

Removal of emerging micropollutants from wastewater by activated carbon adsorption: Experimental study of different activated carbons and factors influencing the adsorption of micropollutants in wastewater

R. Mailler, J. Gasperi, Y. Coquet, C. Derome, A. Buleté, E. Vulliet, Adèle Bressy, G. Varrault, G. Chebbo, V. Rocher

► To cite this version:

R. Mailler, J. Gasperi, Y. Coquet, C. Derome, A. Buleté, et al.. Removal of emerging micropollutants from wastewater by activated carbon adsorption: Experimental study of different activated carbons and factors influencing the adsorption of micropollutants in wastewater. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering, 2016, 4 (1), 10.1016/j.jece.2016.01.018. hal-01266245

HAL Id: hal-01266245 https://enpc.hal.science/hal-01266245v1

Submitted on 19 Jun2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Removal of emerging micropollutants from wastewater by activated carbon adsorption:
2	experimental study of different activated carbons and factors influencing the adsorption of
3	micropollutants in wastewater
4	
5	R. Mailler ^{1A} *, J. Gasperi ¹ *, Y. Coquet ² , C. Derome ¹ , A. Buleté ³ , E. Vulliet ³ , A. Bressy ⁴ , G. Varrault ¹ , G.
6	Chebbo ⁴ and V. Rocher ⁵
7	
8	¹ LEESU (UMR MA 102, Université Paris-Est, AgroParisTech), Université Paris-Est Créteil, 61 avenue du
9	Général de Gaulle, 94010 Créteil Cedex, France. (E-mail: maillerr@leesu.enpc.fr; gasperi@u-pec.fr)
10	² SAUR, Direction de la Recherche et du Développement, 1 rue Antoine Lavoisier, 78064 Guyancourt, France.
11	³ Université de Lyon, Institut des Sciences Analytiques, UMR5280 CNRS, Université Lyon 1, ENS-Lyon, 5
12	rue de la Doua, 69100 Villeurbanne, France.
13	⁴ LEESU (UMR MA 102, Université Paris-Est, AgroParisTech), École des Ponts ParisTech, 6-8 avenue Blaise
14	Pascal, Champs-sur-Marne, 77455 Marne-la-Vallée Cedex 2, France.
15	⁵ SIAAP, Direction du Développement et de la Prospective, 82 avenue Kléber, 92700 Colombes, France.
16	* Corresponding authors
17	
18	HIGHLIGHTS
19	• BET surface and bulk density of activated carbons are correlated to micropollutants adsorption,
20	• The activated carbon dose and the contact time have a great influence on adsorption,
21	• FeCl ₃ has a positive influence on micropollutants adsorption by activated carbon (+10-15%),
22	• Both the quantity and composition of organic matter impact the adsorption,
23	• UV-254 removals are correlated to several micropollutants removals.
24	

^{AA} <u>Present adress</u>: SIAAP, Direction du Développement et de la Prospective, 82 avenue Kléber, 92700 Colombes, France. (Email: *romain.mailler@siaap.fr*)

25 ABSTRACT

26

27 Activated carbon processes, initially designed for drinking water production, are tested for wastewater application in order 28 to characterize their efficiency to remove micropollutants from wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) discharges. In that 29 purpose, a pilot was set up at the Seine Centre WWTP and is studied by the Paris sanitation service (SIAAP) and the 30 Water Environment and Urban Systems laboratory (LEESU). The in-situ study raised several additional questions related to the structural and morphological properties of activated carbons, in order to select the proper material, the influence of 31 32 operational parameters such as the activated carbon dose and the contact time, the role of organic matter concentration 33 and composition, the presence of a residual concentration of methanol or the impact of ferric chloride addition. Thus, various complementary experiments were carried out at laboratory scale to improve the understanding of the 34 35 micropollutants adsorption process on activated carbon, in particular on powdered activated carbon (PAC).

36

37 The results have highlighted a strong link between the efficiency of PACs and their specific surface (BET), which can be 38 easily estimated by their bulk density. The study of the sorption process has also confirmed the strong influence of the 39 PAC dose and the rapidity of the sorption kinetic. From an operational point of view, the ferric chloride injection seems 40 to slightly improve most of the detected compounds adsorption, probably thanks to the coagulation of the dissolved 41 organic matter colloidal fraction. In contrary, the presence in the water of a residual concentration of methanol seems to 42 have no impact on the pharmaceuticals fate. The influence of the wastewater matrix where adsorption is performed is 43 strong, with notably lower adsorption in settled water compared to various WWTP discharges. However, the dissolved 44 organic carbon concentration is not always sufficient to explain sorption competitions in wastewater, and the composition 45 and the distribution of the organic matter should be considered too. In particular, the carbon removal from biological 46 treatments is the step that clearly modifies both the quantity and the composition of the organic matter. It has been observed that discharges from WWTPs operating with different biological processes (activated sludge, membrane 47 48 bioreactor or biofiltration) have similar organic matter concentrations and compositions, and allows comparable removals of organic matter and micropollutants by adsorption. The lower performances on micropollutants observed in the settled 49 50 water can be explained by the higher quantity of small protein-like molecules (fluorophores δ and γ), which compose the 51 most competitive organic matter fraction for adsorption on activated carbon, compared to the other waters.

- 52
- 53

54 KEYWORDS

55 Adsorption; organic matter; pharmaceuticals; activated carbon; wastewater

56

57 INTRODUCTION

58

59 The presence of a large range of emerging micropollutants, particularly pharmaceuticals and hormones, personal care 60 products or pesticides, has been highlighted in wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) discharges (Miège et al. 2009; Verlicchi et al. 2012; Loos et al. 2013; Mailler et al. 2015). Even if several hydrophobic, volatile or biodegradable 61 62 compounds are strongly removed by conventional wastewater treatments (Ruel et al. 2012; Mailler et al. 2014b), most of 63 micropollutants are not removed. Thus, various strategies of contamination reduction are assessed by the scientific 64 community and water treatment engineers. Among them, the implementation of tertiary treatments dedicated to 65 micropollutants elimination represents a relevant solution. In particular, adsorption on activated carbon appears to be 66 efficient, very flexible and relatively cheap (Abegglen & Siegrist 2012), together with not producing oxidation by-67 products.

68

In this context, the Parisian public sanitation service (SIAAP) and the Water Environment and Urban Systems laboratory (LEESU) study, in collaboration with SAUR teams, the CarboPlus® process. This process is based on a fluidized bed of activated carbon (powder - PAC - or micro-grain - μ GAC) to remove micropollutants by adsorption. A large-scale pilot based on this technology was set up at the Seine Centre WWTP (240 000 m³/days) to characterize the efficiency of activated carbon to remove a wide range of pollutants from WWTP discharges. The *in-situ* results are presented in (Mailler *et al.* 2015) for PAC.

In parallel to the *in situ* study, complementary laboratory scale experiments were conducted to i) better understand the relationships between activated carbon properties and the adsorption in wastewater and ii) to improve the understanding of the micropollutant adsorption mechanisms in wastewater application, in particular with PAC.

78

Activated carbon is characterized by different structural and morphological properties that can affect adsorption (Baudu *et al.* 2001; Li *et al.* 2002; Yu *et al.* 2008; Delgado *et al.* 2012). Thus, the first axis consists in studying the relationships between activated carbon properties and their efficiency to remove micropollutants. In particular, a focus is performed on the specific BET surface and the bulk density.

83

84 The second axis consists in studying the adsorption mechanism in wastewater representing a complex matrix. Indeed, the

literature highlighted that the matrix where adsorption is performed plays a crucial role in the fate of molecules (Ebie *et al.* 2001; Ternes *et al.* 2002; de Ridder *et al.* 2010; de Ridder *et al.* 2011), particularly the organic matter which competes with pollutants for adsorption through direct sites competition or pore blocking. In addition, most of the studies were conducted for drinking water production or in surface waters, and the adsorption mechanisms were poorly assessed in wastewater. Thus, the influences of both the activated carbon dose and the contact time on the performances were assessed, as well as the influence of the organic matter and chemicals that can be injected within the process for operational purposes.

92

93 This article summarizes the results from the laboratory scale experiments conducted within this project. First, the 94 relationships between micropollutants removals and activated carbon properties are assessed. Then, the influence of the 95 dose of carbon, the contact time, the organic matter quantity and quality, the presence of a residual concentration of 96 methanol and the injection of FeCl₃ were studied.

- 97 98
- 99 MATERIALS AND METHODS
- 100

101 1. Activated carbon characterization

102

103 11 adsorbents, including micro and mesoporous PACs and µGAC, have been selected based on their technical datasheets
provided by the producers. Among them, 3 are commercialized by DaCarb® (PB 170®, PB 170-400® and PC 1000® France), 3 by Chemviron® (WP 235®, Carbsorb 28® and Cyclecarb 305® - Belgium), 2 by Norit® (W 35® and SA
Super® - Netherlands) and 3 by Jacobi® (LP 39®, MP 25® and Hydro XP 17® - Sweden). These activated carbons are
recognized for their high organic pollutants affinity, but their use in wastewater was poorly studied in the literature.

108

Several structural properties such as the specific BET surface (m^2/g) , the porous volume (mL/g), the pore size distribution and the bulk density (g/cm^3) have been measured on the 11 activated carbons. In addition, the particle size distribution and the micropollutant elimination have been determined for 4 of them: PB 170®, WP 235®, W 35® and PC 1000®.

112

113 These structural properties have been analyzed at the University of Technology of Compiègne (UTC, France). The 114 specific BET surface and the pore size distribution were measured with an ASAP 2010 Micromeritics analyzer equipped

115 with a degasing station and a gas isotherm adsorption analyses station (nitrogen), according to the conventional methods used to determine these parameters. Fresh activated carbon samples (100 mg) were degased at least 12 h before to be 116 analyzed. Results correspond to the specific surface in m²/g obtained with the BET (Brunauer, Emett and Teller) method. 117 118 The pore size distribution is determined with the BJH (Barrett, Joyner and Halenda) method, using the desorption curve 119 of the same gas on the same analyzer. This method allows also calculating the microporous and mesoporous pore volumes. 120 The bulk density was measured by weighting, with a high precision balance (0.01 mg), 50 to 100 mL of activated carbon, measured with a 100 mL graduated cylinder. The activated carbon is introduced by small doses (10 mg) and is compacted 121 122 every 10 mL to minimize the vacuum between particles.

123 The particles size distribution of the 4 PACs was measured with a Mastersizer 2000 Malvern laser particle sizer. Every 124 analysis corresponds to 15 000 light diffraction measures. 3 scans were performed at least per sample.

125

126 2. Pollutants and analytical procedures

127

For every sample, several parameters were measured in the dissolved phase: UV absorbance at 254 nm (UV-254), dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and concentrations of 16 pharmaceuticals and 2 pesticides (list and limit of quantification in supporting material - Table S1). Organic micropollutants were analyzed by the Institute of Analytical Sciences (ISA -Villeurbanne, France), while UV-254 and DOC were measured by the SIAAP laboratory (French accreditation -Colombes, France). Analytical protocols are validated and are given in (Mailler *et al.* 2015).

133

3D fluorescence spectrometry analyses were also performed by the LEESU. They allow generating a tridimensional matrix and to plot it on a 3D spectrum containing all excitation and emission spectra of the dissolved organic matter (DOM) present in a sample. This method gives the distribution of the different components of the DOM as they don't fluoresce in the same zones of the spectrum. The detailed description of 3D fluorescence spectrometry is given in (Hudson *et al.* 2007). Analyses have been performed with a Jasco FP-8300 spectrofluorometer equipped with a 1 cm quartz cell.

139

140

3. Laboratory experiments protocols

141

The laboratory experiments have been performed in the Seine Centre WWTP (Colombes, France) between April 2013
and May 2015. Wastewater was punctually sampled from the WWTP discharges with 10 L glass bottles, properly rinsed
and grilled, and used the same day for experiments. Then, samples were filtered on glass fibers filters (GF/F 0.7 μm) after

experiments, before to be sent to laboratories for analyses.

147 **3.1.** Efficiency comparison of 4 PACs

148

The efficiency of 4 PACs (PB 170®, WP 235®, W 35® and PC 1000® - Table 1) to remove micropollutants was assessed, by contacting individually 10 mg/L of each PAC with 1 L of Seine Centre WWTP (SEC) discharges during 45 min under strong mixing. After filtering, samples were conditioned and sent to ISA laboratory to analyze pharmaceuticals and pesticides.

153

154 3.2. PAC dose and adsorption kinetic

155

Regarding its performances, PB 170[®] was selected to characterize the relationship between PAC dose, contact time and micropollutant removal. First, 10 mg/L of this PAC were contacted with 1 L of SEC discharges under strong mixing during different contact times (5 - 10 - 30 - 45 - 60 min), which are close from the pilot contact time range. Then, 3 PAC doses (5 - 10 - 20 mg/L) were tested with 1 L of SEC discharges and during 45 min.

160

161 **3.3.** DOM and adsorption

162

163 The DOM has been identified to play a crucial role in the adsorption process because it induces a competition with the 164 organic micropollutants for adsorption (Matsui et al. 2003; de Ridder et al. 2011; Delgado et al. 2012). These phenomena 165 have been principally observed with surface water and natural organic matter, but some recent studies also studied it in wastewater (Margot et al. 2013; Altmann et al. 2014). In this context, it is necessary to determine the role of both the 166 quantity and the quality of the DOM in the adsorption process. To this end, 7 types of wastewater featuring by different 167 168 levels of organic matter (Table 1) have been contacted under strong mixing with PAC (10 mg/L of PB 170® during-45 min). Among the tested waters, 4 were WWTP discharges, from Seine Centre (SEC), Seine Aval (SAV), Seine Morée 169 (SEM) and Seine Amont (SAM) WWTPs. The 3 other tested waters were outlet waters from the physico-chemical 170 lamellar settling unit (SEC settled water) and the carbon biofiltration effluent (carbon removal) of SEC, as well as SEC 171 settled water diluted with distilled water to reach a DOC level comparable to the WWTP discharges. The layouts of the 172 different studied WWTPs are given in supporting material - Table S1, as well as the sampling points (red circles). The 173 organic matter characteristics of these waters are different and given in Table 1. Among the 7 wastewaters, the 174

175 micropollutants adsorption has been assessed with SEC settled water, SEC carbon biofiltration effluent, SEC and SAV

176 discharges.

177

A 3D fluorescence spectrometry characterization has also been performed on these 7 waters, before and after contact with PAC. The spectra interpretation follows the fluorophores defined in (Parlanti *et al.* 2002), as indicated for SEC settled water and discharges in supporting material - Figure S2. α , α' and β correspond to humic-like substances, γ and δ respectively represents tyrosine-like and tryptophan-like proteins. Then, I1, I2, I3 and I4 indexes, respectively corresponding to the ratios α'/α , β/α , γ/α and δ/α , were calculated to evaluate the distribution. Finally, the humification index (HIX) and the biological activity index (BIX) were also evaluated (Zsolnay *et al.* 1999; Parlanti *et al.* 2002). The different fluorophores, HIX and BIX are given in Table 1 for the 7 tested wastewaters.

185

186

 Table 1 - The 7 studied wastewaters and their main DOM characteristics

	SEC settled	SEC settled	SEC carbon	SEC	SAM	SAV	SEM
	water	water -	biofiltration	discharges	discharges	discharges	discharges
		diluted	effluent	_		_	_
Biological	-	-	BF (C)	BF (C+N)	CAS (C+N)	CAS (C)	MBR
treatment						BF (N)	C+N
TOC	32	7.8	-	7.3	7.2	-	5.5
(mgC/L)							
DOC	27	7.7	6.6	6.8	6.6	11	5.2
(mgC/L)							
UV-254	0.859	0.203	0.120	0.150	0.173	0.237	0.140
(cm ⁻¹)							
Fluo α (UA)	1 879	455	812	1 522	822	2 097	701
Fluo α' (UA)	2 019	442	741	1 262	712	2 041	730
Fluo β (UA)	1 926	440	742	1 371	761	2 018	657
Fluo δ (UA)	4 949	1 080	665	1 348	678	1 685	622
Fluo y (UA)	4 194	907	354	1 077	448	590	231
HIX	1.0	1.2	3.4	3.2	3.6	5.0	6.4
BIX	0.85	0.90	0.97	0.96	0.99	0.95	0.96
SEC = Seine Centre WWTP; SAM = Seine Amont WWTP; SAV = Seine Aval; SEM = Seine Morée.							
BF = biofiltration; CAS = conventional activated sludge; MBR = membrane bioreactor: C = carbon removal; N =							
nitrogen removal (nitrification + denitrification).							
DOC = dissolved organic carbon, UV-254 = UV absorbance at 254 nm, Fluo = fluorophore, HIX = humification							

187

188

189 3.4. Influence of the residual methanol concentration in the WWTP discharges

index, BIX = biological activity index.

190

191 A residual methanol concentration is present in both SEC and SAV discharges because of the post-denitrification stage.

192 Indeed, denitrifying microorganisms needs a carbon source and when the denitrification is performed after the carbon

193 removal and the nitrification, as in both SEC and SAV, the carbon content is too low (Rocher *et al.* 2012). Methanol is

194	then added during this stage as carbon source, but its consumption by the microorganisms is not complete. Operationally
195	speaking, it is interesting to study the impact of this residual concentration on the micropollutant adsorption by activated
196	carbon. Thus, the performances obtained with the SEC discharges have been compared to performances obtained with
197	the same water spiked with methanol (6 and 12 mgC/L). The adsorbability of methanol has also been studied and
198	preliminary tests demonstrated that no adsorption of methanol occurs when contacting 12 mgC/L of methanol in ultra-
199	pure water with 10 mgPAC/L.
200	
201	3.5. Influence of the ferric chloride
202	
203	The CarboPlus® process operating in PAC configuration requires the injection of FeCl ₃ to stabilize the PAC bed and
204	prevent any leakage. This substance is known to destabilize particles by neutralization of the surface charges, which could
205	impact the adsorption of micropollutants. To evaluate the impact of FeCl ₃ , 1 L of SEC discharges have been contacted
206	with PAC alone (10 mg/L), FeCl ₃ alone (2.5 gFeCl ₃ /m ³) and both PAC and FeCl ₃ under strong mixing during 45 min.
207	
208	
209	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
210	
211	1. Influence of PAC characteristics on its efficiency
212	
213	Structural and morphological properties of the 4 tested PACs are given in the Table 2. The removals of the micropollutants

- 214 with a PAC dose of 10 mg/L during 45 min are also provided.
- 215

 Table 2 - Characterization and performances of the 4 PACs studied

	PB 170®	WP 235®	W 35®	PC 1000®
Producer	DaCarb	Chemviron	Norit	DaCarb
Raw material	Wood	Coal	Peat	Coconut
Bulk density (g/cm ³)	0.30	0.38	0.33	0.54
Specific BET surface (m ² /g)	957 ± 28	909 ± 30	768 ± 19	458 ± 14
Pore size distribution (micro, meso, macroporous) ¹	54% - 35% - 11%	53% - 31% - 16%	45% - 45% - 10%	59% - 29% - 12%
Pore volume (micro + meso) (mL/g)	0.5066	0.4841	0.4876	0.2435
Particle size distribution (µm)	3.4 - 16.2 - 58.9	3.4 - 18.0 - 58.0	3.2 - 19.4 - 86.2	3.7 - 31.7 - 129.4

d10 - d50 - d90				
	Micropollutant removal (%) - (10 mg/L of PAC, 45 min of contact)			
16 micro- pollutants ²	61%	53%	51%	32%
Atenolol	54%	44%	41%	21%
Carbamazepine	63%	44%	48%	16%
Ciprofloxacin	77%	63%	62%	49%
Diclofenac	32%	22%	28%	5%
Diuron	75%	66%	60%	24%
Norfloxacin	79%	69%	62%	58%
Ofloxacin	79%	71%	68%	46%
Propranolol	81%	76%	70%	46%
Roxithromycin	43%	38%	40%	21%
Trimethoprim	46%	36%	33%	10%
1 Distribution of surface. Micropore = < 2 nm, mesopores = < 50 nm and macropores = > 50 nm.				
2 Sum of 16 pharmaceuticals = atenolol, bezafibrate, carbamaepine, ciprofloxacin, diclofenac,				
erythromycin, ketoprofen, lorazepam, metronidazole, naproxen, norfloxacin, ofloxacin, propranolol, roxithromycin, sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim.				

Among the 4 PACs, the PB 170® and WP 235® have a similar mesoporous structure with close pore size distribution 217 218 and specific BET surface (900-1000 m²/g), while W 35® and especially PC 1000® have different pore surface 219 distributions and lower specific BET surfaces (supporting material - Figure S3; Table 2). W 35® is strongly 220 mesoporous with 45% of the BET surface resulting from mesopores, while PC 1000® is microporous with 59% of the 221 surface resulting from micropores. 3 of the tested PACs have similar bulk densities (0.30-0.40 g/cm³) while PC 1000® 222 is heavier (0.54 g/cm³). Regarding the particle size distribution, PB 170® and WP 235® are comparable while the two other PACs have a larger particle size distribution and a higher median diameter. This is particularly notable for the PC 223 1000[®] which is characterized by median and d90 values twice higher than those of the PB 170[®] and WP 235[®]. 224

225

Even if only 1 punctual experiment was performed, a clear trend can be observed with most of the compounds. For individual compounds, the PB 170® allows the highest removals for 15 of the 18 micropollutants and the PC 1000® is always the worst efficient. The WP 235® and W 35® seem to have similar performances, slightly weaker than PB 170®. Overall, the sum of the 16 pharmaceuticals is removed by 61%, 53%, 51% and 32% respectively by the PB 170®, WP 230 235®, W 35® and PC 1000®.

231

The micropollutant removal is well correlated with the specific BET surface (**Figure** 1): the higher the specific BET

surface, the higher the micropollutant removal. In addition, the specific BET surface is also correlated to the bulk

density of the PAC, a low bulk density corresponding to a high BET surface. This relationship has been verified with 7

- other PACs differing by their nature, as the bulk density is very easy to measure and could therefore be a proxy of the
- BET surface. Considering the 11 PAC, this link is still observed (Figure 1). Thus, the bulk density could be used as an

indicator to select activated carbons and estimate their BET surface and efficiency for micropollutants removal fromwastewater.

239

The link between the efficiency of activated carbons and their specific BET surface ($600-1500 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$) has already been observed in the literature (Çeçen & Aktas 2012), but the distribution of this surface between the different categories of pores (micro < 2 nm, meso 2-50 nm and macropores > 50 nm) has also to be considered. This is particularly important in presence of organic matter because it competes with micropollutants for adsorption, directly or by pore blocking (Newcombe *et al.* 2002; Yu *et al.* 2008). Thus, a mesoporous structure with a large pore size distribution should limit the competition with the DOM (Ebie *et al.* 2001).

- 246
- 247



249 250 251 252	Figure 1 - Correlations between the specific BET surface and the micropollutant removals obtained with a PAC, and link with its bulk density
253	
254	2. Adsorption of micropollutants on PAC
255	
256	2.1. Influence of fresh PAC dose and adsorption kinetic
257	

258 The Figure 2 displays the influence of the contact time and the PAC dose on the removal of micropollutants from Seine







261

262 263

Figure 2 - Micropollutants adsorption kinetic in the Seine Centre discharges and influence of the dose on removals

According to the results (Figure 2), a higher dose of PAC logically results in higher micropollutant removals, i.e. carbamazepine (32-54-80%; removals at 5-10-20 mgPAC/L), diclofenac (22-36-60%), propranolol (56-81-95%) or sulfamethoxazole (51-61-80%). However, the relationship is not linear as doubling the PAC mass doesn't result in a doubling of the removals. Actually, the relationship is logarithmic resulting in the reaching of a plateau when increasing

- the dose, as displayed in supporting material Figure S4. Thus, the PAC dose in wastewater has a great influence on the removal, as already observed in the literature (Snyder et al. 2007; Boehler et al. 2012; Margot et al. 2013) or at large scale with the CarboPlus® pilot (Mailler *et al.* 2015).Considering the individual results of the micropollutants, this positive influence of the dose is statistically significant (test of Shapiro-Wilk, Fischer and Student - p-value < 0.05) between 5 and 10 mgPAC/L (p-value = 0.016) and between 10 and 20 mgPAC/L (p-value = 0.0005).
- 273
- Furthermore, the removals notably increase with the contact time (Figure 2), i.e. ciprofloxacin (29-40-62-66-71%; 274 275 removals at 5-10-30-45-60 min contact times) or diclofenac (19-23-32-36-39%). However, the PAC is very fine (< 50276 um), resulting in a relatively fast adsorption kinetic. As a consequence, although the equilibrium is not reached after 60 min, differences of removal between 30, 45 and 60 min contact time for a same PAC dose are limited (< 10%) indicating 277 278 the proximity of the equilibrium. Thus, the short contact times (30-60 min) employed in PAC tertiary treatments are 279 suitable for an efficient adsorption of micropollutants. For several compounds (8/15), such as atrazine (26-46%; removals after 5 and 60 min), norfloxacin (38-71%), ofloxacin (39-64%) or sulfamethoxazole (40-55%), the removal achieved after 280 281 5 min represents more than half of the removal after 60 min, confirming the very fast kinetic. In contrary, erythromycin (7-39%) or roxithromycin (3-38%) seem to have slower kinetics, probably because of their high molecular weight. These 282 283 compounds will then be more sensitive to changes of contact time in adsorption processes.
- 284
- 285 2.2. Influence of the matrix
- 286 2.2.1. Dissolved organic carbon
- 287
- The Figure 3 displays the removals of micropollutants observed with different types of wastewater, sorted from the highest
 to the lowest DOC value.
- 290





Figure 3 - Pharmaceuticals removals by PAC adsorption from different types of wastewater

Removals observed with the SEC settled water are overall lower than those from the three other wastewaters. In particular, they are significantly lower (p-value = 0.001) than in the SEC discharges (water from the same WWTP), considering all the compounds displayed on Figure 3. Comparable removals are overall achieved with the 3 other waters, which have undergone an intense biological carbon treatment. For instance, the carbamazepine is removed at 18% in SEC settled water, against 38 to 42% in the other matrixes. Similarly, the trimethoprim removal increases from 5% in settled water to 39-50% in the other waters.

300

These results indicate that DOC value has a negative impact on micropollutant adsorption, as the removals observed in the SEC settled water, featuring the highest DOC concentration (27 mgC/L), are the lowest compare to the other waters. The negative influence of DOC on micropollutant adsorption from wastewater was also observed in the literature (de Ridder *et al.* 2011; Margot *et al.* 2013; Altmann *et al.* 2014). In contrary, DOC value seems to have a limited impact on propranolol behavior, a compound which is easily adsorbed by activated carbon as positively charged (Mailler *et al.* 2015).

307

308 According to what is previously stated, micropollutant removals should be lower in SAV discharges compared to those

309	in the SEC carbon biofiltration effluent and discharges, regarding the differences of DOC (11 vs. 6.6-6.8 mgC/L). This is
310	observed for bezafibrate, lorazepam and metronidazole. However, removals are similar for the other compounds, in spite
311	of slightly higher influent concentrations in the SAV discharges. Besides, considering the individual removals of
312	compounds from Figure 3, the removals from SEC and SAV discharges are significantly similar (p-value = 0.175). The
313	organic matter of SAV discharges should then be less competitive than organic matter from other discharges, what
314	counterbalances the higher DOC.
315	This result indicates that the sole DOC level isn't sufficient to explain the variations of removals from water to water, the
316	organic matter composition and nature have also to be considered. Considering that, the DOM nature and adsorption was
317	assessed before and after contact with PAC for these 4 waters, as well as 3 additional wastewater matrixes.
318	
319	2.2.2. Composition and adsorption of the organic matter
320	
321	Figure 4 displays the composition (indexes from 3D fluorescence analysis) of the organic matter from 7 wastewater

matrixes, as well as the organic matter removal by PAC adsorption (10 mgPAC/L - 45 min).

323



324

325 326

Figure 4 - DOM adsorption and distribution using the defined indexes for the different studied wastewaters

First of all, the 4 WWTP discharges (SEC, SAM, SEM and SAV) and the SEC carbon biofiltration effluent have a similar distribution of DOM with a large fraction of humic-like organic matter (peaks α , α ' and β ; indexes I1 and I2), and a smaller fraction of organic matter resulting from bacterial activity (γ and δ ; I3 and I4), as shown on Figure 4 and in Table 1. In contrary, the DOM distribution is different in the SEC settled water with very high I3 and I4 indexes, characterizing protein molecules, compared to I1 and I2 indexes, characterizing the humic-like substances. This indicates that carbon biological treatments significantly remove DOC and fluorophores δ and γ (see SEC settled water and carbon biofiltration effluent in Table 1), resulting in a significant modification of the DOM distribution (Figure 4). Together with this difference of DOM composition, the SEC settled water has higher DOC (27 mgC/L) and UV-254 (0.859 cm⁻¹) values, highlighting a higher quantity of DOM.

In addition, the HIX increases along the different steps of wastewater treatment, as shown by the low HIX in the SEC settled water (1.0) and the higher HIX after the biological treatments (> 3). This suggests that small protein molecules (δ and γ) are transformed into humic-like substances (α , α ' and β) during biological treatments, explaining the HIX increase. The HIX from SAM and SEC discharges, as well as SEC carbon biofiltration effluent, are similar (3.2-3.6), while those from SAV and SEM discharges are higher (5.0-6.4), resulting from higher hydraulic retention times.

341

Regarding the removal of DOM by activated carbon, DOC and UV-254 removals are notably higher in the SEC settled water compared to the other ones. This is consistent as DOC and UV-254 are much higher in this water. This suggests that in this water, less sites will be available for micropollutants as more DOM is sorbed, what is consistent with micropollutants results (Figure 3). For the other wastewaters, the DOM removal is rather comparable (10-25% for DOC and 5-10% for UV-254).

In addition, fluorophores removals are rather similar in all wastewaters except in the SEC settled water. In the WWTP discharges, all the fluorophores are removed between 10 and 30%. In contrary, in SEC settled water, fluorophores δ and γ are predominant (I3 and I4) and are better removed (35-50%) than the other fluorophores. They are also better removed than in the other water. In consequence, these fluorophores will have the highest impact on the competition with micropollutants for adsorption. This is consistent with the study of (de Ridder *et al.* 2011) which identified the small size DOM as the most competing ones for adsorption. Moreover, the fluorophores removal pattern is the same in SEC diluted settled water, confirming that the distribution of DOM is as important in the adsorption process as the DOC value.

354

In this context, and considering that the carbon biological treatment significantly reduces the DOC and the quantity of fluorophores δ and γ (the most competing DOM), the lower removals of micropollutants by PAC in the SEC settled water compared to the other waters are then consistent. Indeed, the competition is stronger in this water resulting from i) a higher quantity of DOM (DOC and UV-254) and ii) a different DOM distribution, with more small protein-like substances and less humic-like substances. In addition, I3 and I4 are lower in the SAV discharges than in SEC carbon biofiltration

- 360 effluent and discharges, together with a higher HIX. This means that this water has less very competitive DOM what
- 361 counterbalances the higher DOC, resulting in similar micropollutants removals.
- 362
- 363 It can be concluded that, besides a low DOC, low I3 and I4 indexes, together with a high HIX, are positive for the
- 364 minimization of DOM competition during the micropollutants adsorption.
- 365
- 366 2.2.3. Presence and concentration of methanol
- 367
- 368 The micropollutant and organic matter removals obtained in presence of a residual concentration of methanol are 369 presented in Figure 5.
- 370



- 371
- 372 373

Figure 5 - Impact of a residual concentration of methanol in the WWTP discharges on the adsorption of pharmaceuticals by PAC

The comparison of removals obtained in the carbon biofiltration effluent and in the SEC discharges allows assessing the impact of the methanol presence in the wastewater on the PAC adsorption (Figure 5). Its presence doesn't decrease the performances as the removals observed in the SEC discharges are similar or higher than those in the carbon biofiltration effluent. The better removals in the SEC discharges could be explained i) by the methanol itself, and ii) by the carbon and
nitrogen treatment achieved in the second and third biofiltration stages. but further experiments are needed to bring an
answer.

Moreover, no clear trend is observed between the increase of the residual concentration of methanol and the micropollutant removals, except for sulfamethoxazole and naproxen for which the removal decrease and increase respectively. However, the results indicate that the increase of the methanol concentration doesn't lead to a significant degradation of the PAC performances for most compounds.

385

Thus, results have shown that the residual concentration of methanol doesn't affect negatively the removal of micropollutants by activated carbon (Figure 5). In contrary, its presence in biological treatment effluents could favor a biological activity in the tertiary treatments by activated carbon.

389

390 2.2. Influence of the injection of FeCl₃

391

392 The ferric chloride influence on the fate of micropollutants in presence of PAC is illustrated on figure 7.

393



394

Figure 6 - Influence of the presence of FeCl₃ on the pharmaceuticals removals by PAC adsorption

Three types of behaviors are observed for micropollutants. For most compounds, such as carbamazepine, beta blockers or diclofenac, and for DOM (DOC and UV-254), a slightly higher removal (+ 5-15%) is obtained by coupling PAC and ferric chloride, probably by coagulation of the colloidal fraction. These differences are not significant taking uncertainties into account, but 10 compounds in 13, as well as DOC and UV-254, have a greater removal in presence of FeCl₃. In contrary, no clear influence is observed for lorazepam and bezafibrate. Finally, sulfamethoxazole seems to be the only one with a lower removal (- 30%) in presence of ferric chloride.

403 (Margot *et al.* 2013) also observed a positive effect of the coagulant on micropollutant removals. However, the mechanism
404 at the origin of this improvement is not identified. Supplementary tests are required to determine if coagulation,
405 complexation or direct decrease of the competition with organic matter are involved.

- 406
- 407

408 CONCLUSIONS

409

The Parisian public sanitation service (SIAAP) and the Water Environment and Urban Systems laboratory (LEESU) study the CarboPlus® process at large scale, in collaboration with the SAUR teams. In parallel to the study of the large scale pilot, complementary laboratory scale experiments were carried out to improve the understanding of the micropollutants adsorption on activated carbon, in particular PAC.

414

These experiments allowed displaying the links between structural properties of activated carbons and their efficiency to remove micropollutants. In particular, it has been shown that the PAC performances are closely related to the specific BET surface, which can easily be estimated through the bulk density measure.

418

The strong influence of the PAC dose has also been confirmed, as well as the suitability of the adsorption kinetic (less than one hour) for WWTP application (operational requirements). In an operational point of view, the injection of FeCl₃ doesn't disrupt the adsorption process. A slight improvement is even observed for most compounds (+ 10-15%), except for sulfamethoxazole, probably through coagulation of the colloidal fraction of the DOM. In contrary, the residual concentration of methanol present in the WWTP discharges due to the post denitrification process seems to have no impact on the fate of most pharmaceuticals.

425

426	Then, this study highlighted the differences of micropollutants adsorption in the various wastewater matrixes. The DOC
427	concentration is important considering the competition of adsorption but it isn't always sufficient to explain the
428	performances reductions from water to water. It has been shown that the distribution of the different molecular
429	components of the DOM should be considered in addition to the quantity of DOM. Indeed, small protein-like fluorophores
430	have been identified as the most problematic for adsorption competition in wastewater, while a high HIX, highlighting
431	the humification of the DOM, is favorable to micropollutants adsorption. The reduction of protein-like substances in
432	wastewater is then a way to maximize the adsorption of micropollutants by activated carbon.

- 433
- 434

435 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

436

This study has been performed within the framework of the OPUR research program. The authors would like to thank
Benjamin Cassier and Mélanie Gobin, as well as the SIAAP (Céline Briand), the SAUR (Séverine Bareilles), the ISA
(Antoine Vauchez, Mikaël Tournier, Florent Lafay and Loic Spinner), the LEESU and UTC teams for their technical
support and their active participation to the sampling campaigns and analyses.

REFERENCES

442	
443	Abegglen C. and Siegrist H. (2012). Micropolluants dans les eaux résiduaires
444	urbaines. Etapes de traitement supplémentaire dans les stations
445	d'épuration. (in French).
446	Altmann J., Ruhl A. S., Zietzschmann F. and Jekel M. (2014). Direct comparison
447	of ozonation and adsorption onto powdered activated carbon for
448	micropollutant removal in advanced wastewater treatment. Water Research
449	55 (0), 185-93.
450	Baudu M., Guibaud G., Raveau D. and Lafrance P. (2001). Prediction of Adsorption
451	from Aqueous Phase of Organic Molecules as a Function of Some
452	Physicochemical Characteristics of Activated Carbons. Water Quality
453	Research 36 (4), 631-57.
454	Boehler M., Zwickenpflug B., Hollender J., Ternes T., Joss A. and Siegrist H.
455	(2012). Removal of micropollutants in municipal wastewater treatment
456	plants by powder-activated carbon. International Water Association,
457	London, Royaume-Uni.
458	Çeçen F. and Aktas O. (2012). Activated carbon for water and wastewater
459	treatment integration of adsorption and biological treatment. Wiley-VCH,
460	Weinheim, Germany.
461	de Ridder D. J., Verliefde A. R., Heijman S. G., Verberk J. Q., Rietveld L. C.,
462	van der Aa L. T., Amy G. L. and van Dijk J. C. (2011). Influence of
463	natural organic matter on equilibrium adsorption of neutral and charged
464	pharmaceuticals onto activated carbon. Water Sci Technol 63 (3), 416-23.
465	de Ridder D. J., Villacorte L., Verlielde A. R. D., Verberk J. Q. J. C., Heijman
466	S. G. J., Amy G. L. and Van Dijk J. C. (2010). Modeling equilibrium
467	adsorption of organic micropoliutants onto activated carbon. Water
400	Research 44(10), 30/7-00.
409	Dergado L. F., Charles F., Gruchia K. and Moltay C. (2012). The removal of
470	endoctarial toxing during drinking water proparation using activated
472	carbon - review Science of the Total Environment 435-436 (0) 509-25
473	Ebie K Li F Lzuma Y Yuasa A and Hagishita T (2001) Pore distribution
474	effect of activated carbon in adsorbing organic micropollutants from
475	natural water, Water Research 35(1), 167-79.
476	Hudson N., Baker A. and Reynolds D. (2007). Fluorescence analysis of dissolved
477	organic matter in natural, waste and polluted waters — a review. River
478	Research and Applications 23 (6), 631–49.
479	Li L., Quinlivan P. A. and Knappe D. R. U. (2002). Effects of activated carbon
480	surface chemistry and pore structure on the adsorption of organic
481	contaminants from aqueous solution. Carbon 40(12), 2085-100.
482	Loos R., Carvalho R., António D. C., Comero S., Locoro G., Tavazzi S.,
483	Paracchini B., Ghiani M., Lettieri T., Blaha L., Jarosova B., Voorspoels
484	S., Servaes K., Haglund P., Fick J., Lindberg R. H., Schwesig D. and
485	Gawlik B. M. (2013). EU-wide monitoring survey on emerging polar organic
486	contaminants in wastewater treatment plant effluents. <i>Water Research</i>
487	47 (17), 6475-87.
488	Mailler R., Gasperi J., Coquet Y., Deshayes S., Zedek S., Cren-Olivé C.,
489	Cartiser N., Eudes V., Bressy A., Caupos E., Molleron R., Chebbo G. and
490	Rocher V. (2014a). Study of a large scale powdered activated carbon pilot:
491	Removals of a wide range of emerging and priority micropoliutants from
492	Wastewater treatment plant ellitents. <i>Water Research</i> (0).
495	Mattier R., Gasperi J., Coquet I., Desnayes S., Zeder S., Ctell-Olive C.,
494	Rocher W. (2015). Study of a large scale powdered activated carbon pilot.
496	Removals of a wide range of emerging and priority micropollutants from
497	wastewater treatment plant effluents water Research $72(0)$ 315-30
498	Mailler R., Gasperi J., Bocher V., Gilbert-Pawlik S., Geara-Matta D., Moilleron
499	R. and Chebbo G. (2014b). Biofiltration vs conventional activated sludge
500	plants: what about priority and emerging pollutants removal? Environmental
501	Science and Pollution Research 21 (8), 5379-90.
502	Margot J., Kienle C., Magnet A., Weil M., Rossi L., de Alencastro L. F.,
503	Abegglen C., Thonney D., Chèvre N., Schärer M. and Barry D. A. (2013).
504	Treatment of micropollutants in municipal wastewater: Ozone or powdered
505	activated carbon? Science of the Total Environment 461-462(0), 480-98.
506	Matsui Y., Fukuda Y., Inoue T. and Matsushita T. (2003). Effect of natural
507	organic matter on powdered activated carbon adsorption of trace
500	
200	contaminants: characteristics and mechanism of competitive adsorption.

- 510 Miège C., Choubert J. M., Ribeiro L., Eusèbe M. and Coquery M. (2009). Fate of 511 pharmaceuticals and personal care products in wastewater treatment plants 512 - Conception of a database and first results. *Environmental Pollution* 513 **157**(5), 1721-6.
- 514 Newcombe G., Morrison J., Hepplewhite C. and Knappe D. R. U. (2002). 515 Simultaneous adsorption of MIB and NOM onto activated carbon: II. 516 Competitive effects. Carbon 40(12), 2147-56.
- 517 Parlanti E., Morin B. and Vacher L. (2002). Combined 3D-spectrofluorometry, high 518 performance liquid chromatography and capillary electrophoresis for the 519 characterization of dissolved organic matter in natural waters. Organic 520 Geochemistry **33**(3), 221-36.
- Rocher V., Paffoni C., Goncalves A., Guerin S., Azimi S., Gasperi J., Moilleron
 R. and Pauss A. (2012). Municipal wastewater treatment by biofiltration:
 comparisons of various treatment layouts. Part 1: assessment of carbon and
 nitrogen removal. Water Science and Technology 65(9), 1705-12.
- Ruel S. M., Choubert J. M., Budzinski H., Miege C., Esperanza M. and Coquery M.
 (2012). Occurrence and fate of relevant substances in wastewater treatment
 plants regarding Water Framework Directive and future legislations. Water
 Science and Technology 65(7), 1179-89.
- 529 Snyder S. A., Adham S., Redding A. M., Cannon F. S., DeCarolis J., Oppenheimer 530 J., Wert E. C. and Yoon Y. (2007). Role of membranes and activated carbon 531 in the removal of endocrine disruptors and pharmaceuticals. *Desalination* 532 **202**(1-3), 156-81.
- 533 Ternes T. A., Meisenheimer M., McDowell D., Sacher F., Brauch H.-J., Haist-Gulde 534 B., Preuss G., Wilme U. and Zulei-Seibert N. (2002). Removal of 535 Pharmaceuticals during Drinking Water Treatment. Environmental Science & 536 Technology 36(17), 3855-63.
- 537 Verlicchi P., Al Aukidy M. and Zambello E. (2012). Occurrence of pharmaceutical 538 compounds in urban wastewater: Removal, mass load and environmental risk 539 after a secondary treatment—A review. Science of the Total Environment 540 429(0), 123-55.
- 541 Yu Z., Peldszus S. and Huck P. M. (2008). Adsorption characteristics of selected 542 pharmaceuticals and an endocrine disrupting compound—Naproxen, 543 carbamazepine and nonylphenol—on activated carbon. Water Research 42(12), 544 2873-82.
- 545 Zietzschmann F., Altmann J., Ruhl A. S., Dünnbier U., Dommisch I., Sperlich A., 546 Meinel F. and Jekel M. (2014). Estimating organic micro-pollutant removal 547 potential of activated carbons using UV absorption and carbon 548 characteristics. *Water Research* 56(0), 48-55.
- 549 Zsolnay A., Baigar E., Jimenez M., Steinweg B. and Saccomandi F. (1999). 550 Differentiating with fluorescence spectroscopy the sources of dissolved 551 organic matter in soils subjected to drying. *Chemosphere* **38**(1), 45-50. 552
- 553