



HAL
open science

The pitfalls of signature. Questionning affiliation in French research and higher education institutions

Marianne Noel, Frédérique Bordignon

► To cite this version:

Marianne Noel, Frédérique Bordignon. The pitfalls of signature. Questionning affiliation in French research and higher education institutions. Science, Technology and Innovation indicators - STI 2017, Sep 2017, Champs sur Marne, France. 2017. hal-01628610

HAL Id: hal-01628610

<https://enpc.hal.science/hal-01628610v1>

Submitted on 3 Nov 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

The pitfalls of signature - Questioning affiliation in French higher education institutions


Marianne Noël - LISTIS, Univ Paris-Est, CNRS, INRA, UPEM, ESIEE Paris, Champs-sur-Marne, France - noel@ifris.fr @MNoel75
 Frédérique Bordignon - Pôle IST, Ecole des Ponts ParisTech, Champs-sur-Marne, France - frederique.bordignon@enpc.fr @freddie2310

Background and context:

- Accountability regime (Wouters, 1999)
- Challenge for individuals and institutions to be easily identified
- 10 years of new funding schemes and structures in France (the "millefeuilles" pastry phenomenon)

Method:

A participatory/reflexive approach



Case study: A prestigious school of engineering set up for 20 years in a large university campus
 Injunctions, paradoxes, unexpected facts, incongruities...

Actors are pulled back and forth between conflicting and non-coordinated demands and emphasize unintended effects of the tagging/tracking activities.

Researchers: a confusing situation due to multi-affiliations status; uncomfortable feeling with the signature that should be technical and not personal; affiliations reflect multiple socialities.

Scholarly communication specialists: job profile moving to "data scientist" (from library to bibliometrics); necessity to collaborate with publishers (Elsevier) despite disagreement upon Open Access.

Publishers: selling products in a more competitive landscape in the frame of public procurements.

High-level managers: key issues at stake like increasing visibility, reliability of benchmarkings and better self-understanding.



Unexpected effect: Analyses reveal that, despite *Civil engineering* being the main activity of the institution, it is not the most important domain where researchers publish their work in. It has consequences on its position in international rankings.

Perspectives: Work in progress...

Recommendations and efforts are quite ineffective. Is it specific to the French case?