



Mechanical effect of absorption Carbon sequestration and swelling of coal

Laurent Brochard

► To cite this version:

Laurent Brochard. Mechanical effect of absorption Carbon sequestration and swelling of coal. TODO, Jan 2011, Lyon, France. <hal-00563374>

HAL Id: hal-00563374

<https://enpc.hal.science/hal-00563374v1>

Submitted on 4 Feb 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





HAL Authorization

CONTEXT - CARBON SEQUESTRATION AND SWELLING OF COAL

In most scenarios for stabilization of atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations [...] CCS contributes 15 - 55% to the cumulative mitigation effort worldwide

(From: IPCC report on Carbon Capture and Sequestration (2005))





(adapted from Pekot & Reeves (2002))

Cause: coal swells more in a CO₂ atmosphere than in a CH₄ atmosphere

Experiment:

inject either CO₂ or CH₄ in a coal sample free of stress



(adapted from Ottiger et al. (2008))

Conventional poromechanics fails to explain the swelling

Helmholtz free energy of the solid matrix of a saturated isotropic porous medium:

$$f_{solid} = \frac{1}{2} (K + b^2 N) \epsilon^2 - b N \epsilon \varphi + \frac{N}{2} \varphi^2 + \sum_{ij \in \{1,2,3\}} \frac{G}{2} e_{ij}^2$$

where:

- ϵ is the volumetric strain,
- φ the change of porosity,
- G the shear modulus,
- b and N the Biot modulus.
- e_{ij} the deviatoric strains,
- K the bulk modulus,
- b the Biot coefficient,


The volumetric stress is obtained with the state equation:

$$\sigma = \frac{\partial f_{solid}}{\partial \epsilon} \bigg|_{\varphi, e_{ij}} = K \epsilon - b P$$

(Coussy (2010))

Objective: Understand the physics of swelling and predict the permeability loss

CONVENTIONAL POROMECHANICS EXTENDED TO SURFACE EFFECTS



Contrary to CO₂ and CH₄, helium behaves as predicted by poromechanics.

The difference stems from the adsorption (low for Helium, high for CO₂ and CH₄) which may have an impact on the mechanics of a solid, since it modifies the fluid-solid interface energy γ_{FS} (Gibbs adsorption equation, at fixed temperature and interface area): $d\gamma_{FS} = -\Gamma d\mu$. The mechanical impact of adsorption can be sketched in the case of a thin plate:


Helmholtz free energy of the (solid matrix + interface):

$$f_{solid} = \frac{1}{2} (K + b^2 N) \epsilon^2 - b N \epsilon \varphi + \frac{N}{2} \varphi^2 + \sum_{ij \in \{1,2,3\}} \frac{G}{2} e_{ij}^2 + \gamma s$$

- s the specific surface.,
- γ and γ_{FS} the fluid-solid interface energy.



$$\sigma = K \epsilon - b P + \tilde{\sigma}_s \frac{\partial s}{\partial \epsilon} \bigg|_P \text{ where } \tilde{\sigma}_s = \gamma + s \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial s} \text{ is the interface stress.}$$

(Vandamme et al. (2010))



CO₂ and CH₄ density profiles on the surface of coal matrix were obtained by molecular simulation. The swelling predicted by the model (Equation 1) is compared to the experimental swelling.



The model does not capture the experimental swelling



(Experimental data from Levine (1996))

POROMECHANICS OF NANOPORE ADSORPTION

Explanation: Adsorption occurs also in the nanopores of the solid matrix.



Guideline for the poromechanics of nanopore adsorption: Use **known and measurable quantities only**. Questionable quantities (porosity, specific surface) should not intervene.



Behavior law of a porous solid subjected to a fluid under any form (bulk, surface adsorption, nanopore adsorption...)

$$\sigma = \frac{df_s}{d\epsilon} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\mu} \frac{N}{V_0} d\mu \right) \bigg|_{\mu} \quad (2)$$


Requires to know the adsorbed amount as a function of both μ and ϵ .

- V_0 is the volume of the porous solid under unstressed conditions,
- N is the number of fluid molecules whatever their state (adsorbed in nanopores, on surfaces, bulk...),
- $f_s = F_s / V_0$ and F_s is the free energy of the sole solid, that is when there is no fluid molecule in the pores. $f_s = \frac{1}{2} K \epsilon^2$ for an elastic solid.

Case of a 1D chain subjected to fluid adsorption




The uneven adsorption behavior can be explained by the pore commensurability





The excess stress directly computed (virial estimate) and the excess stress predicted by the proposed thermodynamics (Equation 2) are consistent.


$$\sigma - \sigma(f=0) = - \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\mu} \frac{N}{V_0} d\mu \right) \bigg|_{\mu}$$



Coal is a disordered nanoporous matrix.



What about a disordered chain?



The adsorption behavior in the disordered chain is ordered!



- The amount adsorbed is a linear function of strain,
- and the slope is proportional to the number of fluid atoms.

Simplified model

$$\sigma = \frac{df_s}{d\epsilon} - C \int_{-\infty}^{\mu} \frac{N(\epsilon=0, \mu)}{V_0} d\mu$$

Swellings predicted with the simplified model are satisfying.

Perspective: predict the reservoir permeability



(Experimental results from Ottiger et al. (2008))