

# Long-term effect of water chemistry on the swelling pressure of a bentonite-based material

Qiong Wang, Yu-Jun Cui, Anh Minh A.M. Tang, Pierre Delage, Behrouz

Gatmiri, Wei-Min Ye

## ► To cite this version:

Qiong Wang, Yu-Jun Cui, Anh Minh A.M. Tang, Pierre Delage, Behrouz Gatmiri, et al.. Long-term effect of water chemistry on the swelling pressure of a bentonite-based material. Applied Clay Science, 2014, 87, pp.157-162. 10.1016/j.clay.2013.10.025 . hal-00926946

# HAL Id: hal-00926946 https://enpc.hal.science/hal-00926946

Submitted on 23 Feb 2015

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	
2	Long-term effect of water chemistry on the swelling pressure
3	of a bentonite-based material
4	
5	Qiong Wang <sup>1</sup> , Yu-Jun Cui <sup>1,3</sup> , Anh Minh Tang <sup>1</sup> , Pierre Delage <sup>1</sup> , Behrouz Gatmiri <sup>2</sup> , Wei-Min
6	$Ye^{3}$
7	<sup>1</sup> Ecole des Ponts ParisTech, Laboratoire Navier/CERMES, 6-8 av. Blaise Pascale, Marne-la-Vallée, France
8	<sup>2</sup> ANDRA, France
9	<sup>3</sup> Tongji University
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18 19 20 21 22	Corresponding author: Prof. Yu-Jun CUI <i>Ecole des Ponts ParisTech</i> 6-8 av. Blaise Pascal, Cité Descartes, Champs-sur-Marne F-77455 MARNE LA VALLEE France
23	
24 25 26 27	Telephone: +33 1 64 15 35 50 Fax: +33 1 64 15 35 62 E-mail: yujun.cui@enpc.fr

#### 28 Abstract

29 Compacted bentonite-based materials have been proposed as possible sealing andbackfill 30 materials in geological repositories for the high-level radioactive waste disposal in several countries. During the long time lifespan of a repository, as the chemical composition of 31 porewater can change, the swelling and sealing capacity of the material mayalso change.From 32 33 a point of view of storage safety assessment, it is important to evaluate this possible change of 34 swelling capacity. In this study, a mixture of bentonite and crushedCallovo-Oxfordian claystone was investigated. The long-term effect of pore waterchemistry on the swelling 35 36 pressure was studied at constant-volume conditions for 700days. Distilled water and synthetic water having the chemical composition similar tothat of in-situ pore water were used for 37 hydration. The results obtained in an initial period of100 hours revealed no significant 38 influence of the water composition on the swellingpressure evolution, and the maximum 39 swelling pressures observed were close to4.30 MPa for a dry density of 1.70 Mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Over a 40 41 longer time period, on the contrary, the swelling pressure eventually decreased for all samples, especially for the sample saturated with synthetic water. In addition, comparison of a one-step 42 soaking test with a multi-step soaking test showed nowetting procedure effect on the long 43 44 term swelling behaviour. All the results areanalysed by considering the physico-chemical interaction between the minerals of claystone, minerals of bentonite and different fluids 45 involved. 46

47 Keywords: Radioactive waste disposal; bentonite based materials; pore water chemistry;
48 time dependence; swelling pressure

49

#### 50 **1 INTRODUCTION**

Compacted bentonite-based 51 materials have been proposed as possible 52 sealing/backfillmaterials in deep geological repositories for high-level radioactive wastes (HLW) inseveral countries. Due to their favourable swelling characteristics, these materials 53 are expected to fill up all voids left in the system after construction and emplacement of the 54 waste packages. An important long-term safety function of bentonite-based barriers is to 55 ensurea relatively impermeable zone around the high-level radioactive waste thereby limiting 56 57 groundwater flow and waste package degradation rates and, ultimately, waste leaching rates. This roletermed as "sealing" (Komine, 2004; Komine and Ogata, 2003) is essential to 58 59 ensure the overall safety of the geological disposal: the disposed waste is isolated from 60 thebiosphere over long time. For this purpose, the swelling property becomes a key factorin the design and fabrication of the sealing/backfill materials, and needs to be studiedin depth. 61

62 The swelling properties (i.e. swelling pressure or swelling potential) ofbentonite-based materials have been widely investigated (Pusch, 1982; Komine andOgata, 1994, 2003, 2004a; 63 Delage et al., 1998; Agus and Schanz, 2005; Komine et al., 2009). They depend strongly on 64 65 the initial state such as dry density and water content(Komine and Ogata, 1994; Villar and Lloret, 2008). After being installed in the field, especially in some geological host formations 66 relatively rich in salts (e.g. saltformation, claystone, granite formations, etc.), site water of 67 certain salinity caninteract with bentonite (Herbert et al., 2008); as a result, the hydro-68 69 mechanicalbehaviourof the bentonite-based materials and in turn the performance of the 70 barrier maybe notably affected. Moreover, the water composition can change over time.

Frequently, bentonite/sand mixtures are considered for the reason of good control ofswelling
pressure, enhanced thermal conductivity as compared to pure bentonite and a better
mechanical resistance. Forinstance, a 70/30 bentonite-sand mixture was proposed as buffer

material in Japanwhile a 50/50 bentonite/sand mixture was suggested in the Canadian 74 75 repositoryconcept (Dixon et al., 1985). In France, Callovo-Oxfordian (COx) claystone has been considered as apossible geological host-rock for high-level radioactive waste disposal, 76 77 and themixture of bentonite and crushed Callovo-Oxfordian (COx) claystone is proposed as apossible sealing/backfill material for environmental and economic reasons (Andra,2005; 78 Tang et al., 2011a; Tang et al., 2011b; Wang et al., 2012). If physico-chemicalinteractions 79 80 essentially take place only between groundwater and bentonite in the case of sand/bentonite 81 mixture, it is not the case for the bentonite/claystone because of the additional interactions 82 between these two materials and the water. Due to the low permeability of thebentonite-based materials, these interactions might develop overlongtime and the resulting effects will not be 83 84 immediate. Hence, particular attention should be paid to their long-term behaviour.

This study focuses on the swelling pressure of the compacted mixture of MX80bentonite and crushed COx claystone. The long term effect of water chemistry on theswelling pressure and microstructure were investigated after 700 days in contact with water.Emphasis was put on the physico-chemical interaction between the minerals of claystone, minerals of bentonite and different fluids involved. The wetting procedure effect on the long term swelling behaviour was also investigated by comparing a one-step soaking test with multistep soaking test.

#### 91 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 92 2.1 Materials

93 The bentonite used in this study is a commercial MX80 Na-bentonite, which containslarge 94 quantities of montmorillonite (75-90%). Silt is the dominant remaining fraction, which mainly 95 consists of quartz and feldspars as well as micas, sulphides, and oxides(Pusch 1982). Table 1 96 details the main mineralogical components of MX80 bentoniteobtained by several authors. 97 The total cation exchange capacity (CEC) is between 0.78 and 0.85 meq/g (Table 2), the 98 cation population at exchange positions is mainlycomposed of Na<sup>+</sup>(60.0-67.0 meq/100g), 99 other population being much lower: Ca<sup>2+</sup>(5.0-8.0 meq/100g), Mg<sup>2+</sup>(3.0-4.0meq/100g) and 100 K<sup>+</sup>(0.2-1.3 meq/100g). The grain size distribution (Fig.1) determined by hydrometer (AFNOR 101 NF P94-057)shows that the fraction of clay-size particles (< 2  $\mu$ m) is 84%. The bentonite 102 tested has anaverage specific gravity of 2.76, a liquid limit of 520%, and a plastic limit of 103 42%.

was 104 Callovo-Oxfordian (COx) claystone taken 490-m depth from at the 105 UndergroundResearch Laboratory (URL) at Bure in eastern France. It contains 40-45% clayminerals (mainly interstratified minerals of illite-smectite), 20-30% carbonates(mainly 106 calcite) and 20-30% quartz and feldspar (Hoteit et al., 2000; Lebon and Ghoreychi, 2000; 107 Zhang et al., 2004). The in-situ water content is 2.8-8.7 %; the bulkdensity is 2.32-2.61 108 Mg/m<sup>3</sup> and the specific gravity is 2.70 (Hoteit et al., 2000; Tanget al., 2011a). The excavated 109 110 claystone was air-dried and crushed into a powder. Fig.1 depicts thegrain size distribution of the crushed powder obtained by dry sieving; it contains 18% of fine grains (0.08 mm). The 111 grain size distribution determined by hydrometerconfirms that the content of clay-size 112 113 particles (< 2  $\mu$ m) is 40%.

Both distilled water and synthetic water were used for hydration. The chemicalcomposition of the synthetic water (see Table 3) is similar to that of the groundwaterat Bure site. The pH values are between 7.00 and 7.28; the concentration of Na<sub>+</sub> istwice that of Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> and four times that of K<sup>+</sup>(Gaucher et al., 2006; Marty etal., 2010).

118 2.2 Sample preparation

In this study,all tests were performed on samples of compacted bentonite/claystonemixturewith a bentonite content of 70 % in dry mass. Bentonite and claystonepowders, with the

initial water contents of 11.8 % and 2.64 % respectively, were firstcarefully mixed prior to compaction. Samples were statically compacted to a drydensity of 1.70 Mg/m<sup>3</sup>in a metallic cell (70 mm in internal diameter) at a controlledrate of 0.05 mm/min. After compaction, the sample height is about 10 mm. The total suction measured in these specimens using ahygrometer was s = 90 MPa. The specimensare then carefully introduced into constantvolume cells (having the same diameter as the compaction cell) for the swelling pressure experiment.

128 2.3 Experimental methods and programme

The constant-volume cell used in this study is presented in Fig.2. It consists of threeparts: (1) the bottom part containing a porous stone and a drainage system; (2) themiddle cell (70 mm inner diameter, 10 mm height) used to prevent radial swelling, with two air outlets; (3) the top part incorporating a total pressure sensor (workingpressure of 5 MPa) to monitor the swelling pressure. The sample was wetted by connecting the water inlet to a water reservoir or a suction control system using vapour equilibrium technique at an ambient temperature of  $20\pm1$ °C. More details can be found in Tang et al. (2011*b*), Wanget al. (2012).

Four swelling pressure tests were performed using the constant-volume cell (Table 4). In tests 136 137 LT01 and LT02 (LT stands for long term), the samples were saturated withsynthetic water and distilled water, respectively. The duration of these testscarried out to study the long-term 138 effect of water chemistry on the swellingpressurewas 700 days. In test LT03, three decreasing 139 suctions (57 MPa, 38 MPa and 12.6 MPa) were firstapplied in steps using vapour equilibrium 140 technique, prior to distilled water floodingin the last stage. This test also lasted 700 days. This 141 test was performed to investigate the effect of the wetting procedure on the long terms welling 142 behaviourby comparisonwith test LT02. Test ST (ST for short term) was performed by 143 directly injectingsynthetic water as in test LT01, but with a shorter duration of 100 hours. 144

After certain hydration time (see Table 4), the specimen was taken out of the cell for theanalysis of pore-size distribution Mercury Intrusion Porosimetry (MIP).

#### 147 **3 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

Fig.3 presents the results from tests LT01, LT02, and ST during the first 100 hours after the injection of water. The three curves are very similar, showing negligible effect of water chemistry for this duration and also the good repeatability of the tests. Withwater infiltration, swelling pressure first increased very quickly; after about 20 hours the swelling pressure first plateau at about 3.4 MPa. After 32 hours, the swelling pressure started to increase again and reached a second plateau after 100 hours. The final values were in the range of 4.30 - 4.37 MPa for the three tests.

The results of test LT03 are presented in Fig.4. The application of the first suction of 57 MPa 155 resulted in a swelling pressure of 0.57 MPa. Then, the second suction of 38 MPa was applied 156 and the swelling pressure reached 1.43 MPa. With the thirdsuction of 12.6 MPa the swelling 157 pressure increased to 2.61 MPa. The zero suctionapplied by direct contact with distilled water 158 159 led the sample to a maximum value of 4.39 MPa swellingpressure. This value is quite close to that from test LT02 (4.37 MPa) in which the sample was directly put in contact with distilled 160 water. This indicates that the wettingprocedure did not affect the shortterm swelling 161 162 behaviour for this material.

In Fig.5, all results from the three tests LT01, LT02 and LT03 during 700 days arepresented. It appears that over this long period, the swelling pressure decreased somewhat for allsamples, especially for the sample saturated with synthetic water (LT01). The finalswelling pressure was 3.95 MPa (corresponding to a decrease of 9%), and 4.19 MPa(decrease of 3%) for 167 samples saturated with synthetic water (LT01) and distilled water(LT02 and LT03),168 respectively.

169 The pore size distribution curve for all the samples taken at the end of the tests are presented in Fig. 6. It is observed in Fig. 6a that the final values of intruded mercuryvoid ratio ( $e_m$ = 170 intruded mercury volume over soil solid volume) are between 0.4 and 0.5, much lower than 171 172 the global void ratio (e = 0.61). This shows that a large amount of porosity isinaccessible to mercury. Comparison between the curves obtained after different durations clearly shows that 173 174 the amount of accessible porosity after 700 days is larger in both cases of distilled water 175 (LT02 and LT03) and synthetic water (LT01) than after 100 hours with synthetic water. In addition, for samples hydrated for 700 days, more quantity of accessible porosity is observed 176 in the case of synthetic water (LT01). 177

The incremental pore volume  $de_m/dlog(d)$  (Fig. 6b) refers to the volume of mercurythat 178 intrudes into the pores between pressure increments. For all samples a typicalbimodal 179 porosity can be observed; thus, two pore groups can be defined: a group ofmicro-pores 180 having a mean size of 0.02 µm and a group of macro- pores having amean size of 20 µm. 181 After 700-day saturation (LT01, LT02 and LT03), themacro-pores and micro-pores quantity 182 increased when comparing to the short-termtest (ST). Change in macro-pores is more 183 significant especially for the samplesaturated with synthetic water (LT01). For tests LT02 184 and LT03 which were hydrated with distilled water, very similar curves were observed 185 suggesting no effect of thewetting procedure on the pore-size distributions. As far as changes 186 in pores size are concerned, it can be observed that over time the group of micro-pores had a 187 188 size slightlydecreased. On the contrary, the group of macro-pores had a size slightlyincreased. Changes for test LT01 weremore marked. 189

#### **4 INTERPRETATION AND DISCUSSION**

Various studies showed that the swelling capacity of bentonite is lower in saline water; the 191 higher the dissolved salt concentration, the lower the swelling pressure. This 192 phenomenonbecomes less pronounced in case of high dry density (Studds et al., 1998; 193 Karland etal., 2005; Suzuki et al., 2005; Karland et al., 2006; Castellanos et al., 2008; 194 Katsumi etal., 2008; Siddique et al., 2011). In general, with a high void ratio (low dry 195 density)and/or high salt concentrations, the influence of pore water chemistry on 196 197 bentonitebehaviour is significant and can be qualitatively explained by the diffuse double layer(DDL) theory (Karland, 1997; Mata, 2003; Castellanos et al., 2008). For densebentonite, 198 since there is little water present between adjacent clay platelets, there can e little or no 199 200 development of a DDL associated with the clay particles (Dixon, 2000;Pusch and Yong, 2006); thus it becomes difficult even impossible to describe thebentonite behaviour by the 201 DDL theory. 202

In this regard, Karnland et al. (2005) studied the effect of salinity on theswelling pressure of 203 MX-80 bentonite, and noted that the effect of salinity of thesaturating fluid was relatively 204 205 lower with a higher density. For MX80 bentonite, Dixon (2000) also concluded that at an effective bentonite dry density (bentonite drydensity in the bentonite/sand mixture) higher 206 than 1.22 Mg/m<sup>3</sup>, changes in waterchemistry do not significantly affect the swelling 207 behaviour due to the small number of water molecule layers between clay sheets. 208 Confirmation was made by Castellanos et al.(2008) on the FEBEX bentonite: an increase in 209 210 salt concentration reduced theswelling pressure, but this change was much less marked for high density. It can be deduced that for the studied bentonite/claystone mixture, the high 211 density (1.70Mg/m<sup>3</sup>) and the low salinity of the synthetic water (see Table 3) representative 212

of the present in situ conditions resulted together in thenegligible effect of water chemistry onthe swelling pressure in short term.

215 Basically, the swelling pressure of bentonite is mainly related to the crystallineswelling and double layer swelling. Crystalline swelling is caused by the hydration of exchangeable cations 216  $(K^+, Na^+, Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+})$  between mineral layers that have astructure with one alumina 217 octahedral sheet sandwiched between two silica tetrahedralsheets. It is a process which 218 219 involves the adsorption of a maximum number of hydratesdepending on the nature of cations. After three to four water monolayers, i.e. at interlayer separation distances  $\geq 1$  nm, the surface 220 221 hydration becomes less significant and the electrical double-layer repulsion becomes the main swelling mechanism (Bradburyand Baeyens, 2003; Suzuki et al., 2005). For clay at high 222 density, the low amount ofwater taken up is to all intents and purposes pseudo-crystalline 223 interlayer water, and insufficient to form the DDL (Pusch and Yong, 2006). Therefore, the 224 swellingpressure is mainly governed by the crystalline swelling (interaction between the 225 226 layersurfaces and water) and the double layer repulsion makes only a limited contribution. In this case, the exchangeable cations are the key elements that control the clay-water interaction 227 (Abdullah et al., 1997). 228

As far as the pore water is concerned, it may significantly affect the chemical compositions of 229 clays through the exchangeable cations (Yukselen et al., 2008). Thecation exchange reaction 230 is mainly controlled by the exchange capacity of the clayminerals (Mata, 2003); the ease with 231 which a cation of one type can replace a cation f another type depends mainly on the valence, 232 relative abundance of the different cation types, and the cation size. Other factorsbeing equal, 233 234 the higher the valence of the cation, the higher the replacing power; for cations of the same valence, thereplacing power increases with the size of the cation (Laine and Karttunen, 2010). 235 Atypical replaceability order is:  $Na^+ < K^+ < Mg^{2+} < Ca^{2+}$  (Mitchell, 1976; Pusch, 2001; Mata et al., 236

2003). According to this order, for the Na-bentonite as in this study, ittends to change from
sodium (Na<sup>-</sup>) to other types (i.e. K<sup>-</sup>, Mg<sup>-</sup> or Ca<sup>-</sup>) depending on the cations present in the
permeating water.

One of the most common cation exchange reactions in the Na-bentonite is the oneinvolving 240 sodium and calcium (Mata, 2003). Mata (2003) investigated the evolution of Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Na<sup>+</sup> in a 241 specimen of sodium MX80 bentonite hydrated with saline water(NaCl and CaCl, 50/50 by 242 mass) and distilled water. Analysis of the collected porefluid showed an increaseof sodium 243 concentration and a decrease of calciumconcentration as compared to the injected water. This 244 245 suggests that the sodium bentonitewas transformed to a calcium bentonite. Montes-H. et al. (2004, 2005) investigated the chemical transformation of Na/Ca-MX80 bentonite (Na0.18Ca0.10) 246 in arepresentative pore water (pH = 7.3) from Bure site (-180 m), the Na/Ca- to 247 Camontmorillonite conversion was identified as the main chemical transformation. At 248 aconstant temperature, this transformation is affected by the groundwater composition(pH 249 250 and cation concentrations) and solid/liquid ratio as well as the reaction time(Mitchell, 1976; Herbert et al., 2004; Fernández and Villar, 2010; Laine and Karttunen, 2010). For the short 251 term swelling pressure test in this study, it was actually the lowcation concentration (low 252 253 salinity of synthetic water mentioned above) and highsolid/liquid ratio (i.e. high density) that led to the negligible effect of water chemistryon the swelling pressure. Nevertheless, after a 254 long time period of reactions, the effectbecame evident because the presence of Ca<sup>2+</sup>in Bure 255 site water (see Table 3) enabledpart of Na-montmorillonite to change to Ca-montmorillonite 256 which has a much lowerexpansibility. This process resulted in a decrease of swelling pressure 257 258 overtime asshown in Fig.5.

The transformation from sodium to calcium montmorillonite is critically dependent on the amount of available calcium ( $Ca^{2+}$ ) in the pore water (Muurinen and Lehikoinen,1999; Fernández et al., 2004, Fernández and Villar, 2010). Forthe sample saturated with distilled water, the pore water chemistry evolved over time to reachequilibrium with the claystone minerals (the claystone contains 20–30% carbonates, mainlycalcite).Consequently, it was as if diluted Bure site water infiltrated to the MX80bentonite, leading to the degradationof swelling pressure. However, with a much lower cationconcentration (only 30% claystone in the mixture), the decrease of swelling pressure was less significant than in the casewith synthetic water.

For the sample first wetted by three steps of suction (LT03), after the sample wasflooded with 268 269 distilled water, the maximum swelling pressure was found close to thatobtained by waterflooding the sample directly (LT02), indicting anegligible effectof wetting procedure on the 270 swelling pressure (Figs. 3 and 4). This phenomenoncan be explained by the mechanism 271 identified by Cui et al. (2002): when hydrating bydecreasing suction (57-38-12.6 MPa) under 272 confined conditions, the macro-pores wereprogressively cloggedby the invasion of exfoliated 273 274 clay particles, whereas the micro-pores remained almost un-affected. Themicro-pores started to change only when the water saturation is approached. This suggests that step-wetting by 275 suction control and direct flooding with water may leadto similar microstructures after 276 277 saturation, thus similar maximum swelling pressures. After 700-day hydration with distilled water, not only similar swelling pressures (Fig.5), but also similar pore-size distributions 278 were observed for tests L02 and L03 (Fig.6), which enhanced this conclusion. In addition, the 279 repeatability of swelling pressure testand the MIP test was verified. 280

It was noted that the chemical effect on the HM behaviour of clayey soils is due tochanges at different structural levels and the interaction between them (Mata 2003).From the pore-size distribution observation in this study, it can be seen that the amount of accessible porosity increased after a long time period for both distilled (LT02 andLT03) and synthetic water 285 (LT01), with more increase for synthetic water (Fig. 6). If we relate these phenomena to the swelling pressure, it is clear that the sample withlower swelling pressure had a higher 286 intruded mercury void ratio in both micro- andmacro-pores. For samples with higher swelling 287 288 capacity, more interlayer hydrationoccurred, leading to a constriction of accessible pores. When referring to theincremental pore volume, the same tendency can be identified, for the 289 sample withhigher swelling pressure, both the macro-pores and micro-pores decreased. 290 However, most of changes in microstructure occurred in macro-pores family. The 291 same observation can be made in terms of pore size changes. This is in agreement with 292 theobservation made by Mata (2003) who conducted MIP test on the compacted 293 sodiumbentonite MX80 and sand mixture (70/30) (dry density of 1.37 and 1.67 294 295 Mg/m<sup>3</sup>)saturated with distilled water and saline water, and found that the saline water 296 effectswere significant on the macro-pores, the micro-pores remaining almost unaffected.

### 297 5 CONCLUSION

Long term swelling pressure tests and MIP tests on a bentonite/claystone mixturewere performed. The effects of water chemistry as well as the hydration procedure on the swelling pressure were investigated in both short andlong terms. The results areanalysed by considering the physico-chemical interaction between the minerals of claystone, the minerals of bentonite and the different pore water chemistries involved.

There was no obvious effect of water chemistry on the swelling pressure in short term(100 hours) due to the high dry density of the bentonite-based material and the low salinity of the synthetic water. However, after long period of 700 days, the swelling pressure decreased for all samples, especiallyfor the sample saturated with synthetic water. 307 Different hydration procedures led to comparable long term swelling behaviour and308 similarpore-size distributions.

309 The effect of the chemical composition of the pore water on the swelling pressure can be 310 interpreted in terms of changes inmicrostructure. The sample with higher swelling pressure 311 showed less macro andmicro-pores associated with a higher interlayer hydration.

From a practical point of view, the specification and the design of the sealing/backfillmaterial 312 are often made based on the swelling properties measured in short term. However, a non-313 314 negligible decrease (9%) of swelling pressure was observed after 700days even with a water of low salinity. This expected reduction of swelling pressure has thus to be taken into account 315 when designing a repository to ensure that the bentonite-based barrier will also meet the 316 317 requirements on which its long term performance rests.Considering the possible pore water chemical composition changes over the very long term lifespan of the repository is also 318 recommended to avoiddeterioration of the swelling capacity of these materials and thus of the 319 self-sealing capacity of the system. 320

## 321 Acknowledgements

322 The support from the PHC Cai Yuanpei project (24077QE) and that of the ChinaScholarship

323 Council (CSC) are greatly acknowledged.

### 324 **References**

- Abdullah, W.S., Al-Zou'bi, M.S., Alshibli, K.A., 1997. On the physicochemical aspects of compacted
   clay compressibility. Can. Geotech. J, Vol 34, 551–559.
- AFNOR, 1992. AFNOR NF P94-057, Soils: investingation and testing. Granulometric analysis.
   Hydrometer method. Association Francaise de Normalisation. France.
- Agus, S., Schanz, T., 2005. Swelling pressures and wetting-drying curves of a highly compacted
   bentonite-sand mixture. Unsaturated Soils: Experimental Studies, pages 241–256.

- Andra, 2005. Référentiel des matériaux d'un stockage de déchets à haute activité et à vie longue –
   Tome 4: Les matériaux à base d'argilites excavées et remaniées. Rapport Andra N°
   CRPASCM040015B.
- Bradbury, M.H., Baeyens, B., 2003. Porewater chemistry in compacted re-saturated MX-80 bentonite.
   Journal of Contaminant Hydrology, 61,329–338
- Castellanos, E., Villar, M.V., Romero, E., Lloret, A., Gens, A., 2008. Chemical impact on the hydro mechanical behaviour of high-density febex bentonite. Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts
   A/B/C, 33(Supplement 1):S516 S526.
- Cui, Y. J., Loiseau, C., Delage, P., 2002. Microstructure changes of a confined swelling soil due to
   suction controlled hydration Unsaturated soils: proceedings of the Third International Conference
   on Unsaturated Soils, UNSAT 2002, 10-13 March 2002, Recife, Brazil, 593.
- Dixon, D. A., Gray, M. N. and Thomas, A. W. 1985. A study of the compaction properties of pot
   ential clay–sand buffer mixtures for use in nuclear fuel waste disposal, Engineering Geology, 21,
   247–255
- Delage, P., Howat, M. D. & Cui, Y. J., 1998. The relationship between suction and swelling
   properties in a heavily compacted unsaturated clay. Engineering Geology 50, 31-48.
- Dixon, D.A., 2000. Porewater salinity and the development of swelling pressure in bentonite-based
  buffer and backfill materials. POSIVA Report 2000-04, Posiva Oy, Helsinki, Finland.
- Fernández, A..M., Baeyens, B., Bradbury, M., Rivas, P., 2004. Analysis of the porewater chemical
   composition of a Spanish compacted bentonite used in anengineered barrier. Phys. Chem. Earth
   29, 105–118.
- Fernández, A.M., Villar, M.V., 2010. Geochemical behaviour of a bentonite barrier in the laboratory
   after up to 8 years of heating and hydration. Applied Geochemistry 25, 809–824
- Gaucher E. C., Blanc P., Bardot F., Braibant G., Buschaert S., Crouzet C., Gautier A., Girard J.-P.,
  Jacquot E., Lassin A., Negrel G., Tournassat C., Vinsot A., and Altmann S. 2006. Modelling the
  porewater chemistry of the Callovian-Oxfordian formation at a regional scale. Comptes Rendus
  Geosciences 338(12-13), 917-930.
- Herbert, H.-J., Kasbohm, J., Moog, H.C. & Henning, K.-H. 2004. Long-term behaviourof the
  Wyoming bentonite MX-80 in high saline solutions. Applied Clay Science, 26,275-291.
- Herbert, H.-J., Kasbohm, J., Sprenger, H., Fernández, A.M. & Reichelt, C. 2008.Swelling pressures of
   MX-80 bentonite in solutions of different ionic strength. Physicsand chemistry of the earth, 33,
   327-342.
- Hoteit, N., Ozanam, O., Su, K., 2000. Geological Radioactive Waste Disposal Project in France:
   Conceptual Model of a Deep Geological Formation and Underground Research Laboratory in
   Meuse/Haute-Marne Site. The 4th North American Rock Mechanics Symposium, Seattle, July
   31–August 3, 2000.
- Karnland, O., 1997. Bentonite swelling pressure in strong NaCl solutions. Correlationbetween model
   calculations and experimentally determined data. SKB Technical Report 97-31. Swedish Nuclear
   Fuel and Waste Management Co., Stockholm, pp. 1–30.
- Karnland, O., Muurinen, A., Karlsson, F., 2005. Bentonite swelling pressure in NaCl solutions Experimentally determined data and model calculations. Advances in Understanding Engineering
   Clay Barriers. Page 241.
- Karnland, O., Olsson, S. & Nilsson, U. 2006. Mineralogy and sealing properties of various bentonites
   and smectite-rich clay materials. SKB TR-06-30. Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co,
   Stockholm, Sweden.

- Katsumia, T., Ishimorib, H., Onikatac, M., Fukagawab, R., 2008. Long-term barrier performance of
   modified bentonite materials against sodium and calcium permeant solutions Geotextiles and
   Geomembranes 26,14–30.
- Komine., H., Ogata, N. 1994. Experimental study on swelling characteristics of compacted bentonite.
   Canadian geotechnical journal, 31(4):478–490.
- Komine., H., Ogata, N. 2003. New equations for swelling characteristics of bentonite-based buffer
   materials. Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 40(2):460–475.
- Komine, H., 2004. Simplified evaluation for swelling characteristics of bentonites, Engineering
   geology, 71(3-4): 265-279.
- Komine, H. Yasuhara, K. and Murakami, S. 2009. Swelling characteristics of bentonites in artificial
   seawater. Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 46(2):177-189.
- Laine H., Karttunen P.,2010 . Long-Term Stability of Bentonite A Literature Review. POSIVA
   Report 2010-53, Posiva Oy, Helsinki, Finland.
- Lebon P, Ghoreychi M. French underground research laboratory of Meuse/Haute-Marne: THM
   aspects of argillite formation. In: EUROCK2000 Symposium. Aachen: [s. n.], 2000: 27–31.
- Marty, N., Fritz, B., Clément, A., Michau, N., 2010, Modelling the long term alteration of the
   engineered bentonite barrier in an underground radioactive waste repository, Applied Clay
   Science 47 (2010) 82–90
- Mata, C., 2003. Hydraulic behaviour of bentonite based mixtures in engineered barriers: The Backfill
  and Plug Test at the A" spo" HRL (Sweden). Ph. D. Thesis. Universitat Polite`cnica de Catalunya.
  257 pp. Barcelona.
- 397 Mitchell, J.K., 1976. Fundamentals of Soil Behavior, John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Montes-H, G., Geraud, Y., 2004. Sorption kinetic of water vapour of MX80 bentonite submitted to
   different physical-chemical and mechanical conditions. Colloids and Surfaces A:
   Physicochemical Engineering Aspects 235, 17–23.
- 401 Montes-H, G., Geraud, Y., Duplay, J. & Reuschlé, 2005. ESEM observations of compacted bentonite
  402 submitted to hydration/dehydration conditions. Colloids and surfaces A: Physicochem. Eng.
  403 Aspects, 262, 14-22.
- Muurinen, A., Lehikoinen, J.,1999, Porewater chemistry in compacted bentonite, Engineering
   Geology 54 (1999) 207–214
- Pusch, R., 1982. Mineral-water interactions and their influence on the physical behavior of highly
   compacted Na bentonite. Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 19(3):381–387.
- Pusch, R., 2001. Experimental study of the effect of high porewater salinity on the physical properties
   of a natural smectitic clay, SKBF/KBS technical report. No.TR01-07.
- Pusch, R., Yong, R.N., 2006, Microstructure of Smectite Clays and Engineering Performance,
  Taylor& Francis, London and New York.
- Siddiqua, S., Blatz, J., Siemens, G., 2011, Evaluation of the impact of pore fluid chemistry on the
  hydromechanical behaviour of clay-based sealing materials, Can. Geotech. J. 48: 199–213.
- Studds, P.G., Stewart, D.I., and Cousens, T.W. 1998. The effects of salt solutions on the properties of
   bentonite-sand mixtures. Clay Minerals, 33: 651–660.
- Suzuki, S.; Prayongphan, S.; Ichikawa, Y. & Chae, B. 2005. In situ observations of the swelling of
  bentonite aggregates in NaCl solution, Applied Clay Science, Elsevier, 29: 89-98.

Tang, C.S., Tang, A.M., Cui, Y.J., Delage, P., Barnichon, J.D., Shi, B., 2011a. A study of the hydromechanical behaviour of compacted crushed argillite. Engineering Geology.118 (3-4):93-103.

- Tang, C.S., Tang, A.M., Cui, Y.J., Delage, P., Schroeder, C., De Laure, E., 2011b. Investigating the
  swelling pressure of compacted crushed Callovo-Oxfordian argillite. Physics and Chemistry of the
  Earth(special issue), Volume 36, Issues 17–18, 1857–1866
- Villar, M.V., Lloret, A., 2008. Influence of dry density and water content on the swelling of a compacted bentonite. Applied Clay Science, 39(1-2):38–49.
- Wang Q., Tang A. M., Cui Y.J., Delage P., Gatmiri, B., 2012 Experimental study on the swelling
  behaviour of bentonite/claystone mixture, Engineering Geology 124, 59-66.
- Yukselen-Aksoy, Y., Kaya, A., Ören, A.H., 2008, Seawater effect on consistency limits and compressibility characteristics of clays, Engineering Geology 102 (2008) 54–61
- Zhang, C., and Rothfuchs, T., 2004. Experimental study of the hydro-mechanical behaviour of the
   Callovo-Oxfordian argillite. Applied Clay Science, 26(1-4):325–336.

431

432

433

434

#### 435 List of Tables

- 436 Table 1. Mineral composition of the MX80 bentontie (%)
- 437 Table 2. Exchangeable cations of MX80 bentonite (meq/100g)
- 438 Table 3. Chemical composition of the synthetic water
- 439 Table 4. Test programme
- 440

### 441 List of Figures

- 442 Figure 1. Grain size distribution curves of MX80 bentonite and crushed COx claystone
- 443 Figure 2. Constant-volume cell for swelling pressure measurement
- 444 Figure 3. Evolution of swelling pressure for tests LT01, LT02 and ST during the first 100 h
- 445 Figure 4. Evolution of swelling pressure for samples wetted with decreasing suction
- Figure 5. Evolution of swelling pressure for tests LT01, LT02, and LT03 for 700 days; (a) scale from 0 to 5 MPa, (b) scale from 3.6 to 4.6 MPa
- 448 Figure 6. Pore size distribution curves; (a) cumulative curves, (b) derived curves
- 449