurately the freezing point, the 302 temperature of spontaneous nucleation and the SFCC.

303 The measurement of unfrozen water content in the present study was converted from the 304 measurement of apparent dielectric constant. In this study, under the influence of temperature, 305 dielectric constant of each phase in soils changes, particularly those of water and ice [82, 83]. 306 Several models exist to estimate moisture content from unfrozen soil apparent dielectric 307 constant [51, 53, 57–60, 84–86]. The most used is Topp's empirical model [57] but it is not compatible with frozen soils [44, 58, 87]. Otherwise, Smith and Tice [58] proposed a model 308 309 based on comparison of unfrozen water content measured from NMR and TDR methods for 25 310 soils covering a wide range of specific surface areas. For this reason, in the present work, the 311 model of Smith and Tice [58], which provides an accuracy of $\pm 3\%$ compared to measurements 312 from NMR method, was used for frozen soils.

313 Hysteresis of SFCC (difference between the freezing and the thawing curves) is usually attributed to the same factors inducing hysteresis in SWCC, such as the effect of electrolytes, 314 315 pore geometry, pore blocking, effect of contact angle and change in pore structure [78]. 316 Actually, in a freezing process, increasing solute concentration by forming ice from water 317 increases the effect of electrolyte. Otherwise, forming ice also changes soil skeleton that affects 318 matric potentials of soils. In addition, the hysteric behaviour is also mainly attributed to 319 supercooling of pore water [18, 54, 62, 63]. Instead of freezing at 0 °C, pore water is necessarily 320 supercooled at lower temperature. In the present work, an insignificant hysteresis of θ_{μ} was

321 observed for all soils below T_{sn} (at frozen state). First, the effect of electrolytes can be ignored. 322 Second, temperature below T_{sn} of -1 °C to -2 °C corresponds to a suction of 1 MPa to 2.5 MPa 323 following the Clapeyron equation. This high range of suction corresponds mainly to water in 324 micropore (intra-aggregates) in the clay matrix where SWCC is also reversible. As a result, 325 hysteresis of SFCC observed in the present work could be contributed mainly to supercooling. 326 After the triggering of freezing, SFCC obtained at temperature lower than T_{sn} were generally 327 reversible (see Fig. 8 & Fig. 9).

328 Results shown in Fig. 9 demonstrate significant effect of fines content on the thawing path of 329 SFCC; at a given temperature, a higher unfrozen water degree of saturation was obtained at a 330 higher fines content. These results are consistent with the findings of previous works [28, 55, 331 63, 70]. Following these studies, Gibbs-Thompson equation can be used to relate the pore size 332 distribution and the thawing path of SFCC; a lower temperature corresponds to a smaller pore. 333 In the present work, soil having higher fines content would have a larger volume of micropores 334 (inter-aggregates and intra-aggregates pores) a lower volume of macropores (space between 335 sand particles).

336 T_{sn} determined in this study can be associated to supercooling. Fig. 10 shows that this parameter 337 generally decreased with an increase of fines content and it was measured with a relatively high scattering. For bulk water, T_{sn} depend on numerous factors such as sample volume, cooling 338 339 velocity, the presence and concentration of solutes, the presence of solid impurities, effects of 340 external fields (impulse waves, electromagnetic radiation, etc.) [9, 89, 90]. In the case of soils, 341 additional factors can be soil components and their fractions. Many studies determined T_{sn} of various soils and found that increasing clay content in soils decreases temperature of 342 343 spontaneous nucleation to lower range [15, 18]. These studies focused on clays or clay and silt 344 and these results agree with sandy soils in the present study. It is noted that the supercooling is 345 considered as a necessary phase to activate nucleation process and it appears in both cases, either in free pure water or within the porous volume of soils. Because of the high value of 346 347 released latent heat, about 334 J/g, which appears during nucleation process, water needs to be 348 supercooled at T_{sn} for equilibrating energy before crystallization. According to Yershov [91], T_{sn} is remarked as the temperature at which embryo nuclei form and grow to the critical sizes, 349 about 472 H₂O corresponding to 10⁻²⁶ m³. The relatively high scattering of results obtained in 350 the present work can be thus explained by the random behaviour of the crystallization process. 351 352 The slight effect of fines content on T_{sn} can be explained by the effect of soil pore size 353 distribution on the supercooling: soil having a higher fines content would have higher volume 354 of micropores, and T_{sn} is generally lower in a smaller pore.

355 Numerous studies investigated T_f and showed that T_f depends on many factors such as salt 356 content [20, 73, 80, 92, 93], salt types [17, 81], initial water content [15], soil types [10, 18, 30, 357 55, 94, 95], etc. In the present study, T_f was found close to 0 °C for all soils. This result can be 358 explained by two main reasons: soils were studied at saturated state and fines content is 359 sufficiently low. Bing and Ma [81] obtained similar results with saturated sandy soil containing 360 less than 7.5% of clay. Furthermore, freezing point remains constant also above a certain value of water content for all soils [9, 81, 96]. Actually, for the soils considered in the present study, 361 362 with relatively low contents of low plasticity kaolin clay, the amount of bound water should be 363 negligible and T_f should be similar to that of bulk pure water, i.e. close to 0 °C.

Residual unfrozen content was found higher at a higher fines content (Fig. 11). It is believed that residual unfrozen relates almost directly to the amount of specific surface of soils. According to several studies [18, 28, 30, 55, 63], unfrozen water content remaining in soils at the same temperature decreased in the following order: clay, silts, sands and gravel. Following Bing and Ma [81], only free water was frozen when freezing is triggered. Unfrozen water should then correspond to bound water. According to Tian et al. [63], the amount of bound water in soils is proportional to the thickness of the electric double layer and specific surface area. In the present study, a higher fines content corresponds to a higher specific surface area and then a higher amount of bound water.

The duration of temperature plateau, t_p , would depend then on the amount of latent heat released when freezing is triggered. This amount mainly depends on T_{sn} , as shown in Table 5. As the results of T_{sn} show significant scattering and a general slight increase when fines content increased (Fig. 10), similar trends were observed with t_p (Fig. 12). The duration of the freezing process, t_f , which is much longer than t_p , correspond to the thermal diffusion of latent heat released during the whole freezing process. This duration would depend thus mainly on the thermal diffusivity of the frozen soil (which at the same time evolves during freezing).

380

381 **5. Conclusions**

The results obtained in this study show that fines content in sandy soils significantly influenced the soil behaviour under a freezing-thawing cycle. Based on the investigation of five levels of fines content (varying from 0 to 20 %), the following conclusions can be addressed:

- 385 When the temperature decreased from 0°C, freezing was triggered at T_{sn} inducing a sudden decrease of θ_u from the saturated state to the residual state. Afterward, θ_u continued to decrease but with a lower rate. The subsequent heating induced an increase of θ_u (which represents a progressive melting of frozen water).
- 389 The thawing path of SFCC was strongly dependent on the fines content; at a given temperature, a higher θ_u was observed for a higher fines content.
- 391 T_{sn} was higher at a higher fines content and varied between -1.0 °C and -2.0 °C.
- 392 T_f varied between 0°C and -0.2 °C, only a slight decrease of T_f with an increase of fines 393 content was observed.
- 394 θ_r (varied from 1 % to 7 %) was higher at a higher fines content.
- 195 t_p was found scattering and slightly decreased when fines content increased.
- t_f was found independent of fines content.
- 397 The findings of the present study would be helpful to predict the soil behaviour under freezing-398 thawing process. That would imply several applications in cold regions and also in geotechnical
- 399 engineering ground improvement by artificial ground freezing.

400 Data availability

401 The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the402 corresponding author on reasonable request.

403 **References**

Andersland OB, Ladanyi B (1994) An Introduction to Frozen Ground Engineering.
 Springer Science & Business Media

- 406 2. Andersland OB, Ladanyi B (2004) Frozen Ground Engineering. John Wiley & Sons
- 407 3. Russo G, Corbo A, Cavuoto F, Autuori S (2015) Artificial Ground Freezing to excavate
 408 a tunnel in sandy soil. Measurements and back analysis. Tunn Undergr Sp Technol
 409 50:226–238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tust.2015.07.008
- 410 4. Han L, Ye G, Li Y, et al (2016) In situ monitoring of frost heave pressure during cross
 411 passage construction using ground-freezing method. Can Geotech J 53:530–539.
 412 https://doi.org/10.1139/cgj-2014-0486
- 413 5. Zhang S, Sheng D, Zhao G, et al (2016) Analysis of frost heave mechanisms in a high414 speed railway embankment. Can Geotech J 53:520–529. https://doi.org/10.1139/cgj415 2014-0456
- 416 6. Yu W, Zhang T, Lu Y, et al (2020) Engineering risk analysis in cold regions: State of
 417 the art and perspectives. Cold Reg Sci Technol 171:102963.
 418 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2019.102963
- Akyurt M, Zaki G, Habeebullah B (2002) Freezing phenomena in ice-water systems.
 Energy Convers Manag 43:1773–1789. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0196-8904(01)001297
- 422 8. Chen SL, Lee TS (1998) A study of supercooling phenomenon and freezing probability
 423 of water inside horizontal cylinders. Int J Heat Mass Transf 41:769–783.
 424 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0017-9310(97)00134-8
- 425 9. Kozlowski T (2009) Some factors affecting supercooling and the equilibrium freezing
 426 point in soil-water systems. Cold Reg Sci Technol 59:25–33.
 427 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2009.05.009
- 428 10. Kozlowski T (2004) Soil freezing point as obtained on melting. Cold Reg Sci Technol
 429 38:93–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2003.09.001
- 430 11. Mishima O, Stanley HE (1998) The relationship between liquid, supercooled and glassy
 431 water. Nature 396:329–335. https://doi.org/10.1038/24540
- 432 12. Enninful HRNB, Schneider D, Kohns R, et al (2020) A novel approach for advanced
 433 thermoporometry characterization of mesoporous solids: Transition kernels and the
 434 serially connected pore model. Microporous Mesoporous Mater 309:110534.
 435 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micromeso.2020.110534
- 436 13. Schreiber A, Ketelsen I, Findenegg GH (2001) Melting and freezing of water in ordered
 437 mesoporous silica materials. Phys Chem Chem Phys 3:1185–1195.
 438 https://doi.org/10.1039/b010086m
- 439 14. Petrov O, Furó I (2006) Curvature-dependent metastability of the solid phase and the
 440 freezing-melting hysteresis in pores. Phys Rev E 73:011608.
 441 https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.73.011608
- 442 15. Anderson DM (1968) Undercooling, freezing point depression, and ice nucleation of soil

443		water. Isr J Chem 6:349-355. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijch.196800044
444 445	16.	ANDERSON DM (1967) Ice nucleation and the substrate-ice interface. Nature 216:563–566. https://doi.org/10.1038/216563a0
446 447	17.	Wan X, Lai Y, Wang C (2015) Experimental study on the freezing temperatures of saline silty soils. Permafr Periglac Process 26:175–187. https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp.1837
448 449 450	18.	Zhang M, Zhang X, Lai Y, et al (2020) Variations of the temperatures and volumetric unfrozen water contents of fine-grained soils during a freezing-thawing process. Acta Geotech 15:595–601. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11440-018-0720-z
451 452 453	19.	Yu F, Guo P, Na S (2022) A framework for constructing elasto-plastic constitutive models for frozen and unfrozen soils. Int J Numer Anal Methods Geomech 46:436–466. https://doi.org/10.1002/nag.3306
454 455	20.	Ayers AD, Campell RB (1951) Freezing point of water in a soil as related to salt and moisture contents of the soil. Soil Sci 72:201–206
456 457 458	21.	He Z, Teng J, Yang Z, et al (2020) An analysis of vapour transfer in unsaturated freezing soils. Cold Reg Sci Technol 169:102914. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2019.102914
459 460 461	22.	Teng J, Zhong Y, Zhang S, Sheng D (2021) A mathematic model for the soil freezing characteristic curve: the roles of adsorption and capillarity. Cold Reg Sci Technol 181:103178. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2020.103178
462 463	23.	Anderson DM, Tice AR (1972) Predicting unfrozen water contents in frozen soils from surface area measurements. Highw Res Rec 393:12–18
464 465 466	24.	Kozlowski T (2007) A semi-empirical model for phase composition of water in clay- water systems. Cold Reg Sci Technol 49:226–236. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2007.03.013
467 468 469	25.	Kozlowski T, Nartowska E (2013) Unfrozen Water Content in Representative Bentonites of Different Origin Subjected to Cyclic Freezing and Thawing. Vadose Zo J 12:. https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2012.0057
470 471 472	26.	Ye M, Pan F, Wu Y-S, et al (2007) Assessment of radionuclide transport uncertainty in the unsaturated zone of Yucca Mountain. Adv Water Resour 30:118–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2006.03.005
473 474 475	27.	Ge S, McKenzie J, Voss C, Wu Q (2011) Exchange of groundwater and surface-water mediated by permafrost response to seasonal and long term air temperature variation. Geophys Res Lett 38:1–6. https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL047911
476 477 478	28.	Tice AR, Anderson DM, Banin A (1976) The prediction of unfrozen water contents in frozen soils from liquid limit determinations. Department of Defense, Army, Corps of Engineers, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory

- 479 29. Dall'Amico M (2010) Coupled water and heat transfer in permafrost modeling.
 480 University of Trento
- 481 30. Teng J, Kou J, Yan X, et al (2020) Parameterization of soil freezing characteristic curve
 482 for unsaturated soils. Cold Reg Sci Technol 170:102928.
 483 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2019.102928
- 484 31. Zhang X, Sun SF, Xue Y (2007) Development and Testing of a Frozen Soil
 485 Parameterization for Cold Region Studies. J Hydrometeorol 8:690–701.
 486 https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM605.1
- 487 32. Sheshukov AY, Nieber JL (2011) One-dimensional freezing of nonheaving unsaturated
 488 soils: Model formulation and similarity solution. Water Resour Res 47:1–17.
 489 https://doi.org/10.1029/2011WR010512
- 490 33. Liu Z, Yu X (Bill) (2013) Physically Based Equation for Phase Composition Curve of Res 491 Frozen Soils. Transp Rec J Transp Res Board 2349:93-99. https://doi.org/10.3141/2349-11 492
- 493 34. Zhang S, Teng J, He Z, et al (2016) Canopy effect caused by vapour transfer in covered
 494 freezing soils. Géotechnique 66:927–940. https://doi.org/10.1680/jgeot.16.P.016
- 495 35. Zhou Y, Zhou J, Shi X, Zhou G (2019) Practical models describing hysteresis behavior
 496 of unfrozen water in frozen soil based on similarity analysis. Cold Reg Sci Technol
 497 157:215–223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2018.11.002
- 498 36. Sun K, Zhou A (2021) A multisurface elastoplastic model for frozen soil. Acta Geotech
 499 16:3401–3424. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11440-021-01391-7
- Kebria MM, Na S, Yu F (2022) An algorithmic framework for computational estimation
 of soil freezing characteristic curves. Int J Numer Anal Methods Geomech 46:1544–
 1565. https://doi.org/10.1002/nag.3356
- 38. Watanabe K, Mizoguchi M (2002) Amount of unfrozen water in frozen porous media
 saturated with solution. Cold Reg Sci Technol 34:103–110.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-232X(01)00063-5
- Solo J, Wei C, Lai Y, et al (2018) Application of the Generalized Clapeyron Equation
 to Freezing Point Depression and Unfrozen Water Content. Water Resour Res 54:9412–
 9431. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR023221
- 40. Ishizaki T, Maruyama M, Furukawa Y, Dash J (1996) Premelting of ice in porous silica
 glass. J Cryst Growth 163:455–460
- 51141.Bai R, Lai Y, Zhang M, Yu F (2018) Theory and application of a novel soil freezing512characteristiccurve.513https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2017.10.121
- 514 42. Koopmans RWR, Miller RD (1966) Soil freezing and soil water characteristic curves.
 515 Soil Sci Soc Am J 30:680–685

- 43. Patterson DE, Smith MW (1981) The measurement of unfrozen water content by time domain reflectometry: results from laboratory tests. Can Geotech J 18:131–144.
 https://doi.org/10.1139/t81-012
- 519 44. Spaans EJ a, Baker JM (1995) Examining the use of time domain reflectometry for
 520 measuring liquid water content in frozen soil. Water Resour Res 31:2917–2925.
 521 https://doi.org/10.1029/95WR02769
- 45. Anderson DM, Tice AR (1973) The unfrozen interfacial phase in frozen soil water
 systems. In: Physical aspects of soil water and salts in ecosystems. pp 107–124
- 46. Kolaian JH, Low PF (1963) Calorimetric determination of unfrozen water in montmorillonite pastes. Soil Sci 95:376–384
- 52647.Kozlowski T (2003) A comprehensive method of determining the soil unfrozen water527curves. Cold Reg Sci Technol 36:71–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-528232X(03)00007-7
- 48. Yong RN, Cheung C, Sheeran DE (1979) Prediction of Salt Influence on Unfrozen Water
 Content in Frozen Soils. In: Developments in Geotechnical Engineering. pp 137–155
- 531 49. Anderson DM, Hoekstra P (1965) Migration of interlamellar water during freezing and 532 of Wyoming bentonite. Soil Sci Soc 29:498-504. thawing Am J 533 https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1965.03615995002900050010x
- 534 50. Anderson DM, Morgenstern NR (1973) Physics, chemistry, and mechanics of frozen
 535 ground: a review. In: Permafrost: North American Contribution [to The] Second
 536 International Conference; National Academies: Washington, DC, USA. p 257
- 537 51. Stähli M, Stadler D (1997) Measurement of water and solute dynamics in freezing soil
 538 columns with time domain reflectometry. J Hydrol 195:352–369.
 539 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(96)03227-1
- 540 52. Zhou X, Zhou J, Kinzelbach W, Stauffer F (2014) Simultaneous measurement of unfrozen water content and ice content in frozen soil using gamma ray attenuation and 541 542 TDR. Am Water Resour Assoc 5:2–2. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-J 543 1688.1969.tb04897.x
- 544 53. Schafer H, Beier N (2020) Estimating soil-water characteristic curve from soil-freezing
 545 characteristic curve for mine waste tailings using time domain reflectometry. Can
 546 Geotech J 57:73–84. https://doi.org/10.1139/cgj-2018-0145
- 547 54. Tice AR, Anderson DM, Sterrett KF (1982) Unfrozen water contents of submarine
 548 permafrost determined by nuclear magnetic resonance. In: Developments in
 549 Geotechnical Engineering. pp 135–146
- 550 Li Z, Chen J, Sugimoto M (2020) Pulsed NMR Measurements of Unfrozen Water 55. 551 Partially Frozen Soil. Cold Reg 34:04020013. Content in J Eng 552 https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CR.1943-5495.0000220

- 55356.Yoshikawa K, Overduin PP (2005) Comparing unfrozen water content measurements of554frozen soil using recently developed commercial sensors. Cold Reg Sci Technol 42:250–555256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2005.03.001
- 556 57. Topp GC, Davis JL, Annan AP (1980) Electromagnetic determination of soil water 557 content: Measurements in coaxial transmission lines. Water Resour Reserch 16:574–582
- 558 58. Smith MW, Tice AR (1988) Measurement of the unfrozen water content of soils: 559 comparison of NMR and TDR methods. CRREL report, 88 - 18.
- 560 59. Roth K, Schulin R, Fluhler H, Attinger W (1990) Calibration of time domain
 561 reflectometry for water content measurement using a composite dielectric approach.
 562 WATER Resour Res VOL 26:2267–2273
- 563 60. Watanabe K, Wake T (2009) Measurement of unfrozen water content and relative
 564 permittivity of frozen unsaturated soil using NMR and TDR. Cold Reg Sci Technol
 565 59:34–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2009.05.011
- 566 61. Spaans EJA, Baker JM (1996) The Soil Freezing Characteristic: Its Measurement and
 567 Similarity to the Soil Moisture Characteristic. Soil Sci Soc Am J 60:13–19
- 568 62. Bittelli M, Flury M, Campbell GS (2003) A thermodielectric analyzer to measure the
 569 freezing and moisture characteristic of porous media. Water Resour Res 39:
- 570 63. Tian H, Wei C, Wei H, Zhou J (2014) Freezing and thawing characteristics of frozen
 571 soils: Bound water content and hysteresis phenomenon. Cold Reg Sci Technol 103:74–
 572 81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2014.03.007
- 573 64. Horiguchi K, Miller RD (1980) Experimental studies with frozen soil in an "ice sandwich" permeameter. Cold Reg Sci Technol 3:177–183.
 575 https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-232X(80)90023-3
- 576 65. Kruse AM, Darrow MM (2017) Adsorbed cation effects on unfrozen water in fine577 grained frozen soil measured using pulsed nuclear magnetic resonance. Cold Reg Sci
 578 Technol 142:42–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2017.07.006
- 579 66. Hu G, Zhao L, Zhu X, et al (2020) Review of algorithms and parameterizations to
 580 determine unfrozen water content in frozen soil. Geoderma 368:114277.
 581 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114277
- 58267.Hoekstra P (1966) Moisture movement in soils under temperature gradients with the
cold-side temperature below freezing. Water Resour Res 2:241–250
- 584 68. Torrance JK, Schellekens FJ (2006) Chemical factors in soil freezing and frost heave.
 585 Polar Rec (Gr Brit) 42:33–42. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0032247405004894
- Arenson LU, Johansen MM, Springman SM (2004) Effects of volumetric ice content and
 strain rate on shear strength under triaxial conditions for frozen soil samples. Permafr
 Periglac Process 15:261–271. https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp.498

- 589 70. Zhang H, Zhang J, Zhang Z, et al (2020) Variation behavior of pore-water pressure in
 590 warm frozen soil under load and its relation to deformation. Acta Geotech 15:603–614.
 591 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11440-018-0736-4
- 592 71. Darrow MM (2011) Thermal modeling of roadway embankments over permafrost. Cold
 593 Reg Sci Technol 65:474–487. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2010.11.001
- 594 72. Mu QY, Zhou C, Ng CWW, Zhou GGD (2019) Stress Effects on Soil Freezing
 595 Characteristic Curve: Equipment Development and Experimental Results. Vadose Zo J
 596 18:1–10. https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2018.11.0199
- 597 73. Ming F, Chen L, Li D, Du C (2020) Investigation into Freezing Point Depression in Soil
 598 Caused by NaCl Solution. Water 12:2232. https://doi.org/10.3390/w12082232
- 599 74. Suzuki S (2004) Dependence of unfrozen water content in unsaturated frozen clay soil 600 Plant 50:603-606. initial soil moisture content. Soil Sci Nutr on 601 https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2004.10408518
- Wu M, Tan X, Huang J, et al (2015) Solute and water effects on soil freezing
 characteristics based on laboratory experiments. Cold Reg Sci Technol 115:22–29.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2015.03.007
- 505 76. Jia H, Ding S, Wang Y, et al (2019) An NMR-based investigation of pore water freezing
 506 process in sandstone. Cold Reg Sci Technol 168:102893.
 507 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2019.102893
- 608 77. Boussaid K (2005) Sols intermédiaires pour la modélisation physique : application aux
 609 fondations superficielles. École Centrale de Nantes et Université de Nantes
- 610 78. Ren J, Vanapalli SK (2019) Comparison of Soil-Freezing and Soil-Water Characteristic
 611 Curves of Two Canadian Soils. Vadose Zo J 18:1–14.
 612 https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2018.10.0185
- 613 79. Ma T, Wei C, Xia X, et al (2017) Soil freezing and soil water retention characteristics:
 614 connection and solute effects. J Perform Constr Facil 31:1–8.
 615 https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CF.1943-5509.0000851
- 61680.Wan X, Liu E, Qiu E (2021) Study on ice nucleation temperature and water freezing in
saline soils. Permafr Periglac Process 32:119–138. https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp.2081
- 61881.Bing H, Ma W (2011) Laboratory investigation of the freezing point of saline soil. Cold619Reg Sci Technol 67:79–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2011.02.008
- 82. Wraith JM, Or D (1999) Temperature effects on soil bulk dielectric permittivity
 measured by time domain reflectometry: Experimental evidence and hypothesis
 development. Water Resour Res 35:361–369
- 623 83. Haynes WM (2016) CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 97th Editi. CRC press
- 624 84. Birchak JR, Gardner CG, Hipp JE, Victor JM (1974) High dielectric constant microwave

- 625probesforsensingsoilmoisture.ProcIEEE62:93–98.626https://doi.org/10.1109/PROC.1974.9388
- 85. He H, Dyck M (2013) Application of multiphase dielectric mixing models for understanding the effective dielectric permittivity of frozen soils. Vadose Zo J 12:. https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2012.0060
- 86. Nagare RM, Schincariol RA, Quinton WL, Hayashi M (2011) Laboratory calibration of
 time domain reflectometry to determine moisture content in undisturbed peat samples.
 Eur J Soil Sci 62:505–515. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2011.01351.x
- 87. Zhou X, Zhou J, Kinzelbach W, Stauffer F (2014) Simultaneous measurement of
 unfrozen water content and ice content in frozen soil using gamma ray attenuation and
 TDR. Water Resour Res 50:9630–9655. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014WR015640
- 88. Su Y, Cui Y-J, Dupla J-C, Canou J (2022) Soil-water retention behaviour of fine/coarse
 soil mixture with varying coarse grain contents and fine soil dry densities. Can Geotech
 J 59:291–299. https://doi.org/10.1139/cgj-2021-0054
- 639 89. Fletcher NH (1970) The chemical physics of ice. Press Cambridge, Engl 111
- 90. Y.Uzu, Sano I (1965) On the Freezing of the Droplets of Aqueous Solutions. J Meteorol
 Soc Japan Ser II 43:290–292
- 642 91. Yershov ED (2004) General Geocryology. Cambridge University Press
- Banin A, Anderson DM (1974) Effects of Salt Concentration Changes During Freezing
 on the Unfrozen Water Content of Porous Materials. Water Resour Res 10:124–128
- Han Y, Wang Q, Kong Y, et al (2018) Experiments on the initial freezing point of dispersive saline soil. Catena 171:681–690. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2018.07.046
- 647 94. Cannell GH, Gardner WH (1959) Freezing-point depressions in stabilized soil aggregates, synthetic soil, and quartz sand. Soil Sci Soc Am J 23:418–422.
 649 https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1959.03615995002300060018x
- 650 95. Kozlowski T (2016) A simple method of obtaining the soil freezing point depression, the unfrozen water content and the pore size distribution curves from the DSC peak maximum temperature. Cold Reg Sci Technol 122:18–25.
 653 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2015.10.009
- Wen Z, Ma W, Feng W, et al (2012) Experimental study on unfrozen water content and
 soil matric potential of Qinghai-Tibetan silty clay. Environ Earth Sci 66:1467–1476.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-011-1386-0
- 657