

Benefits of ozonation before activated carbon adsorption for the removal of organic micropollutants from wastewater effluents

Ronan Guillossou, Julien Le Roux, Stephan Brosillon, Romain Mailler, Emmanuelle Vulliet, Catherine Morlay, Fabrice Nauleau, Vincent Rocher,

Johnny Gasperi

▶ To cite this version:

Ronan Guillossou, Julien Le Roux, Stephan Brosillon, Romain Mailler, Emmanuelle Vulliet, et al.. Benefits of ozonation before activated carbon adsorption for the removal of organic micropollutants from wastewater effluents. Chemosphere, 2020, 245, pp.125530. 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.125530 . hal-02400040

HAL Id: hal-02400040 https://enpc.hal.science/hal-02400040

Submitted on 1 Jul2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Title

2	Benefits	of	ozonation	before	activated	carbon	adsorption	for	the	removal	of	organic
3	micropol	nts from wa										

- 5 Ronan Guillossou¹, Julien Le Roux^{1*}, Stéphan Brosillon², Romain Mailler³, Emmanuelle Vulliet⁴,
- 6 Catherine Morlay⁵, Fabrice Nauleau⁶, Vincent Rocher³, Johnny Gaspéri^{1*}
- 7 ¹ Ecole des Ponts ParisTech, Université Paris-Est Créteil, AgroParisTech, Laboratoire Eau
- 8 Environnement et Systèmes Urbains, UMR-MA 102, Créteil, France

9	² Institut Européen des Membranes, IEM – UMR 5635, ENSCM, CNRS, CC047 Université de
10	Montpellier, Montpellier, France

³ Service public de l'assainissement francilien (SIAAP), Direction Innovation et Environnement,

ice

- ⁴ Université de Lyon, CNRS, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Institut des Sciences
 Analytiques, UMR 5280, Villeurbanne, France
- ⁵ Université de Lyon, CNRS, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, INSA-Lyon, MATEIS, UMR
- 16 5510, Villeurbanne, France
- ⁶ Saur, Direction de la Recherche et du Développement, Maurepas, France
- 18 * Corresponding authors. <u>gasperi@u-pec.fr</u> ; julien.le-roux@u-pec.fr

19

21 Highlights

- OMPs adsorption increased after ozonation at high specific ozone doses only
- Ozonation performances were impacted by the presence of TSS
- Low specific ozone doses allowed the removal of OMPs refractory to adsorption
- Non-reactive OMPs toward ozone were well adsorbed onto PAC
- The best combination was 0.20-0.30 gO₃/gDOC and 10 mg/L PAC

27 Graphical abstract



28

29 Abstract

30 Advanced processes for the removal of organic micropollutants (OMPs) from wastewater effluents include adsorption onto activated carbon, ozonation, or a combination of both processes. 31 The removal of 28 OMPs present in a real wastewater effluent was studied by ozonation coupled 32 to activated carbon adsorption and compared to a sole adsorption. The influence of the specific 33 ozone dose (0.09-1.29 gO₃/gDOC) and the influence of the powdered activated carbon (PAC) 34 dose (2, 5 and 10 mg/L) were first studied separately. OMPs removal increased with both the 35 specific ozone dose (up to 80% for a dose higher than 0.60 gO₃/gDOC) and the PAC dose. 36 Ozonation performances decreased in presence of suspended solids, which were converted to 37 dissolved organic carbon. A correction of the specific ozone dose according to the suspended 38 solids levels, in addition to nitrite, should be considered. The influence of ozonation (0.09, 0.22, 39

0.94 and 1.29 gO₃/gDOC) on OMPs adsorption was then assessed. OMPs adsorption didn't 40 change at low specific ozone doses but increased at higher specific ozone doses due to a decrease 41 in DOM adsorption and competition with OMPs. At low ozone doses followed by adsorption (0.22 42 gO₃/gDOC and 10 mg/L PAC), the two processes appeared complementary as OMPs with a low 43 reactivity toward ozone were well absorbed onto PAC while most OMPs refractory to adsorption 44 were well eliminated by ozone. Improved removals were obtained for all compounds with these 45 selected doses, reaching more than 80% removal for most OMPs while limiting the formation of 46 bromate ion. 47

48 Keywords

49 Wastewater advanced treatment; Organic micropollutants; Ozonation; Activated carbon50 adsorption.

51 **1. Introduction**

Activated carbon adsorption is widely used for drinking water production and is transferred to 52 wastewater advanced treatment to remove organic micropollutants (OMPs) and particularly 53 pharmaceuticals compounds that are refractory to biological treatments before reuse or discharge 54 in aquatic ecosystems (Altmann et al., 2015b; Guillossou et al., 2019; Meinel et al., 2015; Ruel et 55 al., 2011). Diverse types of activated carbon with different granulometries can be used, either 56 powdered activated carbon (PAC) (Altmann et al., 2015a; Kårelid et al., 2017; Meinel et al., 2016), 57 58 micro-grain activated carbon (µGAC) (Alves et al., 2018; Mailler et al., 2016a) or granular activated carbon (GAC) (Benstoem et al., 2017; Grover et al., 2011; Zietzschmann et al., 2016). 59 The use of µGAC in fluidized bed was previously demonstrated to reach similar removals of 60 OMPs to PAC (~80-90%) (Mailler et al., 2015, 2016a) with a simpler and easier operation. However, 61 some pharmaceuticals such as diclofenac, erythromycin or sulfamethoxazole were poorly 62 removed (< 50%) by fluidized-bed μ GAC, due to specific physico-chemical properties decreasing 63

their adsorption (e.g. size, charge, hydrophobicity). The presence of dissolved organic matter
(DOM) in wastewater effluents also limits OMPs removal through competition for adsorption
sites and pore blockage (Newcombe et al., 2002; Zietzschmann et al., 2014).

Ozonation is currently the most used oxidation process for OMPs removal in drinking water 67 production and is also recently transferred to the advanced treatment of wastewater effluents (de 68 Wilt et al., 2018; Hollender et al., 2009; Margot et al., 2013; Zimmermann et al., 2011). Bourgin 69 et al. (2018) obtained removals higher than 80 % for the 12 OMPs used as indicator substances in 70 71 the Swiss legislation (Office fédéral de l'environnement, Division Eaux, 2014) over a whole wastewater treatment plant upgraded with an ozonation step (0.55 gO₃/gDOC). Ozone is a 72 powerful oxidant which reacts rapidly with electron-rich moieties such as alkenes, amines and 73 74 aromatic rings (von Gunten, 2003a). The self-decomposition of ozone forms hydroxyl radicals 75 (OH) which can react with non-activated aromatic compounds, amides and alkanes. DOM and OMPs are usually not mineralized but rather transformed into smaller compounds called ozonation 76 77 transformation products which are most of the time more biodegradable and less toxic (Hübner et al., 2015; Völker et al., 2019). Oxidation by-products such as bromate (BrO₃⁻) and N-78 79 nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA), which are suspected to be human carcinogens, can however be formed depending on the ozone dose applied and on the presence of precursors. BrO₃⁻ can be 80 81 produced from the reaction of ozone with bromide ion and NDMA from specific precursor 82 compounds (e.g. anthropogenic organic compounds containing dimethylamine groups) (Sgroi et al., 2016; von Gunten, 2003b). An additional treatment step after ozonation such as sand filtration 83 or activated carbon filters is therefore required to reduce transformation products and oxidation 84 85 by-products.

In combination with activated carbon adsorption, ozonation appears as a complementary process to remove compounds that are refractory to adsorption, as well as to oxidize DOM in order to reduce the competition with OMPs onto activated carbon and then to limit the decrease of

activated carbon adsorption capacity over time. Indeed, Muller et al. (1996) reported that the 89 adsorption of the herbicide atrazine increased after pre-ozonation of DOM in river water. Matsui 90 et al. (1999) studied the effect of ozonation on the adsorption of DOM from peat water and 91 biological wastewater effluent and found a decreased in DOM adsorbability due to the formation 92 of weakly adsorbing compounds after ozonation. Zietzschmann et al. (2015) observed a decrease 93 94 in DOM adsorbability after the ozonation of a wastewater effluent due to a reduction of DOM aromaticity, molecular size and hydrophobicity. This decrease led to a reduced adsorption 95 competition with OMPs and as a consequence improve the adsorption of OMPs on activated 96 97 carbon over time.

The coupling of ozonation and activated carbon is well known and already in use at full scale in 98 99 some wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) where ozonation is the main step for OMPs removal 100 and adsorption (mostly GAC) is an additional step for post-treatment. However, a coupled process consisting of ozonation as a pre-treatment step (i.e. at lower doses than commonly employed) 101 102 followed by activated carbon adsorption as the main treatment step has never been considered. The coupling could be an interesting option to increase the removal of OMPs refractory to 103 adsorption and for disinfection purposes while limiting the production of oxidation by-products, 104 105 but knowledge of its efficiency for real wastewater effluents, which are composed of a more 106 complex matrix than surface water, is limited. Moreover, available studies only investigated a 107 small number of OMPs spiked in wastewater effluents at relatively high concentrations (> 10µg/L) (Zietzschmann et al., 2015). The aim of this study was to evaluate the removal of 28 OMPs 108 present in wastewater effluents by ozonation coupled to adsorption onto activated carbon as the 109 main treatment step, and to estimate the benefits provided by the coupling in comparison to 110 activated carbon adsorption alone. The influence of various specific ozone doses on OMPs 111 removal was first studied. The effect of the activated carbon dose was then evaluated with a 112 preliminary ozonated effluent. DOM evolution during ozonation and adsorption were examined 113

in parallel through UV_{254} and fluorescence excitation/emission analyses. Different combinations of specific ozone doses and activated carbon doses were tested to assess the potential beneficial effect of ozonation on OMPs adsorption through DOM oxidation, to evaluate the complementarity between both processes toward OMPs that are refractory to ozonation or adsorption and to find the most promising combination of specific ozone and PAC doses.

119 2. Material and methods

120 2.1. Ozonation pilot

The ozonation experiments were conducted on a pilot comprising a cylindrical stainless-steel 121 transfer column (height = 100 cm, diameter = 25 cm, volume = 56 L) and a contact column of 122 123 similar dimensions (Figure S1). The ozone gas was produced in a corona-discharge tube from an oxygen-enriched gas stream (90% O₂) produced by an oxygen concentrator (OnyxUltra, CAIRE 124 Inc.). The gas was sent to the transfer column from a porous diffuser at the bottom at counter-125 126 current flow in the transfer column. The concentration of the ozone inlet and outlet gas of the transfer column was measured by an ozone gas analyser (BMT 964, BMT Messtchnik GMBH). 127 128 The ozone gas flow was maintained at a constant value (2 NL/min) and the concentration of ozone ranged from 8 to 45 g/Nm³. The ozonated water was sampled after the contact column for each 129 specific ozone dose in 20-L cleaned glass bottles. The water flow was maintained constant (10 130 131 L/min) and measured by a flowmeter. The hydraulic retention time in each column was about 5 min. The water pH (7.0-7.4) and temperature (20-25°C) were monitored by probes 132 (Endress+Hauser, Germany). 133

The pilot was fed continuously by nitrified water directly pumped from the second biofiltration stage from the Seine-Centre WWTP (Colombes, France). The plant is operated by the Paris public sanitation service (SIAAP) and treats wastewater for 800 000 inhabitants with a flow of 240 000 m³/day. The treatment is composed of a pre-treatment step (screening, grit and oil removal) followed by the primary treatment (physico-chemical lamellar settling for the removal of suspended particles, colloids and phosphorus) and the biological treatment (3 stages of biofiltration for the removal of carbon and nitrogen). After treatment, the effluent is discharged in the Seine River. The ozone dose transferred in the liquid phase was normalized by the dissolved organic carbon concentration (DOC) after subtraction of the ozone consumption by nitrite ion (NO₂⁻) (Naumov et al., 2010) to obtain the value of the parameter "specific ozone doses" used all along the article.

145 Specific
$$O_3 dose = \frac{Transferred O_3 dose - 1.04 * [NO_2^-]_{consumption}}{[DOC]}$$

146 With the specific O_3 dose in $gO_3/gDOC$, the transferred O_3 dose in $gO_3/L_{wastewater}$, $[NO_2^-]$ 147 consumption in gNO_2^-/L and [DOC] before ozonation in g/L.

An instantaneous ozone demand (IOD) of the nitrified water in nominal conditions was evaluated at $3.8 \pm 0.5 \text{ mgO}_3/\text{L}$ (DOC = 6 mgC/L, TSS < 2 mg/L, NO₂⁻ < 0.06 mg/L) following the method developed by Roustan et al. (1998). The IOD was satisfied for specific ozone dose up to 0.7 gO₃/gDOC.

Apart from the water quality, the operating conditions did not change during the experiments
except the concentration of the ozone gas sent to the transfer column. An equilibration time of 15
min was allowed between the application of each specific ozone dose.

155 2.2. Experimental plan

The removal performances of 28 OMPs (19 pharmaceuticals, 6 pesticides, 2 hormones and 1 perfluorosulfonic acid – Table 1) were studied. A set of 5 experiments was conducted at various specific ozone doses (ranging from 0.09 to 1.29 gO₃/gDOC) (Table 2). Nitrified water from the Seine Centre WWTP was sampled on 2018-05-02, 2018-05-23, 2018-07-06, 2019-04-09 and 2019-08-07 (experiments 1 to 5, respectively). The quality of the wastewater effluent is generally 161 similar over this period of the year (Rocher et al., 2012). Experiment 1 and 2 were conducted to study the influence of the specific ozone dose. Experiment 3, 4 and 5 were performed to study the 162 influence of the activated carbon dose, the performances of the coupled processes and the 163 influence of ozonation on adsorption performances, respectively. For experiments involving PAC 164 adsorption (experiments 3, 4 and 5), a µGAC (CycleCarb 305, Chemviron Carbon) was crushed 165 166 and sieved to keep only particles between 50 and 63 µm to guarantee a fast adsorption. This activated carbon was chosen due to its previous use in a fluidized-bed industrial pilot (CarboPlus[®]) 167 Saur) (Mailler et al., 2016a) and the chemical, physical and textural properties of this activated 168 169 carbon (Table S1) were determined in a previous study (Guillossou et al., 2020). An average OMPs removal of 70% in this pilot was equivalent to 30% in batch with a similar PAC dose (10 170 171 mg/L) (Mailler et al., 2016b, 2015). Each desired PAC dose (2, 5 or 10 mg/L) was added to 10 L of nitrified or ozonated water in 20 L flasks then adsorption tests were performed under fast 172 stirring for 30 min using a stainless-steel blades stirrer. The range of PAC doses was chosen from 173 a previous work which reported that the removal gain obtained when increasing the PAC dose 174 from 5 mg/L to 10 mg/L was more important than when increasing from 10 mg/L to 20 mg/L 175 176 (Mailler et al., 2016b). Prior to adsorption in experiment 5, the 28 studied OMPs were spiked at a 177 concentration of 100 ng/L to ensure their presence in the effluent after ozonation (high specific 178 ozone doses).

179

180

181

182

Compound	Use	k ₀₃ (L.mol ⁻¹ .s ⁻¹)	Reference for k _{O3}	M _w (g/mol)	Log D	Charge	LOQ (ng/L)
Acetaminophen	Analgesic	2.6x10 ⁶	Hamdi El Najjar et al., 2014	151.2	0.91	0	0.5
Acetamiprid	Insecticide	0.25	Cruz-Alcalde et al., 2017	222.7	1.11	0	1
Atenolol	Betablocker	2.1×10^3	Mathon et al., 2017	266.3	-2.24	+1	1
Carbamazepine	Anticonvulsive	3.0x10 ⁵	Huber et al., 2003	236.3	2.77	0	1
Ciprofloxacin	Antibiotic	1.9×10^4	Dodd et al., 2006	331.3	-1.47	0	35
Clothianidin	Insecticide	-	-	249.7	-4.53	0	3
Diclofenac	Analgesic	1.0×10^{6}	Huber et al., 2003	296.2	1.26	-1	0.5
Diuron	Pesticide	$1.5 x 10^{1}$	Mathon et al., 2017	233.1	2.53	0	1
Erythromycin	Antibiotic	7.0×10^4	Mathon et al., 2017	734.0	1.20	+1	4
Estradiol	Hormone	$3.0.x10^{6}$	Huber et al., 2003	272.4	3.75	0	7
Estrone	Hormone	1.5×10^5	Deborde et al., 2005	270.4	4.31	0	2.5
Ibuprofen	Analgesic	9.6	Huber et al., 2003	206.8	1.69	-1	6
Imidacloprid	Insecticide	-	-	255.7	-1.95	0	2
Ketoprofen	Analgesic	0.4	Real et al., 2009	254.3	0.49	-1	1
Lorazepam	Anxiolytic	-	-	321.2	3.53	0	1.5
Naproxen	Analgesic	2.0×10^5	Huber et al., 2005	230.3	0.18	-1	2
Norfloxacin	Antibiotic	4.5x10 ⁵	Ling et al., 2018	319.3	-1.57	0	5
Ofloxacin	Antibiotic	2.0×10^{6}	Márquez et al., 2013	361.4	-0.05	-1	1
Oxazepam	Anxiolytic	1.0	Lee et al., 2014	286.7	2.92	0	2
PFOS	Additive	1.0	Arias Espana et al., 2015	500.1	-4.89	-1	0.5
Propranolol	Betablocker	1.0×10^5	Mathon et al., 2017	259.3	-0.09	+1	1.5
Roxithromycin	Antibiotic	$7.0x10^4$	Huber et al., 2003	837.1	0.92	+1	0.5
Sulfadiazine	Antibiotic	1.0×10^5	Garoma et al., 2010	250.3	0.08	-1	1
Sulfamethoxazole	Antibiotic	2.5×10^{6}	Huber et al., 2003	253.3	-0.11	-1	1
Tetracycline	Antibiotic	1.9×10^{6}	Dodd et al., 2006	444.4	-0.57	0	22
Thiaclopride	Insecticide	-	-	254.7	2.06	0	2.5
Thiamethoxam	Insecticide	-	-	291.7	1.29	0	0.5
Trimethoprim	Antibiotic	3.0x10 ⁵	Dodd et al., 2006	290.3	0.89	+1	2

184Table 1. List of the 28 analysed OMPs, their reaction rate constant with ozone (k_{03}), molecular weight (M_w), hydrophobicity (log D) and charge at 20-25°C and pH185= 7.0, and their limits of quantification (LOQ). The M_w , log D and charge were calculated using MarvinSketch (ChemAxon).

For each experiment, the concentrations of the 28 studied OMPs were analysed within 48 h 188 189 (Institut des Sciences Analytiques - Villeurbanne, France) by liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectroscopy. These micropollutants were chosen because of their frequency of 190 191 detection in the effluents of wastewater treatment plants in the Paris conurbation (Mailler et al., 2015, 2014) or because they were molecules of recent interest (e.g. the 5 insecticides). Prior to 192 analysis, all samples were homogenized and filtered on 0.7 µm GF/F glass filters (Whatman). 193 194 Details of the analytical methods are described in supplementary information (Text S1) (Vulliet et al., 2011). OMPs removal was calculated following the approach of Choubert et al. (2017), 195 which stated that the uncertainty of OMPs concentrations in wastewater generally ranges between 196 197 30% and 100% when the measured value is lower than 2.5 to 10 times the limit of quantification 198 (LOQ) of the compound. Therefore, when a compound was quantified at levels above 5 times the LOQ in the nitrified water and above the LOQ after treatment, the removal was conventionally 199 200 calculated. When a compound concentration was above 5 times the LOQ in the nitrified water but below the LOQ after treatment, the removal was estimated using LOQ/2. Removals were not 201 202 calculated when concentrations in the nitrified water and after treatment ranged between the LOQ and 5 times the LOQ. 203

Conventional wastewater quality parameters were analyzed by the certified SIAAP laboratory: total organic carbon (TOC), dissolved organic carbon (DOC), NH_4^+ , NO_2^- , NO_3^- and total suspended solids (TSS) (Table S2). Bromide (LOQ = 50 µg/L) and bromate (LOQ = 5 µg/L) concentrations were measured for the first experiment after filtration on 0.7 µm GF/F glass filters (Whatman) by ion chromatography (CARSO laboratory, Vénissieux, France).

209 Spectroscopic measurements were performed at room temperature directly after sampling and 210 filtration on 0.45 μ m polyvinylidene fluoride filters (Milex[®], Merck). UV₂₅₄ was measured in a 1 211 cm quartz cuvette using a spectrophotometer (Genesys 10S UV-Vis, Thermo Scientific).

212 Fluorescence emission/excitation matrices (EEMs) were obtained using a spectrofluorometer (FP-8300, 150-W Xenon lamp, Jasco) with excitation wavelengths ranging from 240 nm to 450 nm at 213 an interval of 5 nm and emission wavelengths from 250 nm to 600 nm at an interval of 2 nm. The 214 samples were diluted with ultrapure water if the UV₂₅₄ was higher than 0.080 1/cm to avoid inner 215 216 filter effects due to adsorbing species present in the wastewater (Alberts and Takács, 2004). EEMs 217 of ultrapure water were subtracted from the sample EEMs, which were also normalized by the Raman peak of ultrapure water (excitation wavelength of 350 nm), to obtain fluorescence data in 218 Raman units (Lawaetz and Stedmon, 2009). A modified peak-picking approach based on a set of 219 220 six fluorescence indexes (excitation/emission wavelengths) was applied on the corrected EEMs. These fluorescence indexes are based on maximum localization of 6 specific PARAFAC 221 222 components (C1-C6), similarly to the studies of Murphy et al. (2011) and Sgroi et al. (2017), that were previously obtained from wastewater samples of the Seine Centre WWTP (Goffin et al. 223 2018). The C1 index (275/304 nm) is related to tyrosine-like compounds, C2 (285/344 nm) to 224 tyrosine/tryptophan-like compounds, C3 (275/378 nm) to tryptophan-like compounds, C4 225 (295/404 nm) to humic-like compounds from a recent biological activity and C5 (360/434 nm) 226 227 and C6 (275/464 nm) to humic-like compounds.

228 **3. Results and discussion**

229 *3.1. Influence of the specific ozone dose*

230 3.1.1. DOM removal

DOC removal globally increased with an increase of the specific ozone dose when TSS concentration was below LOQ (experiment 1): < 5, 5, 14 and 17% at 0.18, 0.32, 0.67 and 0.97 gO₃/gDOC, respectively. When TSS were detected (2-7 mg/L), DOC removal was negligible (i.e. <5%) at 0.94 and 1.29 gO₃/gDOC (experiment 5), and was even negative during experiment 2 with removals about -10, -25, and -14% for doses of 0.24, 0.60 and 0.90 gO₃/gDOC, respectively (Table 2). The reaction of suspended solids (7 mg/L) with ozone during experiment 2 likely converted particulate organic matter contained in TSS to DOC, as suggested by the decrease in TSS concentration (from 7 to 3 mg/L) while TOC remained stable (~ 7 mgC/L). The effect of TSS was also clearly observed during experiment 5 (TSS = 4 mg/L), exhibiting almost no removal of DOC (< 5%) at 0.94 and 1.29 gO₃/gDOC, respectively, while TOC and TSS concentrations decreased from 6 to ~ 4.5 mgC/L and 4 to 2 mg/L, respectively. Similar effects of suspended solids on DOC removal by ozonation were observed in other studies (Jekel, 1994; Zhang et al., 2018).

Unlike DOC, the effective destruction of the unsaturated structures during ozonation, caused by 243 244 the electrophilic addition of ozone, lead to a regular UV₂₅₄ decrease with the specific ozone dose (~ 10 to ~ 50%), but no effect of the TSS concentration was noted (Table 2). The absence of 245 plateau at high ozone doses was attributed to aromatic molecules (i.e. absorbing at 254 nm) present 246 in important quantity in the nitrified water. All WWTP-specific fluorescence indexes strongly 247 reacted with ozone, exhibiting a regular loss of intensity in all regions of EEMs (Figure S2) at 248 249 increasing ozone doses (Table 2). The loss of intensity was > 70% for specific ozone doses from 0.32 gO₃/gDOC and higher. At low specific ozone doses (0.18 and 0.24 gO₃/gDOC), tyrosine-like 250 organic compounds (C1 index) were less removed than other fluorescing DOM components, while 251 252 humic-like compounds (C5 index) were better removed at a dose of 0.18 gO₃/gDOC than other 253 components. The high reactivity of humic-like substances would be due to their high aromaticity, 254 conferring a high electron donating capacity and hence a high extent of the ozone-reactive sites. Those results suggest a different reactivity of the various DOM components at low ozone doses 255 256 but a high removal of all fluorescing compounds at higher doses. Fluorescing moieties can 257 therefore be considered more reactive toward ozone than the DOM moieties absorbing at 254 nm. 258

Table 2. DOC, TSS and NO_2^{-1} levels in the nitrified water before ozonation and removal of DOC, UV_{254} and 6 fluorescence WWTP-specific indexes (C1-C6) obtained from PARAFAC analysis (Goffin et al.

Specific		Nitrified water global parameters				Removal (%)							
ozone dose	Experiment	TSS	TOC	DOC	NO ₂ -	DOC	1117	C1	<u></u>	C 2	C4	C5	<u>C6</u>
(gO ₃ /gDOC)		(mg/L)	(mgC/L)	(mgC/L)	(mg/L)		U V 254	CI	C2	CS	C4	CS	Co
0.18	1	< 2	6	5.9	< 0.06	< 5	3	25	32	33	35	43	27
0.32						5	18	79	79	79	80	82	73
0.67						14	37	91	91	90	91	90	83
0.97						17	49	98	96	95	95	94	90
0.24	2	7	7.2	5.9	0.49	-10	15	25	42	45	41	43	35
0.60						-25	36	64	77	75	73	73	62
0.90						-14	49	91	91	89	87	85	77
0.24	3	< 2	5.1	4.8	< 0.06	< 5	15	34	39	37	36	44	28
0.09	4	4 < 2	4.6	4.5	0.26	< 5	12	-66	9	9	20	29	13
0.22						< 5	19	24	42	44	41	45	30
0.94	5	4	4 6.1	4.5	< 0.06	< 5	46	90	91	89	86	84	81
1.29		4				< 5	54	94	95	93	91	89	87

262 2018) for different specific ozone doses.

263 3.1.2. Bromate formation

264 The concentration of bromide ion in the nitrified water was 90 µg/L and did not vary significantly after ozonation at all specific ozone doses used in experiment 1 (0.18-0.97 gO₃/gDOC). No 265 bromate ion was detected at any specific ozone doses (i.e. $< 5 \mu g/L$) despite bromide levels in the 266 range 50-100 µg/L where bromate formation may become a problem (von Gunten, 2003b). The 267 absence of bromate formation can be explained by the low reaction rate constant of bromide ion 268 with ozone (160 L.mol⁻¹.s⁻¹, von Gunten, 2003b) in comparison to more reactive compounds such 269 as DOM and OMPs. Zimmermann et al. (2011) observed bromate formation only at specific ozone 270 doses higher than 0.90 gO₃/gDOC. The authors also observed NDMA formation at a specific 271 ozone dose of 1.24 gO₃/gDOC and no N-nitrosomorpholine (NMOR). Contrary to bromate for 272 273 which formation is mostly related to the specific ozone dose, NDMA formation rather depends on the concentrations and the conversion rate of precursors as well as matrix composition (Hollender 274 275 et al., 2009). N-nitrosamines were not monitored in the study but future work will investigate their occurrence in similar conditions. 276

Among the 28 analysed compounds, 7 OMPs were never quantified in the nitrified water 279 280 (clothianidin, estradiol, estrone, ibuprofen, imidacloprid, thiaclopride and thiamethoxam), 11 OMPs were occasionally detected (acetaminophen, acetamiprid, ciprofloxacin, diuron, 281 282 ketoprofen, norfloxacin, PFOS, roxithromycin, sulfadiazine, tetracycline and trimethoprim) and 10 OMPs were always present (atenolol, carbamazepine, diclofenac, erythromycin, lorazepam, 283 284 naproxen, ofloxacin, oxazepam, propranolol and sulfamethoxazole) for each experiment (Table S3). Removals of the quantified OMPs were calculated at each specific ozone dose employed 285 (Figure 1). Negative removals were obtained for diuron and PFOS at low specific ozone doses (< 286 0.30 gO₃/gDOC). They were attributed to matrix effects during analyses or to the formation of 287 288 these molecules after ozonation of metabolites/parent molecules. However, these negative 289 removals were low (-10 to 0%) and were not subsequently considered. As proposed by Margot et al. (2013), OMPs were categorized in 3 groups depending on their expected reactivity and 290 291 observed removals (Table S4). Group A contained 11 OMPs (acetaminophen, carbamazepine, diclofenac, naproxen, norfloxacin, ofloxacin, propranolol, sulfadiazine, sulfamethoxazole, 292 tetracycline and trimethoprim) exhibiting removals higher than 80% at specific ozone doses higher 293 than 0.30 gO₃/gDOC (Figure 1.A). These results were in agreement with reaction rate constants 294 from the literature, compounds from group A being rapidly oxidized by ozone $(k_{03}>1\times10^5 \text{ L.mol}^-$ 295 $^{1}.s^{-1}$). 296

4 OMPs (atenolol, ciprofloxacin, erythromycin and roxithromycin, listed as group B) were supposed to react moderately with ozone $(1x10^3 \text{ L.mol}^{-1}.\text{s}^{-1} < \text{k}_{03} < 1x10^5 \text{ L.mol}^{-1}.\text{s}^{-1})$. Atenolol and ciprofloxacin did react poorly with ozone at specific doses lower than 0.50 gO₃/gDOC (removals < 40%) while high removals (> 80 %) were achieved for erythromycin and roxithromycin for a dose of 0.32 gO₃/gDOC, similarly to group A (Figure 1.B). Erythromycin and roxithromycin have a higher ozonation constant rate (7x10⁴ L.mol⁻¹.s⁻¹) than atenolol and 303 ciprofloxacin $(2x10^3 \text{ L.mol}^{-1}.\text{s}^{-1} \text{ and } 2x10^4 \text{ L.mol}^{-1}.\text{s}^{-1}, \text{ respectively})$. A higher reactivity of 304 erythromycin and roxithromycin with hydroxyl radicals may also explain their higher removal. 305 DOM is rich in humic substances that can act either as radical scavenger or help in the formation 306 of hydroxyl radicals (Lee at al., 2013).The removal of atenolol and ciprofloxacin only reached 307 90% at specific ozone doses higher than 0.80 gO₃/gDOC.

Finally, group C comprised 4 OMPs (diuron, ketoprofen, oxazepam and PFOS) that were demonstrated to be recalcitrant to ozone ($k_{O3} < 1 \times 10^3$ L.mol⁻¹.s⁻¹) and 2 OMPs (acetamiprid and lorazepam) which ozone rate constants were not found in the literature but exhibited low removals (< 50% at doses < 0.5 gO3/gDOC). High specific ozone doses (> 0.90 gO₃/gDOC) allowed to achieve high removals (> 80%) for most OMPs of group C, but low removals were still obtained for acetamiprid and PFOS (48% and 52% at 0.97 gO₃/gDOC, respectively).

314 The average removal at each specific ozone dose was determined based on the removals of the 10 OMPs systematically quantified in each experiment (Figure 1.D). The average removal was low 315 (<40%) at the lowest specific ozone doses and increased up to 90% with the higher specific dose. 316 The removal of many OMPs from groups A and B (i.e. 13 compounds) reached a plateau above 317 0.30 gO3/gDOC (> 80%). Going further this specific ozone dose only provided major gains of 318 removal for the remaining 8 OMPs (atenolol and ciprofloxacin and group C). A high variability 319 was observed at a specific ozone dose of 0.24 gO₃/gDOC showing average removals of 47 and 69 320 321 % in the presence and absence of TSS, respectively. This difference can be explained by the TSS levels during experiment 2. As proposed above, ozone probably reacted with the TSS which 322 323 decreased the quantity of ozone available to react with OMPs. The degradation of all OMPs was affected at this specific ozone doses by TSS, especially naproxen (-39%), sulfadiazine (-93%), 324 sulfamethoxazole (-40%) and trimethoprim (-38%). Such a negative effect of suspended solids on 325 OMP removal from wastewater effluents by ozonation was also reported elsewhere (Zucker et al., 326 2015), but the reason why some molecules are more impacted than others is not yet known. 327



Figure 1. Removals of the quantified OMPs (A, B and C) and average removal (D) at different specific ozone doses (white squares TSS = 2 mg/L, grey squares TSS = 4 mg/L, black squares TSS = 7 mg/L). The average removal was calculated from the removals of 10 OMPs that were systematically detected in each experiment. A table with detailed results for OMPs removal is presented in supplementary information (Table S4).

335 *3.2. Influence of the activated carbon dose*

336 3.2.1. DOM removal

Before adsorption, the nitrified water was ozonated at a dose of $0.24 \text{ gO}_3/\text{gDOC}$ (experiment 3).

338 The removal of DOC, UV_{254} and fluorescence WWTP-specific indexes increased with the PAC

dose (Table S5). At the lowest PAC dose of 2 mg/L, all removals were low and similar (0-6 %),

340 the highest removal being attained for the C2 index related to tyrosine and tryptophan-like

compounds. At a PAC dose of 5 mg/L, the C2 index exhibited again the highest removal (20%)

342 and other removals ranged between 1 and 11%. A low removal was observed for UV₂₅₄ with a PAC dose of 10 mg/L, while the best removals were obtained for indexes C1, C2, C3 and C4 (18-343 23%). These 4 indexes are related to small DOM fractions (aromatic proteins-like, tyrosine-like, 344 345 tryptophan-like and humic-like from a recent biological activity) which had probably a better access to the PAC porosity in 30 min. Sgroi et al. (2018) observed a better removal of humic-like 346 347 fractions than tyrosine and tryptophan-like, but it is well known that that the distribution of activated carbon porosity between macro-, meso- and micropores has an influence on DOM 348 adsorption (Guillossou et al., 2020; Li et al., 2003). As a result, the different type of activated 349 350 carbon used in this study led to a different behavior toward the adsorption of various DOM fractions. 351

352 3.2.2. OMPs removal

Among the 28 compounds analyzed, 10 OMPs (acetaminophen, acetamiprid, clothianidin, estradiol, ibuprofen, imidacloprid, norfloxacine, tetracycline, thiacloprid and thiamethoxam) were not quantified in the ozonated water (0.24 gO₃/gDOC, experiment 3). 8 compounds (ciprofloxacin, estrone, ketoprofen, lorazepam, naproxen, sulfadiazine, sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim) were quantified at concentrations close to their LOQ so their removal was not calculated. Removals of the 10 remaining OMPs at the 3 different PAC doses (2, 5 and 10 mg/L) are presented in Figure 2.



 $k_{O3} (L.mol^{-1}.s^{-1})$ Figure 2. Removals of the 10 OMPs quantified in the ozonated effluent (0.24 gO₃/gDOC) and the average removal at 3 different PAC doses (2, 5 and 10 mg/L) after 30 min of contact time (experiment 3). OMPs are ranked with respect to their k_{O3} value (increasing from left to right).

OMPs removals were all lower than 30% at a PAC dose of 2 mg/L. At 5 mg/L of PAC, removals 365 remained between 10 and 30% except for diuron (42%) and propranolol (43%). Those 2 366 compounds showed a better adsorption than other OMPs in other studies (Margot et al., 2013; 367 Ruel et al., 2011). In a previous study using PAC, their removal was higher than 60% at a dose of 368 369 10 mg/L while those of other OMPs remained between 20 and 60% (Mailler et al., 2015). More 370 information on the effect of the physico-chemical properties of OMPs on their adsorption can be found in a previous study (Guillossou et al., 2020). The average removal increased regularly with 371 372 the dose (14, 25 and 42%). A PAC dose of 10 mg/L provided the highest removals after ozonation and was thus selected for the subsequent experiments. Notably, substantial removals (> 30%) were 373 obtained for compounds presenting a low k_{O3} (e.g. PFOS, oxazepam, diuron) which would 374 guarantee their removal during a coupled ozonation/adsorption process. 375

- 376 *3.3. Influence of ozonation on adsorption performances*
- 377 3.3.1. Influence of ozonation on DOM adsorption
- 378 DOC and UV removals by adsorption after ozonation at low specific doses (0.09 and 0.22
- $gO_3/gDOC$, experiment 4) remained low (< 12%) and were not significantly different from

nitrified water, while a slight increase was observed for fluorescence indexes (Table S6). However, the removal of DOC, UV₂₅₄ and all fluorescence indexes by adsorption after ozonation at 0.94 and 1.29 gO₃/gDOC (experiment 5) decreased, which suggests that DOM adsorbability decreased at high specific ozone doses. These results are in accordance with the observations of Zietzschmann et al. (2015) who found a decrease in DOM adsorbability at high specific ozone consumptions (0.65 and 1.64 gO₃/gDOC) due to a reduction of DOM aromaticity, molecular size and hydrophobicity.

387 3.3.2. Influence of ozonation on OMPs adsorption

Removals by adsorption onto PAC (10 mg/L) after ozonation at 4 different specific ozone doses were obtained for the 13 OMPs quantified before adsorption (Figure 3). The average removal after adsorption was similar between the nitrified water (31-36%) and the ozonated water at low specific ozone doses (33 and 36% at 0.09 and 0.22 gO₃/gDOC, respectively, experiment 4) suggesting no effect of pre-ozonation on OMP adsorption at these specific doses.

393 OMPs adsorption increased at higher specific ozone doses (experiment 5) with an average removal of 52% for a dose of 0.94 gO₃/gDOC and 61% for a dose of 1.29 gO₃/gDOC, confirming the 394 395 positive effect of high ozone doses (> 0.50 gO₃/gDOC) on the subsequent adsorption, as 396 previously suggested by Zietzschmann et al. (2015). The decrease in DOM adsorbability (also observed in the study, see part 3.3.1) probably involves a reduction of the competitive adsorption 397 398 with OMPs. Individual removals of atenolol, carbamazepine, ketoprofen, lorazepam, ofloxacin, 399 oxazepam and propranolol (Figure 3.A) followed a similar behavior to the average removal (Figure 3.D). For some OMPs (diclofenac, PFOS and sulfamethoxazole), the increase of their 400 removal was only observed at the highest specific ozone dose (1.29 gO₃/gDOC), while ozonation 401 402 at any dose had no effect on the adsorption of erythromycin, naproxen and roxithromycin. The 403 adsorption of erythromycin and roxithromycin was previously demonstrated to be impacted by steric hindrance because of their high molecular weight, and thus are not subject to competition
with DOM (Guillossou et al., 2020).



Figure 3. OMPs removal by adsorption onto PAC (10 mg/L) after ozonation of the nitrified water at various
 specific ozone doses.



411 3.4.1. OMPs removal

Among the 28 analyzed compounds, 7 OMPs (acetamiprid, clothianidin, estradiol, estrone,
imidacloprid, thiacloprid and thiamethoxam) were not quantified in the nitrified water during

experiment 4 (Table S3). In addition, the removal of 8 OMPs (acetaminophen, ciprofloxacin, 414 diuron, estrone, ibuprofen, norfloxacine, sulfadiazine and trimethoprim) was not calculated 415 because their concentrations in the nitrified water were close to their respective LOQ. As expected, 416 higher overall removals were obtained with ozonation coupled to adsorption (average removal of 417 49 and 66% with 0.09 and 0.22 $gO_3/gDOC + 10 mg/L$ of PAC, respectively) than the sole 418 adsorption (average removal of 31% with a PAC dose of 10 mg/L) (Figure 4). The highest 419 removals were achieved when combining 0.22 gO₃/gDOC and 10 mg/L of PAC, reaching more 420 than 80% for 4 OMPs (carbamazepine, diclofenac, naproxen and propranolol). 5 OMPs exhibited 421 422 moderate removals ranging from 58 to 72% (atenolol, erythromycin, ofloxacin, roxithromycine 423 and sulfamethoxazole) and 4 OMPs were less removed than the average with removals ranging from 31 to 50% (ketoprofen, lorazepam, oxazepam and PFOS). At higher ozone doses (0.94 and 424 425 1.29 gO₃/gDOC, experiment 5), all OMPs reached removals > 99%, except atenolol and oxazepam with 88 and 80% removal at 0.94 gO3/gDOC (data not shown on Figure 4). 426



427

Figure 4. Removals of the 13 quantified OMPs and the average removal at different ozone and PAC doses (experiment
4). OMPs are ranked with respect to their k₀₃ value (increasing from left to right).

- 431
- 432

433 3.4.2. Complementarity of the two processes

As illustrated in Figure 5, a general increase in removals was provided by the coupling of 434 ozonation with adsorption, especially for molecules that were not well removed by ozonation 435 436 alone. After ozonation at 0.22 gO₃/gDOC (experiment 4), PAC adsorption improved the removal of OMPs with low k_{O3} values (e.g. ketoprofen, oxazepam, PFOS, $k_{O3} < 10^4$ L.mol⁻¹.s⁻¹) with an 437 438 addition removal of 25% in average. OMPs with high k₀₃ values (e.g. diclofenac, naproxen, ofloxacin, $k_{O3} > 10^4$ L.mol⁻¹.s⁻¹) exhibited an additional removal by adsorption of only 12% in 439 average. At the lower ozone dose of 0.09 gO₃/gDOC (experiment 4), overall removals of 440 441 compounds reacting slowly with ozone were also higher (additional removal by PAC of 28% in 442 average) as compared to the ozone-reactive OMPs (additional removal by PAC of 22% in average) (Figure S3). At higher ozone doses (0.94 and 1.29 gO₃/gDOC, experiment 5), the removal of 443 OMPs by ozone was largely predominant (> 99% for almost all molecules), so the gain provided 444 by the adsorption was insignificant (data not shown). 445

446 Conversely, ozonation coupled to activated carbon adsorption was also interesting for OMPs exhibiting a low affinity with PAC such as diclofenac, erythromycin, lorazepam, PFOS and 447 448 sulfamethoxazole (Figure 6 and Figure S4). The addition of an ozonation step before adsorption provided an additional removal, for OMPs refractory to adsorption (i.e. removal < 30% for the 449 sole adsorption), of 21 and 38% in average for specific ozone doses of 0.09 and 0.22 gO₃/gDOC, 450 451 respectively. For compounds that were better adsorbed such as atenolol, carbamazepine, ketoprofen, naproxen, ofloxacin, oxazepam, propranolol and roxithromycin (removals > 30% by 452 PAC), the additional removal provided by ozonation was lower but still significant (16 and 32% 453 454 in average at 0.09 and 0.22 gO3/gDOC, respectively). The removal of all the quantified OMPs was higher than 30% after ozonation and adsorption. These results are particularly interesting 455 because they highlight a possible optimum in the operating conditions when ozonation is 456 combined with activated carbon adsorption to treat OMPs in real wastewater effluents. In addition, 457

the use of activated carbon should allow the adsorption of ozonation byproducts formed during 458 ozonation (e.g. hydroxylated products or N-oxides) (Merel et al., 2017). Additional studies should 459 be conducted (e.g. by using non-targeted analyses, Schollée et al. (2018)) to study if such 460 transformation products can be effectively adsorbed on activated carbon. 461





Figure 5. OMPs removal after coupling (0.22 gO₃/gDOC + 10 mg/L PAC) compared to ozonation alone 463 (0.22 gO₃/gDOC).







468

469 Conclusion

- Ozonation is an efficient process for OMPs elimination from wastewater effluents, with
 average removals higher than 80% for specific ozone doses higher than 0.60 gO₃/gDOC.
 An increase in the average removal was observed with the increase of the specific ozone
 dose and the removals of 13 reactive compounds reached a plateau above 0.30 gO₃/gDOC.
 Higher doses (> 0.80 gO₃/gDOC) were necessary for less reactive compounds.
- The ozonation performances for OMPs removal thus seemed to be dependent of the 475 • wastewater quality, especially for low specific ozone doses where a higher competition 476 477 between OMPs and the matrix for ozone can be expected. Online monitoring of the quality 478 of the matrix (DOC, TSS, NO₂) therefore seems interesting to achieve stable ozonation performances. Further study is needed to better understand the negative influence of TSS 479 on ozonation performances and the conversion of TSS to DOC. A correction of the specific 480 ozone dose according to the TSS concentration, as for nitrites, could then be considered. 481 No bromate was detected at any specific ozone doses in this study. 482
- The influence of ozonation on adsorption performances was not significant at low specific ozone doses (0.09 and 0.22 gO₃/gDOC) but an increase in OMPs adsorption was observed at higher doses (0.94 and 1.29 gO₃/gDOC). This increase was attributed to the decrease in DOM adsorbability which led to a reduced adsorption competition with OMPs. Further
 experiments are needed to determine precisely the specific ozone dose at which the adsorbability of DOM decreases and to identify which DOM fractions are responsible for this decrease after oxidation.

A better adsorption of OMPs that were poorly reactive toward ozone was observed as compared to the adsorption of highly ozone-reactive OMPs. Conversely, OMPs refractory to adsorption were well removed by ozonation. As a result, the removal of all OMPs were substantially improved with the addition of ozonation prior to adsorption. Even if low

specific ozone doses did not improve OMPs adsorption in comparison to higher doses, low 494 ozone doses coupled to activated carbon adsorption appear as complementary and an 495 adequate process for the removal of various micropollutants in wastewater effluents. The 496 formation and adsorption of ozonation transformation products should also be carried out 497 to better evaluate the complementarity of the two processes. A life cycle analysis is needed 498 to estimate the benefit of reducing ozone doses in terms of energy consumption while 499 500 using a subsequent adsorption onto activated carbon as compared to a single ozonation step at higher doses coupled to adsorption or sand filtration. 501

Based on the quality of the wastewater studied, a specific ozone dose of 0.20-0.30 gO₃/gDOC and a PAC dose of 10 mg/L is recommended to achieve satisfactory performances for a wide range of OMPs (e.g. a removal higher than 80% for carbamazepine and diclofenac which are used as indicator substances in the Swiss regulation).

507 Acknowledgments

This study was performed within the framework of the OPUR research program. The authors would like to thank the SIAAP laboratory, Céline Briand, Kheira Dahou and Perrine Meche (SIAAP), Martin Maréchal, Angélique Goffin and Gilles Varrault (LEESU) and Barbara Giroud (ISA) for the analyses and their help in the laboratory.

512 **References**

- Alberts, J.J., Takács, M., 2004. Comparison of the natural fluorescence distribution among size
 fractions of terrestrial fulvic and humic acids and aquatic natural organic matter. Org.
 Geochem. 35, 1141–1149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2004.06.010
- Altmann, J., Ruhl, A.S., Sauter, D., Pohl, J., Jekel, M., 2015a. How to dose powdered activated
 carbon in deep bed filtration for efficient micropollutant removal. Water Res. 78, 9–17.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.03.031
- Altmann, J., Sperlich, A., Jekel, M., 2015b. Integrating organic micropollutant removal into
 tertiary filtration: Combining PAC adsorption with advanced phosphorus removal. Water
 Res. 84, 58–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.07.023

- Alves, T.C., Cabrera-Codony, A., Barceló, D., Rodriguez-Mozaz, S., Pinheiro, A., Gonzalez Olmos, R., 2018. Influencing factors on the removal of pharmaceuticals from water with
 micro-grain activated carbon. Water Res. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.07.037
- Arias Espana, V.A., Mallavarapu, M., Naidu, R., 2015. Treatment technologies for aqueous 525 perfluorooctanesulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoate (PFOA): A critical review with 526 emphasis field testing. Environ. Technol. Innov. 4. 168-181. 527 an on https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2015.06.001 528
- Benstoem, F., Nahrstedt, A., Boehler, M., Knopp, G., Montag, D., Siegrist, H., Pinnekamp, J.,
 2017. Performance of granular activated carbon to remove micropollutants from municipal
 wastewater—A meta-analysis of pilot- and large-scale studies. Chemosphere 185, 105–
 118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.06.118
- Bourgin, M., Beck, B., Boehler, M., Borowska, E., Fleiner, J., Salhi, E., Teichler, R., von Gunten,
 U., Siegrist, H., McArdell, C.S., 2018. Evaluation of a full-scale wastewater treatment
 plant upgraded with ozonation and biological post-treatments: Abatement of
 micropollutants, formation of transformation products and oxidation by-products. Water
 Res. 129, 486–498. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.10.036
- Choubert, J.-M., Martin Ruel, S., Miege, C., Coquery, M., 2017. Rethinking micropollutant
 removal assessment methods for wastewater treatment plants how to get more robust
 data? Water Sci. Technol. 75, 2964–2972. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2017.181
- 541 Cruz-Alcalde, A., Sans, C., Esplugas, S., 2017. Priority pesticides abatement by advanced water
 542 technologies: The case of acetamiprid removal by ozonation. Sci. Total Environ. 599–600,
 543 1454–1461. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.05.065
- de Wilt, A., van Gijn, K., Verhoek, T., Vergnes, A., Hoek, M., Rijnaarts, H., Langenhoff, A.,
 2018. Enhanced pharmaceutical removal from water in a three step bio-ozone-bio process.
 Water Res. 138, 97–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.03.028
- 547 Deborde, M., Rabouan, S., Duguet, J.-P., Legube, B., 2005. Kinetics of Aqueous Ozone-Induced
 548 Oxidation of Some Endocrine Disruptors. Environ. Sci. Technol. 39, 6086–6092.
 549 https://doi.org/10.1021/es0501619
- Dodd, M.C., Buffle, M.-O., von Gunten, U., 2006. Oxidation of Antibacterial Molecules by
 Aqueous Ozone: Moiety-Specific Reaction Kinetics and Application to Ozone-Based
 Wastewater Treatment. Environ. Sci. Technol. 40, 1969–1977.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/es051369x
- Garoma, T., Umamaheshwar, S.K., Mumper, A., 2010. Removal of sulfadiazine, sulfamethizole,
 sulfamethoxazole, and sulfathiazole from aqueous solution by ozonation. Chemosphere
 79, 814–820. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.02.060
- Goffin, A., Guérin, S., Rocher, V., Varrault, G., 2018. Towards a better control of the wastewater
 treatment process: excitation-emission matrix fluorescence spectroscopy of dissolved
 organic matter as a predictive tool of soluble BOD5 in influents of six Parisian wastewater
 treatment plants. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-1205-1
- Grover, D.P., Zhou, J.L., Frickers, P.E., Readman, J.W., 2011. Improved removal of estrogenic 561 and pharmaceutical compounds in sewage effluent by full scale granular activated carbon: 562 Impact on receiving river water. J. Hazard. Mater. 185, 1005-1011. 563 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.10.005 564
- Guillossou, R., Le Roux, J., Mailler, R., Morlay, C., Vulliet, E., Nauleau, F., Rocher, V., Gasperi,
 J., 2020. Influence of the properties of 7 micro-grain activated carbons on organic
 micropollutants removal from wastewater effluent. Chemosphere 243, 125306.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.125306
- Guillossou, R., Le Roux, J., Mailler, R., Vulliet, E., Morlay, C., Nauleau, F., Gasperi, J., Rocher,
 V., 2019. Organic micropollutants in a large wastewater treatment plant: What are the
 benefits of an advanced treatment by activated carbon adsorption in comparison to

- 572conventionaltreatment?Chemosphere218,1050–1060.573https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.11.182
- Hamdi El Najjar, N., Touffet, A., Deborde, M., Journel, R., Karpel Vel Leitner, N., 2014. Kinetics
 of paracetamol oxidation by ozone and hydroxyl radicals, formation of transformation
 products and toxicity. Sep. Purif. Technol. 136, 137–143.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2014.09.004
- Hollender, J., Zimmermann, S.G., Koepke, S., Krauss, M., McArdell, C.S., Ort, C., Singer, H.,
 von Gunten, U., Siegrist, H., 2009. Elimination of Organic Micropollutants in a Municipal
 Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgraded with a Full-Scale Post-Ozonation Followed by
 Sand Filtration. Environ. Sci. Technol. 43, 7862–7869. https://doi.org/10.1021/es9014629
- Huber, M.M., Canonica, S., Park, G.-Y., von Gunten, U., 2003. Oxidation of Pharmaceuticals
 during Ozonation and Advanced Oxidation Processes. Environ. Sci. Technol. 37, 1016–
 1024. https://doi.org/10.1021/es025896h
- Huber, M.M., GÖbel, A., Joss, A., Hermann, N., LÖffler, D., McArdell, C.S., Ried, A., Siegrist,
 H., Ternes, T.A., von Gunten, U., 2005. Oxidation of Pharmaceuticals during Ozonation
 of Municipal Wastewater Effluents: A Pilot Study. Environ. Sci. Technol. 39, 4290–4299.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/es048396s
- Hübner, U., von Gunten, U., Jekel, M., 2015. Evaluation of the persistence of transformation
 products from ozonation of trace organic compounds A critical review. Water Res. 68,
 150–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.09.051
- Jekel, M.R., 1994. Flocculation Effects of Ozone. Ozone Sci. Eng. 16, 55–66.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/01919519408552380
- Kårelid, V., Larsson, G., Björlenius, B., 2017. Effects of recirculation in a three-tank pilot-scale
 system for pharmaceutical removal with powdered activated carbon. J. Environ. Manage.
 193, 163–171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.01.078
- Lawaetz, A.J., Stedmon, C.A., 2009. Fluorescence Intensity Calibration Using the Raman Scatter
 Peak of Water. Appl. Spectrosc. 63, 936–940.
- Lee, Y., Kovalova, L., McArdell, C.S., von Gunten, U., 2014. Prediction of micropollutant
 elimination during ozonation of a hospital wastewater effluent. Water Res. 64, 134–148.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.06.027
- Li, Q., Snoeyink, V.L., Mariñas, B.J., Campos, C., 2003. Pore blockage effect of NOM on atrazine
 adsorption kinetics of PAC: the roles of PAC pore size distribution and NOM molecular
 weight. Water Res. 37, 4863–4872. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2003.08.018
- Ling, W., Ben, W., Xu, K., Zhang, Y., Yang, M., Qiang, Z., 2018. Ozonation of norfloxacin and
 levofloxacin in water: Specific reaction rate constants and defluorination reaction.
 Chemosphere 195, 252–259. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.12.079
- Mailler, R., Gasperi, J., Coquet, Y., Buleté, A., Vulliet, E., Deshayes, S., Zedek, S., Mirande-Bret, 608 C., Eudes, V., Bressy, A., Caupos, E., Moilleron, R., Chebbo, G., Rocher, V., 2016a. 609 Removal of a wide range of emerging pollutants from wastewater treatment plant 610 discharges by micro-grain activated carbon in fluidized bed as tertiary treatment at large 611 Sci. Total Environ. 542. Part 983-996. 612 pilot scale. A, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.10.153 613
- Mailler, R., Gasperi, J., Coquet, Y., Derome, C., Buleté, A., Vulliet, E., Bressy, A., Varrault, G.,
 Chebbo, G., Rocher, V., 2016b. Removal of emerging micropollutants from wastewater
 by activated carbon adsorption: Experimental study of different activated carbons and
 factors influencing the adsorption of micropollutants in wastewater. J. Environ. Chem.
 Eng. 4, 1102–1109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2016.01.018
- Mailler, R., Gasperi, J., Coquet, Y., Deshayes, S., Zedek, S., Cren-Olivé, C., Cartiser, N., Eudes,
 V., Bressy, A., Caupos, E., Moilleron, R., Chebbo, G., Rocher, V., 2015. Study of a large
 scale powdered activated carbon pilot: Removals of a wide range of emerging and priority

- micropollutants from wastewater treatment plant effluents. Water Res. 72, 315–330.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.10.047
- Mailler, R., Gasperi, J., Rocher, V., Gilbert-Pawlik, S., Geara-Matta, D., Moilleron, R., Chebbo,
 G., 2014. Biofiltration vs conventional activated sludge plants: what about priority and
 emerging pollutants removal? Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 21, 5379–5390.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-013-2388-0
- Margot, J., Kienle, C., Magnet, A., Weil, M., Rossi, L., de Alencastro, L.F., Abegglen, C.,
 Thonney, D., Chèvre, N., Schärer, M., Barry, D.A., 2013. Treatment of micropollutants in
 municipal wastewater: Ozone or powdered activated carbon? Sci. Total Environ. 461–462,
 480–498. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.05.034
- Márquez, G., Rodríguez, E.M., Beltrán, F.J., Álvarez, P.M., 2013. Determination of Rate
 Constants for Ozonation of Ofloxacin in Aqueous Solution. Ozone Sci. Eng. 35, 186–195.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/01919512.2013.771530
- Mathon, B., Coquery, M., Miege, C., Penru, Y., Choubert, J.-M., 2017. Removal efficiencies and kinetic rate constants of xenobiotics by ozonation in tertiary treatment. Water Sci. Technol.
 75, 2737–2746. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2017.114
- Matsui, Y., Yuasa, A., Li, F.-S., 1999. Pretreatment effects on activated carbon adsorption of
 humic substances: Distributed fictive component analysis. Water Sci. Technol. 40, 223–
 230. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0273-1223(99)00660-5
- Meinel, F., Ruhl, A.S., Sperlich, A., Zietzschmann, F., Jekel, M., 2015. Pilot-Scale Investigation
 of Micropollutant Removal with Granular and Powdered Activated Carbon. Water. Air.
 Soil Pollut. 226. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-014-2260-y
- Meinel, F., Zietzschmann, F., Ruhl, A.S., Sperlich, A., Jekel, M., 2016. The benefits of powdered
 activated carbon recirculation for micropollutant removal in advanced wastewater
 treatment. Water Res. 91, 97–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.01.009
- Merel, S., Lege, S., Yanez Heras, J.E., Zwiener, C., 2017. Assessment of N-Oxide Formation
 during Wastewater Ozonation. Environ. Sci. Technol. 51, 410–417.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b02373
- Muller, U., Hess, F., Worch, E., 1996. Impact of organic matter adsorbability on micropollutant
 removal by activated carbon. J. WATER SUPPLY Res. Technol.-AQUA 45, 273–280.
- Murphy, K.R., Hambly, A., Singh, S., Henderson, R.K., Baker, A., Stuetz, R., Khan, S.J., 2011.
 Organic Matter Fluorescence in Municipal Water Recycling Schemes: Toward a Unified
 PARAFAC Model. Environ. Sci. Technol. 45, 2909–2916.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/es103015e
- Naumov, S., Mark, G., Jarocki, A., von Sonntag, C., 2010. The Reactions of Nitrite Ion with
 Ozone in Aqueous Solution New Experimental Data and Quantum-Chemical
 Considerations. OZONE-Sci. Eng. 32, 430–434.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/01919512.2010.522960
- Newcombe, G., Morrison, J., Hepplewhite, C., Knappe, D.R.U., 2002. Simultaneous adsorption
 of MIB and NOM onto activated carbon II. Competitive effects. Carbon 40, 2147–2156.
- Office fédéral de l'environnement, Division Eaux, 2014. Rapport explificatif concernant la modification de l'ordonnance sur la protection des eaux.
- Real, F.J., Benitez, F.J., Acero, J.L., Sagasti, J.J.P., Casas, F., 2009. Kinetics of the Chemical
 Oxidation of the Pharmaceuticals Primidone, Ketoprofen, and Diatrizoate in Ultrapure and
 Natural Waters. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 48, 3380–3388. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie801762p
- Rocher, V., Paffoni, C., Gonçalves, A., Guérin, S., Azimi, S., Gasperi, J., Moilleron, R., Pauss,
 A., 2012. Municipal wastewater treatment by biofiltration: comparisons of various
 treatment layouts. Part 1: assessment of carbon and nitrogen removal. Water Sci. Technol.
 65, 1705. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2012.105

- Roustan, M., Debellefontaine, H., Do-Quang, Z., Duguet, J.-P., 1998. Development of a Method
 for the Determination of Ozone Demand of a Water. Ozone Sci. Eng. 20, 513–520.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/01919519809480338
- Ruel, S.M., Choubert, J.M., Esperanza, M., Miège, C., Madrigal, P.N., Budzinski, H., Le Ménach,
 K., Lazarova, V., Coquery, M., 2011. On-site evaluation of the removal of 100 micropollutants through advanced wastewater treatment processes for reuse applications. Water
 Sci. Technol. 63, 2486. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2011.470
- Schollée, J.E., Bourgin, M., von Gunten, U., McArdell, C.S., Hollender, J., 2018. Non-target
 screening to trace ozonation transformation products in a wastewater treatment train
 including different post-treatments. Water Res. 142, 267–278.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.05.045
- Sgroi, M., Anumol, T., Roccaro, P., Vagliasindi, F.G.A., Snyder, S.A., 2018. Modeling emerging
 contaminants breakthrough in packed bed adsorption columns by UV absorbance and
 fluorescing components of dissolved organic matter. Water Res.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.09.018
- Sgroi, M., Roccaro, P., Korshin, G.V., Vagliasindi, F.G.A., 2017. Monitoring the Behavior of
 Emerging Contaminants in Wastewater-Impacted Rivers Based on the Use of
 Fluorescence Excitation Emission Matrixes (EEM). Environ. Sci. Technol. 51, 4306–
 4316. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b05785
- Sgroi, M., Roccaro, P., Oelker, G., Snyder, S.A., 2016. N-nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA)
 formation during ozonation of wastewater and water treatment polymers. Chemosphere
 144, 1618–1623. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.10.023
- Völker, J., Stapf, M., Miehe, U., Wagner, M., 2019. Systematic Review of Toxicity Removal by
 Advanced Wastewater Treatment Technologies via Ozonation and Activated Carbon.
 Environ. Sci. Technol. 53, 7215–7233. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b00570
- von Gunten, U., 2003a. Ozonation of drinking water: Part I. Oxidation kinetics and product formation. Water Res. 37, 1443–1467. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(02)00457-8
- von Gunten, U., 2003b. Ozonation of drinking water: Part II. Disinfection and by-product
 formation in presence of bromide, iodide or chlorine. Water Res. 37, 1469–1487.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(02)00458-X
- Vulliet, E., Cren-Olivé, C., Grenier-Loustalot, M.-F., 2011. Occurrence of pharmaceuticals and hormones in drinking water treated from surface waters. Environ. Chem. Lett. 9, 103–114. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-009-0253-7
- Zhang, S., Wu, C., Zhou, Y., Wang, Y., He, X., 2018. Effect of wastewater particles on catalytic
 ozonation in the advanced treatment of petrochemical secondary effluent. Chem. Eng. J.
 345, 280–289. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2018.03.184
- Zietzschmann, F., Mitchell, R.-L., Jekel, M., 2015. Impacts of ozonation on the competition
 between organic micro-pollutants and effluent organic matter in powdered activated
 carbon adsorption. Water Res. 84, 153–160. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.07.031
- Zietzschmann, F., Stützer, C., Jekel, M., 2016. Granular activated carbon adsorption of organic
 micro-pollutants in drinking water and treated wastewater Aligning breakthrough curves
 and capacities. Water Res. 92, 180–187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.01.056
- Zietzschmann, F., Worch, E., Altmann, J., Ruhl, A.S., Sperlich, A., Meinel, F., Jekel, M., 2014.
 Impact of EfOM size on competition in activated carbon adsorption of organic micropollutants from treated wastewater. Water Res. 65, 297–306.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.07.043
- Zimmermann, S.G., Wittenwiler, M., Hollender, J., Krauss, M., Ort, C., Siegrist, H., von Gunten,
 U., 2011. Kinetic assessment and modeling of an ozonation step for full-scale municipal
 wastewater treatment: Micropollutant oxidation, by-product formation and disinfection.
 Water Res. 45, 605–617. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.07.080

Zucker, I., Lester, Y., Avisar, D., Hübner, U., Jekel, M., Weinberger, Y., Mamane, H., 2015.
Influence of Wastewater Particles on Ozone Degradation of Trace Organic Contaminants.
Environ. Sci. Technol. 49, 301–308. https://doi.org/10.1021/es504314t