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Abstract—The authors discuss the reliability of a new method used to depict precisely the authigenic calcite precipitation process in the water column of a mesotrophic alpine lake (Lake Bourget, Savoie, France). Complete chemical analysis of dissolved major elements shows that, in the epilimnion, calcium concentration and alkalinity are the only chemical species presenting large variations. The behaviour of these two parameters is only related to calcite precipitation. The method is based on in situ specific conductance measurements (C25). Experimental values were compared with theoretical calculations. The results demonstrate clearly that dissolved calcium concentration can be directly related to measured specific conductance. Hence, a mathematical relationship was developed to compute calcium concentration profiles in the water column with a centimetre spatial resolution through the whole water column. Finally, authigenic calcite production can be observed and quantified on a time scale covering two years of experimental data. Calcite production is estimated to 4.8 mol m⁻² yr⁻¹. Extrapolation to the whole lake surface leads to 16,000 ± 2000 tons yr⁻¹ as CaCO₃. Specific conductance is an easy-to-measure in situ parameter which could be used to trace authigenic calcite production in other hard water alpine lakes.
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INTRODUCTION

Authigenic calcite precipitation is a well known geochemical process in hard water lakes. Precipitation is initiated and sustained by both temperature elevation of the epilimnion and by the development of phytoplankton. Algal cells are supposed to offer nucleation sites for starting the crystal growth (Stabel, 1986; Kuchler-Krischun and Kleiner, 1990; Hartley et al., 1996). Calcite precipitation can also be closely linked to the phosphorus cycle in lakes because coprecipitation of phosphate with calcite is reported as an important phosphorus sink in the P-budget in lakes (Otzuki and Wetzel, 1972; Murphy et al., 1983; Vinçon-Leite et al., 1995; Hartley et al., 1997).

In order to describe the characteristics of the calcite precipitation process in lakes, three classical methods can be used. The first one consists of a survey of specific dissolved chemical parameters, such as calcium concentration or alkalinity by sampling the water column (Salmasso and Decet, 1998; Kuchler-Krischun and Kleiner, 1990; Weilenmann, 1986). This method requires a large number of chemical analyses which in turn limits the time and spatial scale of sampling. The second method consists of measuring the sedimentation fluxes with sediment traps. Analysis of the collected solids yields an estimate of the calcite flux (Stabel, 1986; Stabel and Chondrogianni, 1988; Hodell et al., 1998). These two methods are effective, however, they require heavy sampling and analysis programmes. The third method consists of a calcium mass balance on the lake, by evaluating the tributary inputs and the lake outputs (Decet and Salmasso, 1997). The accuracy of the balance method depends on the hydrological regime of the affluents, especially during flood events, when uncertainties in the flux calculations are likely to increase drastically.

The objective of this paper is to present a different method for quantifying the calcite precipitation in the water column of lakes based on in situ measurements of specific conductance. This method leads to a “software sensor”, which is a recent concept related to the computation and estimation of
some quantity of interest, using both measurements and a theoretical model (Masson et al., 1998).

To proceed with this objective, the main biogeochemical processes occurring in Lake Bourget and their order of magnitude are presented. Then, on this basis, the theoretical model of the software sensor and its validation is explained. Finally, this new method for tracing calcite precipitation is applied on Lake Bourget in order to assess the magnitude of the process, and to demonstrate the advantages from this new approach.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site

Lake Bourget is a medium sized lake (surface area: 42 km², maximal depth: 145 m, water residence time: seven years) located in the French Alps (Fig. 1). The watershed (560 km²) encloses two cities (Chambéry and Aix-les-Bains) which through inputs of industrial and domestic sewage, have led to eutrophication of the water body during the 1970s. Since 1980 an important restoration plan has drastically reduced phosphorus input to the lake. This study is focused on both the spring-summer and autumn-winter periods for the following reasons:

- These periods are short with regard to the water residence time (seven years).
- No high flow was recorded during these periods.
- The sampling point (point B) located at the maximum depth is not influenced by the main tributary (Leysse river).

In situ measurements and water sampling were processed monthly or biweekly during two years (April 1997 to February 1999) in the water column at point B (Fig. 1).

In situ measurements of temperature, pH, conductivity and dissolved oxygen were performed using a multi-parameter Sea-Bird SBE 19 profiler. The probe data are recorded with a 0.15 m space step from surface to the bottom. The pH is measured with a pressure-balanced glass-electrode/Ag/AgCl reference (+0.05 pH unit). The dissolved oxygen probe is a polarographic electrode YSI 5739 (+0.1 ppm), conductivity sensor returns values within ±1 μS cm⁻¹. The computation of specific conductance (conductivity corrected to 25°C = Cₛ) is performed by a Seabird software using equation (1) (APHA, 1989):

\[ Cₛ = \frac{C \times 10,000}{1 + 0.020 \times (T - 25)} \]  

(1)

where \( Cₛ \) is the specific conductance in μmhos cm⁻¹, \( C \) is the conductivity in S m⁻¹ and \( T \) is the temperature in °C.

The calibration of the pH probe was confirmed after each measuring survey against two NBS pH buffers (pH = 4.01 and pH = 7.00 at 298 K). The calibration of conductivity cell was performed before first field deployment (April 1997). The water sampling was performed with a Niskin bottle at nine different depths (five samples in the epilimnion-metalimnion region and four samples in the hypolimnion). Immediately after sampling, the water used for major dissolved ion analysis was filtered on board with Minisart filters (porosity 0.45 μm). Alkalinity measurements were performed on filtered water within 6 h from sampling using the spectrophotometric method (Sarazin et al., 1999; Podda and Michard, 1994) (accuracy ±3%). Total dissolved calcium, magnesium, potassium and sodium were analysed on acidified samples (HNO₃ Suprapur Merck) by a FAAS (accuracy ±5%). Major anions (Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, SO₄²⁻) were analysed by ionic chromatography (Dionex 2000i; accuracy ±3%). Soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP), ammonium (NH₄⁺) and dissolved silica (Si) analysis were performed by the classical colorimetric methods (accuracy ±5%) (APHA, 1989). Individual concentrations of the carbonate system species ([H₂CO₃], [HCO₃⁻], [CO₃²⁻]) were calculated from measured data with a computer software derived from Mineql (Morel, 1983). Calculations take into account the following ion pair formations (CaHCO₃^-, CaCO₃^2-, CaSO₄^2-, MgHCO₃^-, MgCO₃^2-, MgSO₄^2-, NaHCO₃^-, NaCO₃^-, NaSO₄^-, KSO₄) and variations of temperature and pressure.

CALCITE PRECIPITATION

Theoretical considerations

The chemistry of carbonate and calcium in most alpine lakes involves essentially two reactions: calcite precipitation and photosynthesis-respiration (Sigg et al., 1994; Gaillard, 1995). These two main processes occur seasonally and affect the thermocline (epilimnion).

Fig. 1. Map of the study site.
Table 1. Physical and chemical parameters measured in the epilimnion of the lake during April and June 1997

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration (µM)</th>
<th>Ca&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Mg&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Na&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>K&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Alk</th>
<th>Cl&lt;sup&gt;-&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>NO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;-&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>SO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;2-&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April 2 m</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2900</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 15 m</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>2870</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2 m</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2340</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 15 m</td>
<td>1380</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2850</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>SRP (µM)</th>
<th>NH&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (µM)</th>
<th>Si&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt; (µM)</th>
<th>O&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; (µM)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>C&lt;sub&gt;25&lt;/sub&gt; (µS cm&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April 2 m</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 15 m</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td>327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2 m</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 15 m</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>8.03</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>326</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

major dissolved ions and carbonate system species ([H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>], [HCO<sub>3</sub>], [CO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>). Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC = [H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>] + [HCO<sub>3</sub>] + [CO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>) and the equivalent pCO<sub>2</sub> in April and June 97. Some of these species show seasonal trends in conjunction with the seasonal behaviour of the lake.

In an aquatic ecosystem which contains natural weak acids and bases, alkalinity is defined by equation (2) (Morel, 1983):

\[
\text{Alk} = [\text{HCO}_3^-] + 2[\text{CO}_3^{2-}] + [\text{NH}_4^+] + [\text{HS}^-] + 2[\text{S}^{2-}] + [\text{H}_2\text{SiO}_4^-] + [\text{HPO}_4^{2-}] + 2[\text{PO}_4^{3-}] + [\text{OH}^-] - [\text{H}^+] - [\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4] \tag{2}
\]

From Tables 1 and 2, it can be concluded that in the epilimnion of Lake Bourget:

- Dissolved oxygen concentrations prohibit the formation of HS<sup>-</sup> and S<sup>2-</sup>.
- [NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, [HPO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>], [PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup>], [H<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup>, [H<sup>+</sup>] and [OH<sup>-</sup>] are negligible compared to [HCO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup> and [CO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>.
- HCO<sub>3</sub> is the major carbonate system species.

Consequently the expression for alkalinity [i.e. equation (2)] can be reduced to equation (3), which is common for most carbonate aquatic systems (Stumm and Morgan, 1981):

\[
\text{Alk} = [\text{HCO}_3^-] + 2[\text{CO}_3^{2-}] \tag{3}
\]

Since [HCO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup> is significantly higher [CO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>, then equation (3) can be further simplified to:

\[
\text{Alk} = [\text{HCO}_3^-] \tag{4}
\]

The validity of the simplifications has been confirmed by calculating the differences in alkalinity between equations (3) and (4), which remain below 1.6% for the entire sampling period in Lake Bourget.

Depending on the water composition, calcite precipitation can be expressed in various forms. As previously seen, in Lake Bourget [HCO<sub>3</sub>] is much higher than [CO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>. Consequently the most appropriate form of the calcite precipitation in terms of major compounds is:

\[
\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{HCO}_3^- \rightleftharpoons \text{CaCO}_3(s) + \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}; \tag{5}
\]

In reaction (5), the value of \( k \) is calculated with equation (6), which is related to the combination of the CO<sub>2</sub> dissolution reaction constant \( (K_{H}) \), the first and second dissociation reaction of carbonic acid constants \( (K_{a1} \text{ and } K_{a2}) \), and the solubility product of calcite \( (K_s) \):

\[
k = \frac{K_{a2}}{K_H \times K_{a1} \times K_s} \tag{6}
\]

where (Stumm and Morgan, 1981):

\[
K_{a1} = 10^{-6.35} \text{ at 298 K}
\]

\[
K_{a2} = 10^{-10.33} \text{ at 298 K}
\]

\[
K_H = 10^{-14.43} \text{ at 298 K}
\]

In order to minimize the errors on these thermodynamic constants and the number of chemical parameters, calculation of the saturation index is usually achieved with equation (8) derived from the precipitation reaction [equation (7)]:

\[
\Omega = \frac{\text{S}\text{CaCO}_3}{\text{S}\text{CaCO}_3^*} \tag{7}
\]

Table 2. Calculated parameters of carbonate system species in the epilimnion of the lake during April and June 1997

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>ΣCO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; (µM)</th>
<th>pCO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; (µatm)</th>
<th>H&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;CO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; (µM)</th>
<th>HCO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; (µM)</th>
<th>CO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; (µM)</th>
<th>Ω</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April 2 m</td>
<td>2910</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 15 m</td>
<td>2880</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2780</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2 m</td>
<td>2310</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2220</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 15 m</td>
<td>2900</td>
<td>1190</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2780</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\[
\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{CO}_3^{2-} \rightleftharpoons \frac{K_{s}}{2} \text{CaCO}_3(s);
\]

\[
K_s = 10^{-8.51} \text{ at } 298 \text{ K (7)}
\]

\[
\Omega = \frac{\gamma_2[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]_2[\text{Ca}^{2+}]}{K_s (8)}
\]

where \(\gamma_2\) is the activity coefficient for bicharged ion calculated according to the Davies relation (Stumm and Morgan, 1981).

Thermodynamical considerations show that precipitation should occur when \(\Omega > 1\). However, in the laboratory experiment, homogeneous precipitation occurs for \(\Omega > 100\) (Stumm and Morgan, 1981), while in environmental conditions heterogeneous precipitation is observed when the saturation index falls in the range [2–11] (Kelts and Hsu, 1978; Stabel, 1986; Hartley et al., 1996; Salmaso and Decet, 1998; Hodell et al., 1998). Values of \(\Omega\) for April and June 1997 in Lake Bourget are reported in Table 2.

Photosynthesis and respiration can be classically described according to the following equation (9) (Redfield et al., 1963):

\[
x\text{CO}_2 + y\text{NO}_3^- + w\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 + y\text{H}^+ + (x + y)\text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\rho \xrightarrow{\text{phys}} (x + 2y)\text{O}_2 + (\text{CH}_2\text{O})_y(\text{NH}_3)_y(\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4)_w
\]

The two main processes depicted by reactions (5) and (9) alter differently the water composition in Lake Bourget:

- Photosynthesis and respiration do not change alkalinity, which is conservative relatively to CO2 variations, whereas DIC can be either taken up by photosynthesis or produced by respiration. The main observable consequence is an increase of pH when photosynthesis prevails while the opposite is observed when respiration dominates.
- Calcite precipitation decreases both calcium concentration, alkalinity and DIC. According to the stoichiometry of reaction (5) the decrease in alkalinity must be twice the decrease in calcium concentration and DIC. One observable consequence is a decrease in pH.

Therefore, photosynthesis and calcite precipitation have opposite effects on pH variations.

In order to verify that changes in the ionic composition are exclusively caused by calcite precipitation or photosynthesis-respiration, the concept of residual alkalinity (Alk’) can be introduced (Al-Droubi et al., 1980; Michard, 1989).

Alk’ is defined in order to be constant if the main biogeochemical processes are calcite precipitation [equation (5)] and photosynthesis-respiration [equation (9)]. Therefore, the residual alkalinity can be expressed as follows [equation (10)]:

\[
\text{Alk}' = [\text{Na}^+] + [\text{K}^+] + 2[\text{Mg}^{2+}] - [\text{Cl}^-] - 2[\text{SO}_4^{2-}]
\]

An alternative definition of alkalinity can be derived from the electroneutrality equation (Sigg et al., 1994) where [H^+] and [OH^-] are neglected:

\[
[\text{Na}^+] + [\text{K}^+] + 2[\text{Ca}^{2+}] + 2[\text{Mg}^{2+}]
\]

\[
= [\text{HCO}_3^-] + 2[\text{CO}_3^{2-}] + [\text{Cl}^-] + 2[\text{SO}_4^{2-}]
\]

\[
+ [\text{NO}_3^-]
\]

Based on equations (3) and (11), the expression of alkalinity can be written in the following way:

\[
\text{Alk} = [\text{Na}^+] + [\text{K}^+] + 2[\text{Ca}^{2+}] + 2[\text{Mg}^{2+}]
\]

\[
- [\text{Cl}^-] - 2[\text{SO}_4^{2-}] - [\text{NO}_3^-]
\]

Combining equations (12) and (10) gives equation (13):

\[
\text{Alk}' = \text{Alk} - 2[\text{Ca}^{2+}] - [\text{NO}_3^-]
\]

**Evidence of calcite precipitation in Lake Bourget**

Figure 2 presents typical temperature profiles in the upper part of the lake from April to August of 1997, when thermal stratification commences. From May, the water column is stratified, and strong thermal gradients do not allow significant water mixing across the thermocline. During this period the thermocline lies between 5 and 10 m deep, and maximum temperature gradient increases from 2.1 to 4.0 °C m^-1. This thermal structure is similar during the spring and summer of 1998.

Measurements of total dissolved calcium and alkalinity in the epilimnion and metalimnion are reported for the spring and summer of 1997 in Figs 3 and 4.

Calcium concentration and alkalinity profiles during the spring and summer periods of 1997 between surface and 30 m depth.
show a decrease in the epilimnion between May and June. This trend continues until August and after this, calcium and alkalinity depletion stops. These spring and summer data of calcium and alkalinity indicate a linear relationship according to equation (14):

\[
\text{Alk} = (1.9 \pm 0.2)[\text{Ca}^2+] \quad \text{with } r^2 = 0.965 \quad \text{and} \quad n = 26
\]

A similar trend is observed for the spring and summer of 1998 data for calcium and alkalinity, with the following linear relationship [equation (15)]:

\[
\text{Alk} = (1.8 \pm 0.2)[\text{Ca}^2+] \quad \text{with } r^2 = 0.933 \quad \text{and} \quad n = 52
\]

where \([\text{Ca}^2+]\) is the measured total dissolved calcium. Results of ionic dissolved speciation calculations indicates that calcium is partly complexed and that \([\text{Ca}^2+]\) differs from \([\text{Ca}^2+]\) by 3.3 \(\pm\) 0.6%. Therefore, \([\text{Ca}^2+]\) is approximated by \([\text{Ca}^2+]\). According to equation (5), these calcium and alkalinity decreases in the water column are likely to be related to calcite precipitation.

During the spring and summer periods of 1997 and 1998 the residual alkalinity (\(\text{Alk}'\)) remains constant (\(\text{Alk}' = 224 \mu\text{M, standard deviation } = \pm 15 \mu\text{M, } n = 75\)). This standard-deviation value remains within the analytical error range. As an example Fig. 5 shows the residual alkalinity profiles during spring and summer periods of 1997. This underlines that the only geochemical process decreasing calcium and alkalinity within the epilimnion is calcite precipitation.

Calculation of calcite saturation index \([\Omega, \text{ equation (8)}]\) reveals that the epilimnic water is supersaturated with respect to calcite from April (Table 2). \(\Omega\) reaches the highest value in June at a
2 m depth with a simultaneous decrease in calcium and alkalinity concentrations.

Since epilimnic water is supersaturated with respect to calcite from April to August of 1997, Alk’ remains constant during this period, so we can infer that the calcium concentration decrease observed from June to August is the consequence of calcite authigenic formation. Consequently, calcium and alkalinity profiles (Figs 3 and 4) are a direct and specific tracer of the spatial and temporal widespread of this geochemical process.

However, the spatial resolution of water sampling does not allow the depth-boundary of calcite precipitation to be defined. Indeed, calcium profiles show that this limit should lie between a depth of 2 and 15 m. In order to improve the assessment of spatio-temporal conditions of calcite precipitation, another method must be used.

USING SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE AS CALCITE PRECIPITATION TRACER

Contribution of calcium and alkalinity to the specific conductance of Lake Bourget water

Specific conductance or conductivity ($C_{25}$) is usually used in lakes for tracing water body mixing or density currents (Carmack et al., 1986; Wüst et al., 1988). In this study specific conductance was applied, focused on the link with lacustrine calcite precipitation. In a recent study on Lake Garda (Salmaso and Decet, 1998) the link between in situ conductivity measurements and calcite precipitation has been emphasized. However, the sampling method did not allow the authors to use conductivity as a precipitation tracer with a good accuracy.

In this paper, it is proposed to use a software sensor of calcite precipitation based on both specific conductance measurements and a relation between conductance and calcium concentration.

Specific conductance is a function of the concentration of the dissolved ions in water. Significant variations of dissolved ion concentrations lead to correlated variations of specific conductance. In order to interpret the specific conductance variations observed in Lake Bourget (Table 1), specific conductance has been calculated following a classical theoretical formula (Charlot, 1974):

$$C_{25} = \Sigma I_A C_A z_A$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where $I_A$ is the equivalent conductance of ion $A$ (S cm$^2$ eq$^{-1}$), $C_A$ is the concentration of ion $A$ (mol cm$^{-3}$) and $z_A$ is the absolute value of $A$ ion charge.

Values of equivalent conductance at infinite dilution for the dissolved ions (Charlot, 1974) are corrected from the average ionic strength of Lake Bourget (5 mM) by multiplying the values by a 0.96 factor for monocharged ions or a 0.91 factor for bicharged ions (CRC, 1981). They are reported in Table 3.

To perform the calculation of the theoretical specific conductance with equation (16), measured chemical data were used (Table 1) except for $\text{HCO}_3^-$, $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ and $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ concentration where the calculated set of chemical parameters were used (Table 2). Figure 6 shows for the periods of April and June of 1997 a reasonable agreement between measured and calculated specific conductance. Between April of 1997 and August of 1997 in the epilimnion (depth < 30 m), the calculated specific conductance ($C_{c25}^e$) showed a linear relationship with the measured specific conductance ($C_{m25}^e$) presented in equation (17):

$$C_{c25}^e = 1.03 * C_{m25}^e - 10 \text{ with $r^2 = 0.965$}$$

$$n = 22; \text{ } C_{c25}^e \text{ and } C_{m25}^e \text{ in } \mu \text{S cm}^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

Between April and August 1997, theoretical calculations of specific conductance show that the ion concentrations included in residual alkalinity (Alk’) contributed approximately 21% of the specific conductance. Moreover, the individual variation of each ion concentration present in Alk’ over the period under study never exceeds 10%.

Therefore, the observed specific conductance variations are likely to be related only to changes in calcium and $\text{HCO}_3^-$ concentrations. Based on this finding, equation (16) can be expressed as [equation (18)]:

![Fig. 6. Comparison of measured and calculated specific conductance ($C_{25}$) in April and June 1997 between surface and 50 m depth.](image-url)
Tracing CaCO₃ precipitation with C₂₅ in Lake Bourget

Table 3. Equivalent conductance of separate ions at 25°C and I = 5 mM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion</th>
<th>Ca²⁺</th>
<th>Mg²⁺</th>
<th>Na⁺</th>
<th>K⁺</th>
<th>HCO₃⁻</th>
<th>CO₃²⁻</th>
<th>Cl⁻</th>
<th>NO₃⁻</th>
<th>SO₄²⁻</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equ. cond. S cm² eq⁻¹</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>72.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
C_{25} = n_{Ca^{2+}} \times \text{equiv}_{Ca^{2+}} + m_{HCO_3^-} \times \text{equiv}_{HCO_3^-} \times \text{equiv}_{HCO_3^-} + \epsilon
\]  (18)

where \( \epsilon \) represents the contribution to the specific conductance of all the major ions present in Alk, and of the nitrate and carbonate species. From the chemical data of 1997 in the epilimnion \((n = 22)\) and by using Table 3, it is verified that \( \epsilon \) is a constant equal to \( 64.9 \pm 1.9 \) \( \mu \text{S cm}^{-1} \).

By assuming that \([\text{Ca}_8] = [\text{Ca}^{2+}]\), combining equations (3), (14) and (18) and by using Table 3, the following theoretical relation between conductivity and calcium concentrations is derived:

\[
[\text{Ca}_8] = 5.32 \times C_{25} - 369
\]  (19)

with \([\text{Ca}_8]\) in \( \mu \text{M} \) and \( C_{25} \) in \( \mu \text{S cm}^{-1} \).

Figure 7 shows the spring and summer total dissolved calcium and specific conductance data in the epilimnion for 1997 and 1998, their best linear fit \([\text{equation (20)}]\) and the derived theoretical relationship [\text{equation (19)}]. The theoretical model and the linear fit are in close agreement:

\[
[\text{Ca}_8] = 5.37 \times C_{25}^{m} - 386 \quad r^2 = 0.959
\]  (20)

and \( n = 75 \); with \([\text{Ca}_8]\) in \( \mu \text{M} \) and \( C_{25}^{m} \) in \( \mu \text{S cm}^{-1} \).

Equation (20) allows the calculation of the calcium concentration from specific conductance measures with an accuracy of \( \pm 10\% \) and with a spatial depth resolution of \( 0.15 \) m.

Since the calcium concentration is directly related to authigenic calcite precipitation in Lake Bourget (see the section for evidence of calcite precipitation in Lake Bourget), specific conductance provides an in situ self-consistent tracer of calcite precipitation.

Assessment of the spatio-temporal pattern of calcite precipitation using specific conductance

From equation (20) and the specific conductance profiles, the calcium profiles for the global sampling period were computed (April 1997–December 1998). Profiles obtained with a high spatial resolution (0.15 m) are plotted in a time-depth evolution diagram (Fig. 8) using Surfer32 Golden Software\(^{65}\).

In a similar manner, the temperature evolution profile is presented in Fig. 9 in order to show the thermal structure of the upper part of the water column during the whole period. According to equation (5), the calcium concentrations are directly related to the production of authigenic calcite. Therefore, this diagram exposes a spatio-temporal pattern of calcite precipitation and corroborates the following conclusions:

- A fair similarity is observed between the calcium profiles of the two years concerning the spatial pattern of the precipitation process.
- The depth limit of the precipitation zone is located for the two years between 7 and 10 m depth, corresponding exactly to the mixed layer. Calcite precipitation is also strongly linked to the thermal structure of the epilimnion.
- Depending on a different meteorological forcing context, in 1998, calcite precipitation is starting one month earlier than in 1997.
- Minimum calcium concentration is achieved in August and is equal to \( 900 \pm 20 \) \( \mu \text{M} \) in the precipitation zone for both 1997 and 1998.

In order to quantify and compare the amount of calcite precipitated at point B in 1997 and 1998, an integration of computed calcium profiles was performed. The integration was conducted over the upper 30 m under the surface. The physical structure of the epilimnion and of the metalimnion infer that no significant vertical mixing occurs during the two precipitation periods between this 0–30 m column and the underlying water (June–August 1997 and May–August 1998). This system can also be considered as closed from an external supply of calcium during the precipitation periods. Complete winter water body mixing begins in September and ends in January (Bournet, 1996). Therefore, it does
Fig. 8. Time-depth evolution diagram of calcium concentration (in µM) in the 0–30 m depth layer for 1997 and 1998. Dates on the x-axis represent the dates of field survey.

Fig. 9. Time-depth evolution diagram of temperature (in Celsius degrees) in the 0–30 m depth layer for 1997 and 1998. Dates on the x-axis represent the dates of field survey.
not influence the evaluation of precipitated calcite during spring and summer. Evolution of the calcium stock and of the amount of produced calcite in the upper 30 m is reported in Table 4 for the 1997 and 1998 precipitation periods. Calcium stock refers to the amount of dissolved calcium (mol) contained in the same layer at a given time. The amount of calcite produced is calculated by the difference between the Ca stock at an initial time before the beginning of calcite precipitation (April 97 or April 98) and the Ca stock at a given time. As there is no [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] variations below 30 m in the lake, the amount of calcite produced in the upper 30 m is also the amount of calcite produced in the whole lake. All the results are expressed in mol in the upper 30 m per m\textsuperscript{2} of lake surface.

These results show that the amount of authigenic calcite produced is the same in 1997 and 1998 given a range of experimental and calculation uncertainties (±10%). A linear extrapolation from a water-column to the lake volume concerned by the precipitation process, yields an estimate of the calcite production of 16,000 ± 1600 ton yr\textsuperscript{-1} as CaCO\textsubscript{3}. Although this extrapolation is based on a horizontal homogeneity which is strictly not assessed, the order of magnitude of the yearly calcite production is valid. This authigenic mineral formation can be compared to the yearly phytoplanktonic production which is approximately 18,000 tons/year according to the Redfield stoichiometry (3550 g mol\textsuperscript{-1}) (Vinçon-Leite, 1991).

CONCLUSIONS

This study shows that in Lake Bourget variations of specific conductance in the epilimnion during the spring and summer periods are related in a univocal way to the spatial and temporal evolution of the dissolved calcium and alkalinity concentrations. This has been verified as follows:

- The observed conductivity profiles can be theoretically calculated with very good accuracy.
- The dissolved calcium concentration is well correlated with specific conductance.

Moreover, it was clearly shown that dissolved calcium and alkalinity variations in the epilimnion of the lake are exclusively related to calcite precipitation. It was demonstrated that in a natural large lake ecosystem, specific conductance, generally used in laboratory investigations on calcite precipitation (House and Donaldson, 1986; Kleiner, 1988; Hartley et al., 1997), is able to trace by itself, with a good spatial resolution, the calcite precipitation. The main advantages proposed by this method are: (1) Specific conductance can be measured in situ; (2) using a conductivity sensor permits easy and rapid data acquisition with a very high temporal and spatial resolution.

Using this method on Lake Bourget, the first assessment of the spatio-temporal pattern of calcite precipitation reveals that the process occurred in 1997 and 1998 in the same layer. Restricted to a quite short period (from May–June to August–September), calcite precipitation shows a reproducible intensity over this two-year period. Evaluation of the precipitated amounts leads to a value of 16,000 ± 1600 ton yr\textsuperscript{-1} as CaCO\textsubscript{3}, making this reaction a major particulate producing process in the lake.

Specific conductance is an excellent, reliable and easy-to-measure in situ tracer of calcite precipitation in Lake Bourget. Moreover, similar physical and chemical characteristics of other alpine lakes should allow one to extend this method.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>September</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca stock 1997</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>35.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcite produced 1997</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+4.8</td>
<td>+4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca stock 1998</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>34.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcite produced 1998</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+4.2</td>
<td>+4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


